

**DESCRIPTION OF THE CHAIRMAN’S MARK  
OF THE  
“TAX CUTS AND JOBS ACT”**

Scheduled for Markup  
by the  
SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE  
on November 13, 2017

Prepared by the Staff  
of the  
JOINT COMMITTEE ON TAXATION



November 9, 2017  
JCX-51-17

# CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION .....	1
I. TAX REFORM FOR INDIVIDUALS .....	2
A. Simplification and Reform of Rates, Standard Deductions, and Exemptions .....	2
1. Reduction and simplification of individual income tax rates and modification of inflation adjustment .....	2
2. Increase in standard deduction .....	11
3. Repeal of the deduction for personal exemptions .....	11
B. Treatment of Business Income of Individuals .....	14
1. Allow 17.4-percent deduction to certain pass-through income .....	14
2. Limitation on losses for taxpayers other than corporations .....	18
C. Reform of the Child Tax Credit .....	21
D. Simplification and Reform of Deductions and Exclusions .....	23
1. Repeal of deduction for taxes not paid or accrued in a trade or business .....	23
2. Modification of deduction for home mortgage interest .....	24
3. Modification of deduction for personal casualty and theft losses .....	25
4. Repeal of deduction for tax preparation expenses .....	26
5. Repeal of miscellaneous itemized deductions subject to the two-percent floor ...	26
6. Increase percentage limit for charitable contributions of cash to public charities .....	29
7. Repeal of overall limitation on itemized deductions .....	33
8. Modification of exclusion of gain from sale of a principal residence .....	33
9. Repeal of exclusion for qualified bicycle commuting reimbursement .....	34
10. Repeal of exclusion for qualified moving expense reimbursement .....	35
11. Repeal of deduction for moving expenses .....	35
12. Modification to the limitation on wagering losses .....	36
E. Increase in Estate and Gift Tax Exemption .....	38
II. ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX REPEAL .....	48
1. Repeal of alternative minimum tax .....	48
III. BUSINESS TAX REFORM .....	55
A. Tax Rates .....	55
1. Reduction in corporate tax rate .....	55
2. Reduction of dividends received deductions to reflect lower corporate tax rate .....	56

B. Small Business Reforms .....	58
1. Modification of rules for expensing depreciable business assets .....	58
2. Modifications of gross receipts test for use of cash method of accounting by corporations and partnerships .....	60
3. Clarification of inventory accounting rules for small businesses .....	64
4. Modification of rules for uniform capitalization of certain expenses .....	65
5. Increase in gross receipts test for construction contract exception to percentage of completion method .....	67
C. Cost Recovery, etc. ....	69
1. Limitation on deduction for interest .....	69
2. Temporary 100-percent expensing for certain business assets .....	74
3. Modifications to depreciation limitations on luxury automobiles and personal use property .....	80
4. Modifications of treatment of certain farm property .....	83
5. Modification of net operating loss deduction .....	85
6. Like-kind exchanges of real property .....	86
7. Applicable recovery period for real property .....	89
D. Business-Related Deductions .....	96
1. Repeal of deduction for income attributable to domestic production activities ...	96
2. Limitation on deduction by employers of expenses for fringe benefits .....	97
E. Accounting Methods .....	102
1. Certain special rules for taxable year of inclusion .....	102
F. Business Credits .....	106
1. Modification of credit for clinical testing expenses for certain drugs for rare diseases or conditions .....	106
2. Modification of rehabilitation credit .....	107
3. Repeal of deduction for certain unused business credits .....	108
G. Banks and Financial Instruments .....	109
1. Limitation on deduction for FDIC premiums .....	109
2. Repeal of advance refunding bonds .....	112
3. Cost basis of specified securities determined without regard to identification ..	113
H. Compensation .....	116
1. Nonqualified deferred compensation .....	116
2. Modification of limitation on excessive employee remuneration .....	125
3. Excise tax on excess tax-exempt organization executive compensation .....	128
I. Insurance .....	132
1. Net operating losses of life insurance companies .....	132
2. Repeal of small life insurance company deduction .....	133
3. Adjustment for change in computing reserves .....	133

4. Repeal of special rule for distributions to shareholders from pre-1984 policyholders surplus account.....	134
5. Modification of proration rules for property and casualty insurance companies .....	136
6. Repeal of special estimated tax payments .....	136
7. Capitalization of certain policy acquisition expenses.....	139
8. Tax reporting for life settlement transactions, clarification of tax basis of life insurance contracts, and exception to transfer for valuable consideration rules.....	140
J. Partnerships.....	144
1. Tax gain on the sale of a partnership interest on look-through basis.....	144
2. Modification of the definition of substantial built-in loss in the case of transfer of partnership interest .....	147
3. Charitable contributions and foreign taxes taken into account in determining limitation on allowance of partner’s share of loss .....	148
K. Determination of Worker Classification and Information Reporting Requirements .....	151
L. Tax-Exempt Organizations .....	160
1. Excise tax based on investment income of private colleges and universities.....	160
2. Name and logo royalties treated as unrelated business taxable income .....	163
3. Unrelated business taxable income separately computed for each trade or business.....	165
4. Repeal of tax-exempt status for professional sports leagues .....	168
5. Modification of taxes on excess benefit transactions (intermediate sanctions)..	169
6. Denial of deduction for amounts paid in exchange for college athletic seating rights .....	175
M. Retirement Savings.....	177
1. Conformity of contribution limits for employer-sponsored retirement plans.....	177
2. Application of 10-percent early withdrawal tax to governmental section 457(b) plans .....	179
3. Elimination of catch-up contributions for high-wage employees.....	180
TAXATION OF FOREIGN INCOME AND FOREIGN PERSONS .....	182
PRESENT LAW .....	182
A. General Overview of International Principles of Taxation.....	182
1. Origin and destination principles .....	183
2. Source and residence principles.....	184
3. Resolving overlapping or conflicting jurisdiction to tax .....	185
4. International principles as applied in the U.S. system.....	186
B. Principles Common to Inbound and Outbound Taxation .....	186
1. Residence .....	186

2. Entity classification.....	188
3. Source of income rules.....	189
4. Intercompany transfers.....	194
C. U.S. Tax Rules Applicable to Nonresident Aliens and Foreign Corporations (Inbound).....	195
1. Gross-basis taxation of U.S.-source income.....	196
2. Net-basis taxation of U.S.-source income.....	200
3. Special rules.....	204
D. U.S. Tax Rules Applicable to Foreign Activities of U.S. Persons (Outbound).....	207
1. In general.....	207
2. Anti-deferral regimes.....	207
3. Foreign tax credit.....	213
4. Special rules.....	215
IV. INTERNATIONAL TAX REFORM.....	218
A. Establishment of Participation Exemption System for Taxation of Foreign Income.....	218
1. Deduction for foreign-source portion of dividends received by domestic corporations from specified 10-percent owned foreign corporations.....	218
2. Special rules relating to sales or transfers involving specified 10-percent owned foreign corporations.....	220
3. Treatment of deferred foreign income upon transition to participation exemption system of taxation.....	221
B. Rules Related to Passive and Mobile Income.....	227
1. Current year inclusion of global intangible low-taxed income by United States shareholders.....	227
2. Deduction for foreign-derived intangible income.....	229
3. Special rules for transfers of intangible property from controlled foreign corporations to United States shareholders.....	231
C. Other Modifications of Subpart F Provisions.....	232
1. Elimination of inclusion of foreign base company oil related income.....	232
2. Inflation adjustment of <i>de minimis</i> exception for foreign base company income.....	232
3. Repeal of inclusion based on withdrawal of previously excluded subpart F income from qualified investment.....	232
4. Modification of stock attribution rules for determining status as a controlled foreign corporation.....	233
5. Modification of definition of United States shareholder.....	233
6. Elimination of requirement that corporation must be controlled for 30 days before subpart F inclusions apply.....	233
7. Look-thru rule for related controlled foreign corporations made permanent.....	234

8.	Corporations eligible for deductions for dividends exempted from subpart F inclusions for increased investments in United States property .....	234
D.	Prevention of Base Erosion.....	235
1.	Denial of deduction for interest expense of United States shareholders which are members of worldwide affiliated groups with excess domestic indebtedness.....	235
2.	Limitations on income shifting through intangible property transfers .....	236
3.	Certain related party amounts paid or accrued in hybrid transactions or with hybrid entities.....	237
4.	Termination of special rules for domestic international sales corporations .....	238
5.	Surrogate foreign corporations not eligible for reduced rate on dividends.....	239
E.	Modifications Related to Foreign Tax Credit System .....	240
1.	Repeal of section 902 indirect foreign tax credits; determination of section 960 credit on current year basis.....	240
2.	Separate foreign tax credit limitation basket for foreign branch income.....	241
3.	Acceleration of election to allocate interest, etc., on a worldwide basis .....	241
4.	Source of income from sales of inventory determined solely on basis of production activities.....	241
F.	Inbound Provisions .....	242
1.	Base erosion and anti-abuse tax.....	242
G.	Other Provisions.....	245
1.	Taxation of passenger cruise gross income of foreign corporations and nonresident alien individuals .....	245
2.	Modification of insurance exception to the passive foreign investment company rules .....	246
3.	Repeal of fair market value of interest expense apportionment .....	247

## INTRODUCTION

The Senate Committee on Finance has scheduled a markup on November 13, 2017, of an original bill, the “Tax Cuts and Jobs Act,” which provides for reconciliation pursuant to section 2001 of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018. This document,<sup>1</sup> prepared by the staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation, provides a description of the Chairman’s Mark of the “Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.”

---

<sup>1</sup> This document may be cited as follows: Joint Committee on Taxation, *Description of the Chairman’s Mark of the “Tax Cuts and Jobs Act”* (JCX-51-17), November 9, 2017. This document can be found also on the Joint Committee on Taxation website at [www.jct.gov](http://www.jct.gov). All section references herein are to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, unless otherwise stated.

## I. TAX REFORM FOR INDIVIDUALS

### A. Simplification and Reform of Rates, Standard Deductions, and Exemptions

#### 1. Reduction and simplification of individual income tax rates and modification of inflation adjustment

##### Present Law

##### In general

To determine regular tax liability, an individual taxpayer generally must apply the tax rate schedules (or the tax tables) to his or her regular taxable income. The rate schedules are broken into several ranges of income, known as income brackets, and the marginal tax rate increases as a taxpayer's income increases.

##### Tax rate schedules

Separate rate schedules apply based on an individual's filing status. For 2017, the regular individual income tax rate schedules are as follows:

**Table 1.—Federal Individual Income Tax Rates for 2017<sup>1</sup>**

If taxable income is:	Then income tax equals:
<i>Single Individuals</i>	
Not over \$9,325	10% of the taxable income
Over \$9,325 but not over \$37,950	\$932.50 plus 15% of the excess over \$9,325
Over \$37,950 but not over \$91,900	\$5,226.25 plus 25% of the excess over \$37,950
Over \$91,900 but not over \$191,650	\$18,713.75 plus 28% of the excess over \$91,900
Over \$191,650 but not over \$416,700	\$46,643.75 plus 33% of the excess over \$191,650
Over \$416,700 but not over \$418,400	\$120,910.25 plus 35% of the excess over \$416,700
Over \$418,400	\$121,505.25 plus 39.6% of the excess over \$418,400
<i>Heads of Households</i>	
Not over \$13,350	10% of the taxable income
Over \$13,350 but not over \$50,800	\$1,335 plus 15% of the excess over \$13,350
Over \$50,800 but not over \$131,200	\$6,952.50 plus 25% of the excess over \$50,800
Over \$131,200 but not over \$212,500	\$27,052.50 plus 28% of the excess over \$131,200
Over \$212,500 but not over \$416,700	\$49,816.50 plus 33% of the excess over \$212,500
Over \$416,700 but not over \$444,550	\$117,202.50 plus 35% of the excess over \$416,700
Over \$444,550	\$126,950 plus 39.6% of the excess over \$444,550



If taxable income is:	Then income tax equals:
<b><i>Married Individuals Filing Joint Returns and Surviving Spouses</i></b>	
Not over \$18,650	10% of the taxable income
Over \$18,650 but not over \$75,900	\$1,865 plus 15% of the excess over \$18,650
Over \$75,900 but not over \$153,100	\$10,452.50 plus 25% of the excess over \$75,900
Over \$153,100 but not over \$233,350	\$29,752.50 plus 28% of the excess over \$153,100
Over \$233,350 but not over \$416,700	\$52,222.50 plus 33% of the excess over \$233,350
Over \$416,700 but not over \$470,700	\$112,728 plus 35% of the excess over \$416,700
Over \$470,700	\$131,628 plus 39.6% of the excess over \$470,700
<b><i>Married Individuals Filing Separate Returns</i></b>	
Not over \$9,325	10% of the taxable income
Over \$9,325 but not over \$37,950	\$932.50 plus 15% of the excess over \$9,325
Over \$37,950 but not over \$76,550	\$5,226.25 plus 25% of the excess over \$37,950
Over \$76,550 but not over \$116,675	\$14,876.25 plus 28% of the excess over \$76,550
Over \$116,675 but not over \$208,350	\$26,111.25 plus 33% of the excess over \$116,675
Over \$208,350 but not over \$235,350	\$56,364 plus 35% of the excess over \$208,350
Over \$235,350	\$65,814 plus 39.6% of the excess over \$235,350
<b><i>Estates and Trusts</i></b>	
Not over \$2,550	15% of the taxable income
Over \$2,550 but not over \$6,000	\$382.50 plus 25% of the excess over \$2,550
Over \$6,000 but not over \$9,150	\$1,245 plus 28% of the excess over \$6,000
Over \$9,150 but not over \$12,500	\$2,127 plus 33% of the excess over \$9,150
Over \$12,500	\$3,232.50 plus 39.6% of the excess over \$12,500

<sup>1</sup> Rev. Proc. 2016-55, 2016-45 I.R.B. 707, sec. 3.01.

### **Unearned income of children**

Special rules (generally referred to as the “kiddie tax”) apply to the net unearned income of certain children.<sup>2</sup> Generally, the kiddie tax applies to a child if: (1) the child has not reached the age of 19 by the close of the taxable year, or the child is a full-time student under the age of 24, and either of the child’s parents is alive at such time; (2) the child’s unearned income exceeds

<sup>2</sup> Sec. 1(g). Unless otherwise stated, all section references are to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”).

\$2,100 (for 2017); and (3) the child does not file a joint return.<sup>3</sup> The kiddie tax applies regardless of whether the child may be claimed as a dependent by either or both parents. For children above age 17, the kiddie tax applies only to children whose earned income does not exceed one-half of the amount of their support.

Under these rules, the net unearned income of a child (for 2017, unearned income over \$2,100) is taxed at the parents' tax rates if the parents' tax rates are higher than the tax rates of the child.<sup>4</sup> The remainder of a child's taxable income (i.e., earned income, plus unearned income up to \$2,100 (for 2017), less the child's standard deduction) is taxed at the child's rates, regardless of whether the kiddie tax applies to the child. For these purposes, unearned income is income other than wages, salaries, professional fees, other amounts received as compensation for personal services actually rendered, and distributions from qualified disability trusts.<sup>5</sup> In general, a child is eligible to use the preferential tax rates for qualified dividends and capital gains.<sup>6</sup>

The kiddie tax is calculated by computing the "allocable parental tax." This involves adding the net unearned income of the child to the parent's income and then applying the parent's tax rate. A child's "net unearned income" is the child's unearned income less the sum of (1) the minimum standard deduction allowed to dependents (\$1,050 for 2017<sup>7</sup>), and (2) the greater of (a) such minimum standard deduction amount or (b) the amount of allowable itemized deductions that are directly connected with the production of the unearned income.<sup>8</sup>

The allocable parental tax equals the hypothetical increase in tax to the parent that results from adding the child's net unearned income to the parent's taxable income.<sup>9</sup> If the child has net capital gains or qualified dividends, these items are allocated to the parent's hypothetical taxable income according to the ratio of net unearned income to the child's total unearned income. If a parent has more than one child subject to the kiddie tax, the net unearned income of all children is combined, and a single kiddie tax is calculated. Each child is then allocated a proportionate share of the hypothetical increase, based upon the child's net unearned income relative to the aggregate net unearned income of all of the parent's children subject to the tax.

---

<sup>3</sup> Sec. 1(g)(2).

<sup>4</sup> Special rules apply for determining which parent's rate applies where a joint return is not filed.

<sup>5</sup> Sec. 1(g)(4) and sec. 911(d)(2).

<sup>6</sup> Sec. 1(h).

<sup>7</sup> Sec. 3.02 of Rev. Proc. 2016-55, *supra*.

<sup>8</sup> Sec. 1(g)(4).

<sup>9</sup> Sec. 1(g)(3).

Generally, a child must file a separate return to report his or her income.<sup>10</sup> In such case, items on the parents' return are not affected by the child's income, and the total tax due from the child is the greater of:

1. The sum of (a) the tax payable by the child on the child's earned income and unearned income up to \$2,100 (for 2017), plus (b) the allocable parental tax on the child's unearned income, or
2. The tax on the child's income without regard to the kiddie tax provisions.<sup>11</sup>

Under certain circumstances, a parent may elect to report a child's unearned income on the parent's return.<sup>12</sup>

### **Indexing tax provisions for inflation**

Under present law, many parameters of the tax system are adjusted for inflation to protect taxpayers from the effects of rising prices. Most of the adjustments are based on annual changes in the level of the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers ("CPI-U").<sup>13</sup> The CPI-U is an index that measures prices paid by typical urban consumers on a broad range of products, and is developed and published by the Department of Labor.

Among the inflation-indexed tax parameters are the following individual income tax amounts: (1) the regular income tax brackets; (2) the basic standard deduction; (3) the additional standard deduction for aged and blind; (4) the personal exemption amount; (5) the thresholds for the overall limitation on itemized deductions and the personal exemption phase-out; (6) the phase-in and phase-out thresholds of the earned income credit; (7) IRA contribution limits and deductible amounts; and (8) the saver's credit

### **Capital gains rates**

#### **In general**

In the case of an individual, estate, or trust, any adjusted net capital gain which otherwise would be taxed at the 10- or 15-percent rate is not taxed. Any adjusted net capital gain which otherwise would be taxed at rates over 15-percent and below 39.6 percent is taxed at a 15-percent rate. Any adjusted net capital gain which otherwise would be taxed at a 39.6-percent rate is taxed at a 20-percent rate.

The unrecaptured section 1250 gain is taxed at a maximum rate of 25 percent, and 28-percent rate gain is taxed at a maximum rate of 28 percent. Any amount of unrecaptured section

---

<sup>10</sup> Sec. 1(g)(6). See Form 8615, Tax for Certain Children Who Have Unearned Income.

<sup>11</sup> Sec. 1(g)(1).

<sup>12</sup> Sec. 1(g)(7).

<sup>13</sup> Sec. 1(f)(5).

1250 gain or 28-percent rate gain otherwise taxed at a 10- or 15-percent rate is taxed at the otherwise applicable rate.

In addition, a tax is imposed on net investment income in the case of an individual, estate, or trust. In the case of an individual, the tax is 3.8 percent of the lesser of net investment income, which includes gains and dividends, or the excess of modified adjusted gross income over the threshold amount. The threshold amount is \$250,000 in the case of a joint return or surviving spouse, \$125,000 in the case of a married individual filing a separate return, and \$200,000 in the case of any other individual.

### Definitions

#### Net capital gain

In general, gain or loss reflected in the value of an asset is not recognized for income tax purposes until a taxpayer disposes of the asset. On the sale or exchange of a capital asset, any gain generally is included in income. Net capital gain is the excess of the net long-term capital gain for the taxable year over the net short-term capital loss for the year. Gain or loss is treated as long-term if the asset is held for more than one year.

A capital asset generally means any property except (1) inventory, stock in trade, or property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of the taxpayer's trade or business, (2) depreciable or real property used in the taxpayer's trade or business, (3) specified literary or artistic property, (4) business accounts or notes receivable, (5) certain U.S. publications, (6) certain commodity derivative financial instruments, (7) hedging transactions, and (8) business supplies. In addition, the net gain from the disposition of certain property used in the taxpayer's trade or business is treated as long-term capital gain. Gain from the disposition of depreciable personal property is not treated as capital gain to the extent of all previous depreciation allowances. Gain from the disposition of depreciable real property is generally not treated as capital gain to the extent of the depreciation allowances in excess of the allowances available under the straight-line method of depreciation.

#### Adjusted net capital gain

The "adjusted net capital gain" of an individual is the net capital gain reduced (but not below zero) by the sum of the 28-percent rate gain and the unrecaptured section 1250 gain. The net capital gain is reduced by the amount of gain that the individual treats as investment income for purposes of determining the investment interest limitation under section 163(d).

#### Qualified dividend income

Adjusted net capital gain is increased by the amount of qualified dividend income.

A dividend is the distribution of property made by a corporation to its shareholders out of its after-tax earnings and profits. Qualified dividends generally includes dividends received from domestic corporations and qualified foreign corporations. The term "qualified foreign corporation" includes a foreign corporation that is eligible for the benefits of a comprehensive income tax treaty with the United States which the Treasury Department determines to be

satisfactory and which includes an exchange of information program. In addition, a foreign corporation is treated as a qualified foreign corporation for any dividend paid by the corporation with respect to stock that is readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States.

If a shareholder does not hold a share of stock for more than 60 days during the 121-day period beginning 60 days before the ex-dividend date (as measured under section 246(c)), dividends received on the stock are not eligible for the reduced rates. Also, the reduced rates are not available for dividends to the extent that the taxpayer is obligated to make related payments with respect to positions in substantially similar or related property.

Dividends received from a corporation that is a passive foreign investment company (as defined in section 1297) in either the taxable year of the distribution, or the preceding taxable year, are not qualified dividends.

A dividend is treated as investment income for purposes of determining the amount of deductible investment interest only if the taxpayer elects to treat the dividend as not eligible for the reduced rates.

The amount of dividends qualifying for reduced rates that may be paid by a regulated investment company ("RIC") for any taxable year in which the qualified dividend income received by the RIC is less than 95 percent of its gross income (as specially computed) may not exceed the sum of (1) the qualified dividend income of the RIC for the taxable year and (2) the amount of earnings and profits accumulated in a non-RIC taxable year that were distributed by the RIC during the taxable year.

The amount of qualified dividend income that may be paid by a real estate investment trust ("REIT") for any taxable year may not exceed the sum of (1) the qualified dividend income of the REIT for the taxable year, (2) an amount equal to the excess of the income subject to the taxes imposed by section 857(b)(1) and the regulations prescribed under section 337(d) for the preceding taxable year over the amount of these taxes for the preceding taxable year, and (3) the amount of earnings and profits accumulated in a non-REIT taxable year that were distributed by the REIT during the taxable year.

Dividends received from an organization that was exempt from tax under section 501 or was a tax-exempt farmers' cooperative in either the taxable year of the distribution or the preceding taxable year; dividends received from a mutual savings bank that received a deduction under section 591; or deductible dividends paid on employer securities are not qualified dividend income.

#### 28-percent rate gain

The term "28-percent rate gain" means the excess of the sum of the amount of net gain attributable to long-term capital gains and losses from the sale or exchange of collectibles (as defined in section 408(m) without regard to paragraph (3) thereof) and the amount of gain equal to the additional amount of gain that would be excluded from gross income under section 1202 (relating to certain small business stock) if the percentage limitations of section 1202(a) did not

apply, over the sum of the net short-term capital loss for the taxable year and any long-term capital loss carryover to the taxable year.

Unrecaptured section 1250 gain

“Unrecaptured section 1250 gain” means any long-term capital gain from the sale or exchange of section 1250 property (i.e., depreciable real estate) held more than one year to the extent of the gain that would have been treated as ordinary income if section 1250 applied to all depreciation, reduced by the net loss (if any) attributable to the items taken into account in computing 28-percent rate gain. The amount of unrecaptured section 1250 gain (before the reduction for the net loss) attributable to the disposition of property to which section 1231 (relating to certain property used in a trade or business) applies may not exceed the net section 1231 gain for the year.

**Description of Proposal**

**Modification of rates**

The proposal replaces the individual income tax rate structure with a new rate structure.

**Table 2.—Proposed Federal Individual Income Tax Rates for 2018**

<b>If taxable income is:</b>	<b>Then income tax equals:</b>
<i>Single Individuals</i>	
Not over \$9,525	10% of the taxable income
Over \$9,525 but not over \$38,700	\$952.50 plus 12% of the excess over \$9,525
Over \$38,700 but not over \$60,000	\$4,453.50 plus 22.5% of the excess over \$38,700
Over \$60,000 but not over \$170,000	\$9,246 plus 25% of the excess over \$60,000
Over \$170,000 but not over \$200,000	\$36,746 plus 32.5% of the excess over \$170,000
Over \$200,000 but not over \$500,000	\$46,496 plus 35% of the excess over \$200,000
Over \$500,000	\$151,496 plus 38.5% of the excess over \$500,000
<i>Heads of Households</i>	
Not over \$13,600	10% of the taxable income
Over \$13,600 but not over \$51,800	\$1,360 plus 12% of the excess over \$13,600
Over \$51,800 but not over \$60,000	\$5,944 plus 22.5% of the excess over \$51,800
Over \$60,000 but not over \$170,000	\$7,789 plus 25% of the excess over \$60,000
Over \$170,000 but not over \$200,000	\$35,289 plus 32.5% of the excess over \$170,000
Over \$200,000 but not over \$500,000	\$45,039 plus 35% of the excess over \$200,000
Over \$500,000	\$150,039 plus 38.5% of the excess over \$500,000

<b>If taxable income is:</b>	<b>Then income tax equals:</b>
<b><i>Married Individuals Filing Joint Returns and Surviving Spouses</i></b>	
Not over \$19,050	10% of the taxable income
Over \$19,050 but not over \$77,400	\$1,905 plus 12% of the excess over \$19,050
Over \$77,400 but not over \$120,000	\$8,907 plus 22.5% of the excess over \$77,400
Over \$120,000 but not over \$290,000	\$18,492 plus 25% of the excess over \$120,000
Over \$290,000 but not over \$390,000	\$60,992 plus 32.5% of the excess over \$290,000
Over \$390,000 but not over \$1,000,000	\$93,492 plus 35% of the excess over \$390,000
Over \$1,000,000	\$306,992 plus 38.5% of the excess over \$1,000,000
<b><i>Married Individuals Filing Separate Returns</i></b>	
Not over \$9,525	10% of the taxable income
Over \$9,525 but not over \$38,700	\$952.50 plus 12% of the excess over \$9,525
Over \$38,700 but not over \$60,000	\$4,453.50 plus 22.5% of the excess over \$38,700
Over \$60,000 but not over \$145,000	\$9,246 plus 25% of the excess over \$60,000
Over \$145,000 but not over \$195,000	\$30,496 plus 32.5% of the excess over \$145,000
Over \$195,000 but not over \$500,000	\$46,746 plus 35% of the excess over \$195,000
Over \$500,000	\$153,496 plus 38.5% of the excess over \$500,000
<b><i>Estates and Trusts</i></b>	
Not over \$2,550	10% of the taxable income
Over \$2,550 but not over \$9,150	\$255 plus 25% of the excess over \$2,550
Over \$9,150 but not over \$12,500	\$1,905 plus 35% of the excess over \$9,150
Over \$12,500	\$3,077.50 plus 38.5% of the excess over \$12,500

The bracket thresholds are all adjusted for inflation and then rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$100 in future years. Unlike present law (which uses a measure of the consumer price index for all-urban consumers), the new inflation adjustment uses the chained consumer price index for all-urban consumers.

### **Simplification of tax on unearned income of children**

The proposal simplifies the “kiddie tax” by effectively applying ordinary and capital gains rates applicable to trusts and estates to the net unearned income of a child. Thus, taxable income attributable to earned income is taxed according to an unmarried taxpayers’ brackets and rates. Taxable income attributable to net unearned income is taxed according to the brackets applicable to trusts and estates, with respect to both ordinary income and income taxed at

preferential rates. The child's tax is no longer affected by the tax situation of the child's parent or the unearned income of any siblings.

### **Replacing CPI-U with chained CPI-U**

The proposal requires the use of the chained CPI-U ("C-CPI-U") to index tax parameters currently indexed by the CPI-U. The C-CPI-U is also developed and published by the Department of Labor, and differs from the CPI-U in that it accounts for the ability of individuals to alter their consumption patterns in response to relative price changes. Values that are reset for 2018, such as the bracket thresholds and standard deduction, are indexed by the C-CPI-U in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2018. Other indexed values in the code switch from CPI-U indexing to C-CPI-U indexing going forward in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

### **Maximum rates on capital gains and qualified dividends**

The proposal generally retains the present-law maximum rates on net capital gain and qualified dividends. The breakpoints between the zero- and 15-percent rates ("15-percent breakpoint") and the 15- and 20-percent rates ("20-percent breakpoint") are the same amounts as the breakpoints under present law, except the breakpoints are indexed using the C-CPI-U in taxable years beginning after 2017. Thus, for 2018, the 15-percent breakpoint is \$77,200 for joint returns and surviving spouses (one-half of this amount for married taxpayers filing separately), \$51,700 for heads of household, \$2,600 for estates and trusts, and \$38,600 for other unmarried individuals. The 20-percent breakpoint is \$479,000 for joint returns and surviving spouses (one-half of this amount for married taxpayers filing separately), \$452,400 for heads of household, \$12,700 for estates and trusts, and \$425,800 for other unmarried individuals.

Therefore, in the case of an individual (including an estate or trust) with adjusted net capital gain, to the extent the gain would not result in taxable income exceeding the 15-percent breakpoint, such gain is not taxed. Any adjusted net capital gain which would result in taxable income exceeding the 15-percent breakpoint but not exceeding the 20-percent breakpoint is taxed at 15 percent. The remaining adjusted net capital gain is taxed at 20 percent.

As under present law, unrecaptured section 1250 gain generally is taxed at a maximum rate of 25 percent, and 28-percent rate gain is taxed at a maximum rate of 28 percent.

### **Paid preparer due diligence requirement for head of household status**

The proposal directs the Secretary of the Treasury to promulgate due diligence requirements for paid preparers in determining eligibility for a taxpayer to file as head of household. A penalty of \$500 is imposed for each failure to meet these requirements.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.



## **2. Increase in standard deduction**

### **Present Law**

Under present law, an individual who does not elect to itemize deductions may reduce his adjusted gross income (“AGI”) by the amount of the applicable standard deduction in arriving at his taxable income. The standard deduction is the sum of the basic standard deduction and, if applicable, the additional standard deduction. The basic standard deduction varies depending upon a taxpayer’s filing status. For 2017, the amount of the basic standard deduction is \$6,350 for single individuals and married individuals filing separate returns, \$9,350 for heads of households, and \$12,700 for married individuals filing a joint return and surviving spouses. An additional standard deduction is allowed with respect to any individual who is elderly or blind.<sup>14</sup> The amount of the standard deduction is indexed annually for inflation.

In the case of a dependent for whom a deduction for a personal exemption is allowed to another taxpayer, the standard deduction may not exceed the greater of (i) \$1,050 (in 2017) or (ii) the sum of \$350 (in 2017) plus the individual’s earned income.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal increases the basic standard deduction for individuals across all filing statuses. Under the proposal, the amount of the standard deduction is increased to \$24,000 for married individuals filing a joint return, \$18,000 for head-of-household filers, and \$12,000 for all other taxpayers. The amount of the standard deduction is indexed for inflation using the chained consumer price index for all-urban consumers for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2018.

The additional standard deduction for the elderly and the blind is not changed by the proposal.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **3. Repeal of the deduction for personal exemptions**

### **Present Law**

Under present law, in determining taxable income, an individual reduces AGI by any personal exemption deductions and either the applicable standard deduction or his or her itemized deductions. Personal exemptions generally are allowed for the taxpayer, his or her spouse, and any dependents. For 2017, the amount deductible for each personal exemption is \$4,050. This amount is indexed annually for inflation. The personal exemption amount is

---

<sup>14</sup> For 2017, the additional amount is \$1,250 for married taxpayers (for each spouse meeting the applicable criterion) and surviving spouses. The additional amount for single individuals and heads of households is \$1,550. An individual who qualifies as both blind and elderly is entitled to two additional standard deductions, for a total additional amount (for 2017) of \$2,500 or \$3,100, as applicable.

phased out in the case of an individual with AGI in excess of \$313,800 for taxpayers filing jointly, \$287,650 for heads of household and \$261,500 for all other filers. In addition, no personal exemption is allowed in the case of a dependent if a deduction is allowed to another taxpayer.

#### Withholding rules

Under present law, the amount of tax required to be withheld by employers from a taxpayer's wages is based in part on the number of withholding exemptions a taxpayer claims on his Form W-4. An employee is entitled to the following exemptions: (1) an exemption for himself, unless he allowed to be claimed as a dependent of another person; (2) an exemption to which the employee's spouse would be entitled, if that spouse does not file a Form W-4 for that taxable year claiming an exemption described in (1); (3) an exemption for each individual who is a dependent (but only if the employee's spouse has not also claimed such a withholding exemption on a Form W-4); (4) additional withholding allowances (taking into account estimated itemized deductions, estimated tax credits, and additional deductions as provided by the Secretary of the Treasury); and (5) a standard deduction allowance.

#### Filing requirements

Under present law, an unmarried individual is required to file a tax return for the taxable year if in that year the individual had income which equals or exceeds the exemption amount plus the standard deduction applicable to such individual (*i.e.*, single, head of household, or surviving spouse). An individual entitled to file a joint return is required to do so unless that individual's gross income, when combined with the individual's spouse's gross income for the taxable year, is less than the sum of twice the exemption amount plus the basic standard deduction applicable to a joint return, provided that such individual and his spouse, at the close of the taxable year, had the same household as their home.

#### Trusts and estates

In lieu of the deduction for personal exemptions, an estate is allowed a deduction of \$600. A trust is allowed a deduction of \$100; \$300 if required to distribute all its income currently; and an amount equal to the personal exemption of an individual in the case of a qualified disability trust.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the deduction for personal exemptions.

The proposal modifies the requirements for those who are required to file a tax return. In the case of an individual who is not married, such individual is required to file a tax return if the taxpayer's gross income for the taxable year exceeds the applicable standard deduction. Married individuals are required to file a return if that individual's gross income, when combined with the individual's spouse's gross income for the taxable year, is more than the standard deduction applicable to a joint return, provided that: (i) such individual and his spouse, at the close of the taxable year, had the same household as their home; (ii) the individual's spouse does not make a separate return; and (iii) neither the individual nor his spouse is a dependent of

another taxpayer who has income (other than earned income) in excess of \$500 (indexed for inflation).

**Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **B. Treatment of Business Income of Individuals**

### **1. Allow 17.4-percent deduction to certain pass-through income**

#### **Present Law**

##### **Individual income tax rates**

To determine regular tax liability, an individual taxpayer generally must apply the tax rate schedules (or the tax tables) to his or her regular taxable income. The rate schedules are broken into several ranges of income, known as income brackets, and the marginal tax rate increases as a taxpayer's income increases. Separate rate schedules apply based on an individual's filing status (*i.e.*, single, head of household, married filing jointly, or married filing separately). For 2017, the regular individual income tax rate schedule provides rates of 10, 15, 25, 28, 33, 35, and 39.6 percent.

##### **Partnerships**

Partnerships generally are treated for Federal income tax purposes as pass-through entities not subject to tax at the entity level.<sup>15</sup> Items of income (including tax-exempt income), gain, loss, deduction, and credit of the partnership are taken into account by the partners in computing their income tax liability (based on the partnership's method of accounting and regardless of whether the income is distributed to the partners).<sup>16</sup> A partner's deduction for partnership losses is limited to the partner's adjusted basis in its partnership interest.<sup>17</sup> Losses not allowed as a result of that limitation generally are carried forward to the next year. A partner's adjusted basis in the partnership interest generally equals the sum of (1) the partner's capital contributions to the partnership, (2) the partner's distributive share of partnership income, and (3) the partner's share of partnership liabilities, less (1) the partner's distributive share of losses allowed as a deduction and certain nondeductible expenditures, and (2) any partnership distributions to the partner.<sup>18</sup> Partners generally may receive distributions of partnership property without recognition of gain or loss, subject to some exceptions.<sup>19</sup>

---

<sup>15</sup> Sec. 701.

<sup>16</sup> Sec. 702(a).

<sup>17</sup> Sec. 704(d). In addition, passive loss and at-risk limitations limit the extent to which certain types of income can be offset by partnership deductions (sections 469 and 465). These limitations do not apply to corporate partners (except certain closely-held corporations) and may not be important to individual partners who have partner-level passive income from other investments.

<sup>18</sup> Sec. 705.

<sup>19</sup> Sec. 731. Gain or loss may nevertheless be recognized, for example, on the distribution of money or marketable securities, distributions with respect to contributed property, or in the case of disproportionate distributions (which can result in ordinary income).

Partnerships may allocate items of income, gain, loss, deduction, and credit among the partners, provided the allocations have substantial economic effect.<sup>20</sup> In general, an allocation has substantial economic effect to the extent the partner to which the allocation is made receives the economic benefit or bears the economic burden of such allocation and the allocation substantially affects the dollar amounts to be received by the partners from the partnership independent of tax consequences.<sup>21</sup>

State laws of every State provide for limited liability companies<sup>22</sup> (“LLCs”), which are neither partnerships nor corporations under applicable State law, but which are generally treated as partnerships for Federal tax purposes.<sup>23</sup>

Under present law, a publicly traded partnership generally is treated as a corporation for Federal tax purposes.<sup>24</sup> For this purpose, a publicly traded partnership means any partnership if interests in the partnership are traded on an established securities market or interests in the partnership are readily tradable on a secondary market (or the substantial equivalent thereof).<sup>25</sup>

An exception from corporate treatment is provided for certain publicly traded partnerships, 90 percent or more of whose gross income is qualifying income.<sup>26</sup>

---

<sup>20</sup> Sec. 704(b)(2).

<sup>21</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.704-1(b)(2).

<sup>22</sup> The first LLC statute was enacted in Wyoming in 1977. All States (and the District of Columbia) now have an LLC statute, though the tax treatment of LLCs for State tax purposes may differ.

<sup>23</sup> Under Treasury regulations promulgated in 1996, any domestic nonpublicly traded unincorporated entity with two or more members generally is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, while any single-member domestic unincorporated entity generally is treated as disregarded for Federal income tax purposes (*i.e.*, treated as not separate from its owner). Instead of the applicable default treatment, however, an LLC may elect to be treated as a corporation for Federal income tax purposes. Treas. Reg. sec. 301.7701-3. These are known as the “check-the-box” regulations.

<sup>24</sup> Sec. 7704(a).

<sup>25</sup> Sec. 7704(b).

<sup>26</sup> Sec. 7704(c)(2). Qualifying income is defined to include interest, dividends, and gains from the disposition of a capital asset (or of property described in section 1231(b)) that is held for the production of income that is qualifying income. Sec. 7704(d). Qualifying income also includes rents from real property, gains from the sale or other disposition of real property, and income and gains from the exploration, development, mining or production, processing, refining, transportation (including pipelines transporting gas, oil, or products thereof), or the marketing of any mineral or natural resource (including fertilizer, geothermal energy, and timber), industrial source carbon dioxide, or the transportation or storage of certain fuel mixtures, alternative fuel, alcohol fuel, or biodiesel fuel. It also includes income and gains from commodities (not described in section 1221(a)(1)) or futures, options, or forward contracts with respect to such commodities (including foreign currency transactions of a commodity pool) where a principal activity of the partnership is the buying and selling of such commodities, futures, options, or forward contracts. However, the exception for partnerships with qualifying income does not apply to any partnership resembling a mutual fund (*i.e.*, that would be described in section 851(a) if it were a domestic

## **S corporations**

For Federal income tax purposes, an S corporation<sup>27</sup> generally is not subject to tax at the corporate level.<sup>28</sup> Items of income (including tax-exempt income), gain, loss, deduction, and credit of the S corporation are taken into account by the S corporation shareholders in computing their income tax liabilities (based on the S corporation's method of accounting and regardless of whether the income is distributed to the shareholders). A shareholder's deduction for corporate losses is limited to the sum of the shareholder's adjusted basis in its S corporation stock and the indebtedness of the S corporation to such shareholder. Losses not allowed as a result of that limitation generally are carried forward to the next year. A shareholder's adjusted basis in the S corporation stock generally equals the sum of (1) the shareholder's capital contributions to the S corporation and (2) the shareholder's pro rata share of S corporation income, less (1) the shareholder's pro rata share of losses allowed as a deduction and certain nondeductible expenditures, and (2) any S corporation distributions to the shareholder.<sup>29</sup>

In general, an S corporation shareholder is not subject to tax on corporate distributions unless the distributions exceed the shareholder's basis in the stock of the corporation.

### **Electing S corporation status**

To be eligible to elect S corporation status, a corporation may not have more than 100 shareholders and may not have more than one class of stock.<sup>30</sup> Only individuals (other than nonresident aliens), certain tax-exempt organizations, and certain trusts and estates are permitted shareholders of an S corporation.

## **Sole proprietorships**

Unlike a C corporation, partnership, or S corporation, a business conducted as a sole proprietorship is not treated as an entity distinct from its owner for Federal income tax

---

corporation), which includes a corporation registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Pub. L. No. 76-768 (1940)) as a management company or unit investment trust (sec. 7704(c)(3)).

<sup>27</sup> An S corporation is so named because its Federal tax treatment is governed by subchapter S of the Code.

<sup>28</sup> Secs. 1363 and 1366.

<sup>29</sup> Sec. 1367. If any amount that would reduce the adjusted basis of a shareholder's S corporation stock exceeds the amount that would reduce that basis to zero, the excess is applied to reduce (but not below zero) the shareholder's basis in any indebtedness of the S corporation to the shareholder. If, after a reduction in the basis of such indebtedness, there is an event that would increase the adjusted basis of the shareholder's S corporation stock, such increase is instead first applied to restore the reduction in the basis of the shareholder's indebtedness. Sec. 1367(b)(2).

<sup>30</sup> Sec. 1361. For this purpose, a husband and wife and all members of a family are treated as one shareholder. Sec. 1361(c)(1).

purposes.<sup>31</sup> Rather, the business owner is taxed directly on business income, and files Schedule C (sole proprietorships generally), Schedule E (rental real estate and royalties), or Schedule F (farms) with his or her individual tax return. Furthermore, transfer of a sole proprietorship is treated as a transfer of each individual asset of the business. Nonetheless, a sole proprietorship is treated as an entity separate from its owner for employment tax purposes,<sup>32</sup> for certain excise taxes,<sup>33</sup> and certain information reporting requirements.<sup>34</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

An individual taxpayer generally may deduct 17.4 percent of domestic qualified business income from a partnership, S corporation, or sole proprietorship.

The deduction does not apply to specified service businesses, except in the case of a taxpayer whose taxable income does not exceed \$150,000 (for married individuals filing jointly; \$75,000 for other individuals). The benefit of the deduction for service providers is phased out over a \$50,000 range (for married individuals filing jointly; \$25,000 for other individuals). The phaseout applies for taxable income exceeding \$150,000 (for married individuals filing jointly; \$75,000 for other individuals).

In the case of a taxpayer who has qualified business income from a partnership or S corporation, the amount of the deduction is limited to 50 percent of the W-2 wages of the taxpayer. W-2 wages of a person is the sum of wages subject to wage withholding, elective deferrals, and deferred compensation paid by the person during the calendar year ending during the taxable year. Only those wages that are properly allocable to qualified business income are taken into account.

Qualified business income for a taxable year means the net amount of domestic qualified items of income, gain, deduction, and loss with respect to the taxpayer's qualified businesses (that is, any trade or business other than specified service trades or businesses, defined below). The determination of qualified items of income, gain, deduction, and loss takes into account these items only to the extent included or allowed in the determination of taxable income for the year. For example, if in a taxable year, a qualified business has 100 of ordinary income from inventory sales, and makes an expenditure of 25 that is required to be capitalized and amortized over 5 years under applicable tax rules, the net business income is 100 minus 5 (current-year ordinary amortization deduction), or 95. The qualified business income is not reduced by the

---

<sup>31</sup> A single-member unincorporated entity is disregarded for Federal income tax purposes, unless its owner elects to be treated as a C corporation. Treas. Reg. sec. 301.7701-3(b)(1)(ii). Sole proprietorships often are conducted through legal entities for nontax reasons. While sole proprietorships generally may have no more than one owner, a married couple that files a joint return and jointly owns and operates a business may elect to have that business treated as a sole proprietorship under section 761(f).

<sup>32</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 301.7701-2(c)(2)(iv).

<sup>33</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 301.7701-2(c)(2)(v).

<sup>34</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 301.7701-2(c)(2)(vi).

entire amount of the capital expenditure, only by the amount deductible in determining taxable income for the year.

Dividends from a real estate investment trust (other than any portion that is a capital gain dividend) are qualified items of income for this purpose. Similarly, dividends that are includable in gross income from certain cooperative are qualified items of income for this purpose.

If the amount of qualified business income is less than zero for a taxable year, *i.e.*, is a loss, the amount of the loss is treated as a loss from qualified businesses in the next taxable year.

Qualified business income does not include any amount paid by an S corporation that is treated as reasonable compensation of the taxpayer. Similarly, qualified business income does not include any amount allocated or distributed by a partnership to a partner who is acting other than in his or her capacity as a partner for services, and does not include any amount that is a guaranteed payment for services actually rendered to or on behalf of a partnership to the extent that the payment is in the nature of remuneration for those services.

Qualified business income or loss does not include certain investment-related income, gain, deductions, or loss.

A specified service trade or business means any trade or business activity involving the performance of services in the fields of health, law, engineering, architecture, accounting, actuarial science, performing arts, consulting, athletics, financial services, brokerage services, or any trade or business where the principal asset of such trade or business is the reputation or skill of one or more of its employees.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **2. Limitation on losses for taxpayers other than corporations**

### **Present Law**

#### **Loss limitation rules applicable to individuals**

##### **Passive loss rules**

The passive loss rules limit deductions and credits from passive trade or business activities.<sup>35</sup> The passive loss rules apply to individuals, estates and trusts, and closely held corporations. A passive activity for this purpose is a trade or business activity in which the taxpayer owns an interest, but in which the taxpayer does not materially participate. A taxpayer is treated as materially participating in an activity only if the taxpayer is involved in the

---

<sup>35</sup> Sec. 469.



operation of the activity on a basis that is regular, continuous, and substantial.<sup>36</sup> Deductions attributable to passive activities, to the extent they exceed income from passive activities, generally may not be deducted against other income. Deductions and credits that are suspended under these rules are carried forward and treated as deductions and credits from passive activities in the next year. The suspended losses from a passive activity are allowed in full when a taxpayer disposes of his entire interest in the passive activity to an unrelated person.

#### Excess farm loss rules

A limitation on excess farm losses applies to taxpayers other than C corporations.<sup>37</sup> If a taxpayer other than a C corporation receives an applicable subsidy for the taxable year, the amount of the excess farm loss is not allowed for the taxable year, and is carried forward and treated as a deduction attributable to farming businesses in the next taxable year. An excess farm loss for a taxable year means the excess of aggregate deductions that are attributable to farming businesses over the sum of aggregate gross income or gain attributable to farming businesses plus the threshold amount. The threshold amount is the greater of (1) \$300,000 (\$150,000 for married individuals filing separately), or (2) for the five-consecutive-year period preceding the taxable year, the excess of the aggregate gross income or gain attributable to the taxpayer's farming businesses over the aggregate deductions attributable to the taxpayer's farming businesses.

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal expands the limitation on excess farm losses. Under the proposal, excess business losses of a taxpayer other than a C corporation are not allowed for the taxable year. Such losses are carried forward and treated as part of the taxpayer's net operating loss (NOL) carryforward in subsequent taxable years. NOL carryovers are allowed for a taxable year up to the lesser of the carryover amount or 90 percent of taxable income determined without regard to the deduction for NOLs.

An excess business loss for the taxable year is the excess of aggregate deductions of the taxpayer attributable to trades or businesses of the taxpayer, over the sum of aggregate gross income or gain of the taxpayer plus a threshold amount. The threshold amount for a taxable year is \$500,000 for married individuals filing jointly, and \$250,000 for other individuals. The \$500,000 and \$250,000 thresholds are indexed for inflation.

In the case of a partnership or S corporation, the proposal applies at the partner or shareholder level. Each partner's or S corporation shareholder's share of items of income, gain, deduction, or loss of the partnership or S corporation are taken into account in applying the limitation under the proposal for the taxable year of the partner or S corporation. Regulatory authority is provided to apply the proposal to any other passthrough entity to the extent necessary

---

<sup>36</sup> Regulations provide more detailed standards for material participation. See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.469-5 and -5T.

<sup>37</sup> Sec. 461(j).

to carry out the proposal. Regulatory authority is also provided to require any additional reporting as the Secretary determines is appropriate to carry out the purposes of the proposal.

**Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## C. Reform of the Child Tax Credit

### Present Law

An individual may claim a tax credit for each qualifying child under the age of 17. The amount of the credit per child is \$1,000. A child who is not a citizen, national, or resident of the United States cannot be a qualifying child.

The aggregate amount of child credits that may be claimed is phased out for individuals with income over certain threshold amounts. Specifically, the otherwise allowable child tax credit is reduced by \$50 for each \$1,000 (or fraction thereof) of modified adjusted gross income (“AGI”) over \$75,000 for single individuals or heads of households, \$110,000 for married individuals filing joint returns, and \$55,000 for married individuals filing separate returns. For purposes of this limitation, modified AGI includes certain otherwise excludable income earned by U.S. citizens or residents living abroad or in certain U.S. territories.

The credit is allowable against both the regular tax and the alternative minimum tax (“AMT”). To the extent the child credit exceeds the taxpayer’s tax liability, the taxpayer is eligible for a refundable credit<sup>38</sup> (the “additional child tax credit”) equal to 15 percent of earned income in excess of \$3,000 (the “earned income” formula).

Families with three or more children may determine the additional child tax credit using the “alternative formula,” if this results in a larger credit than determined under the earned income formula. Under the alternative formula, the additional child tax credit equals the amount by which the taxpayer’s Social Security taxes exceed the taxpayer’s earned income credit (“EIC”).

Earned income is defined as the sum of wages, salaries, tips, and other taxable employee compensation plus net self-employment earnings. At the taxpayer’s election, combat pay may be treated as earned income for these purposes. Unlike the EIC, which also includes the preceding items in its definition of earned income, the additional child tax credit is based only on earned income to the extent it is included in computing taxable income. For example, some ministers’ parsonage allowances are considered self-employment income, and thus are considered earned income for purposes of computing the EIC, but the allowances are excluded from gross income for individual income tax purposes, and thus are not considered earned income for purposes of the additional child tax credit since the income is not included in taxable income.

Any credit or refund allowed or made to an individual under this provision (including to any resident of a U.S. possession) is not taken into account as income and is not be taken into account as resources for the month of receipt and the following two months for purposes of determining eligibility of such individual or any other individual for benefits or assistance, or the amount or extent of benefits or assistance, under any Federal program or under any State or local program financed in whole or in part with Federal funds.

---

<sup>38</sup> The refundable credit may not exceed the maximum credit per child of \$1,000.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal increases the child tax credit to \$1,650 per qualifying child. Additionally, the age limit for a qualifying child is increased by one year, such that a taxpayer may claim the credit with respect to any qualifying child under the age of 18.

The credit is further modified to provide for a \$500 nonrefundable credit for qualifying dependents other than qualifying children. The proposal generally retains the present-law definition of dependent.

In 2018, the threshold at which the credit begins to phase out is increased to \$1,000,000 for married taxpayers filing a joint return and \$500,000 in the case of all other taxpayers. These amounts are not indexed for inflation.

The proposal lower the earned income threshold for the refundable child tax credit to \$2,500. As under present law, the maximum amount refundable may not exceed \$1,000 per qualifying child. Under the proposal, this \$1,000 threshold is indexed for inflation with a base year of 2017, rounding up to the nearest \$100 (such that the threshold is \$1,100 in 2018). In order to receive the refundable portion of the child tax credit, a taxpayer must include a Social Security number for each qualifying child for whom the credit is claimed on the tax return.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **D. Simplification and Reform of Deductions and Exclusions**

### **1. Repeal of deduction for taxes not paid or accrued in a trade or business**

#### **Present Law**

Individuals are permitted a deduction for certain taxes paid or accrued, whether or not incurred in a taxpayer's trade or business. These taxes are: (i) State, local and foreign real property taxes;<sup>39</sup> (ii) State and local personal property taxes;<sup>40</sup> (iii) State, local and foreign income, war profits, and excess profits taxes.<sup>41</sup> At the election of the taxpayer, an itemized deduction may be taken for State and local general sales taxes in lieu of the itemized deduction for State and local income taxes.<sup>42</sup>

Property taxes may be allowed as a deduction in computing adjusted gross income if incurred in connection with property used in a trade or business; otherwise they are an itemized deduction. In the case of State and local income taxes, the deduction is an itemized deduction notwithstanding that the tax may be imposed on profits from a trade or business.<sup>43</sup>

Individuals also are permitted a deduction for Federal and State generation skipping transfer tax ("GST tax") imposed on certain income distributions that are included in the gross income of the distributee.<sup>44</sup>

In determining a taxpayer's alternative minimum taxable income, no itemized deduction for property, income, or sales tax is allowed.

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal provides that in the case of an individual, State, local and foreign property taxes and State and local sales taxes are allowed as a deduction only when paid or accrued in carrying on a trade or business or an activity described in section 212 (relating to expenses for the production of income).<sup>45</sup> Thus, the proposal allows only those deductions for State, local and foreign property taxes, and sales taxes, that are presently deductible in computing income on an individual's Schedule C, Schedule E, or Schedule F on such individual's tax return. For

---

<sup>39</sup> Sec. 164(a)(1).

<sup>40</sup> Sec. 164(a)(2).

<sup>41</sup> Sec. 164(a)(3). A foreign tax credit, in lieu of a deduction, is allowable for foreign taxes if the taxpayer so elects.

<sup>42</sup> Sec. 164(b)(5).

<sup>43</sup> See H. Rep. No. 1365 to accompany Individual Income Tax Bill of 1944 (78<sup>th</sup> Cong., 2d. Sess.), reprinted at 19 C.B. 839 (1944).

<sup>44</sup> Sec. 164(a)(4).

<sup>45</sup> The proposal does not modify the deductibility of GST tax imposed on certain income distributions.

instance, in the case of property taxes, an individual may deduct such items only if these taxes were imposed on business assets (such as residential rental property).

The proposal also provides that in the case of an individual, State and local income, war profits, and excess profits taxes are not allowable as a deduction.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **2. Modification of deduction for home mortgage interest**

### **Present Law**

As a general matter, personal interest is not deductible.<sup>46</sup> Qualified residence interest is not treated as personal interest and is allowed as an itemized deduction, subject to limitations.<sup>47</sup> Qualified residence interest means interest paid or accrued during the taxable year on either acquisition indebtedness or home equity indebtedness. A qualified residence means the taxpayer's principal residence and one other residence of the taxpayer selected to be a qualified residence. A qualified residence can be a house, condominium, cooperative, mobile home, house trailer, or boat.

#### **Acquisition indebtedness**

Acquisition indebtedness is indebtedness that is incurred in acquiring, constructing or substantially improving a qualified residence of the taxpayer and which secures the residence. The maximum amount treated as acquisition indebtedness is \$1 million (\$500,000 in the case of a married person filing a separate return).

Acquisition indebtedness also includes indebtedness from the refinancing of other acquisition indebtedness but only to the extent of the amount (and term) of the refinanced indebtedness. Thus, for example, if the taxpayer incurs \$200,000 of acquisition indebtedness to acquire a principal residence and pays down the debt to \$150,000, the taxpayer's acquisition indebtedness with respect to the residence cannot thereafter be increased above \$150,000 (except by indebtedness incurred to substantially improve the residence).

Interest on acquisition indebtedness is allowable in computing alternative minimum taxable income. However, in the case of a second residence, the acquisition indebtedness may only be incurred with respect to a house, apartment, condominium, or a mobile home that is not used on a transient basis.

---

<sup>46</sup> Sec. 163(h)(1).

<sup>47</sup> Sec. 163(h)(2)(D) and (h)(3).

### Home equity indebtedness

Home equity indebtedness is indebtedness (other than acquisition indebtedness) secured by a qualified residence.

The amount of home equity indebtedness may not exceed \$100,000 (\$50,000 in the case of a married individual filing a separate return) and may not exceed the fair market value of the residence reduced by the acquisition indebtedness.

Interest on home equity indebtedness is not deductible in computing alternative minimum taxable income.

Interest on qualifying home equity indebtedness is deductible, regardless of how the proceeds of the indebtedness are used. For example, personal expenditures may include health costs and education expenses for the taxpayer's family members or any other personal expenses such as vacations, furniture, or automobiles. A taxpayer and a mortgage company can contract for the home equity indebtedness loan proceeds to be transferred to the taxpayer in a lump sum payment (e.g., a traditional mortgage), a series of payments (e.g., a reverse mortgage), or the lender may extend the borrower a line of credit up to a fixed limit over the term of the loan (e.g., a home equity line of credit).

Thus, the aggregate limitation on the total amount of a taxpayer's acquisition indebtedness and home equity indebtedness with respect to a taxpayer's principal residence and a second residence that may give rise to deductible interest is \$1,100,000 (\$550,000, for married persons filing a separate return).

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the deduction for interest on home equity indebtedness.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **3. Modification of deduction for personal casualty and theft losses**

### **Present Law**

A taxpayer may generally claim a deduction for any loss sustained during the taxable year, not compensated by insurance or otherwise. For individual taxpayers, deductible losses must be incurred in a trade or business or other profit-seeking activity or consist of property losses arising from fire, storm, shipwreck, or other casualty, or from theft.<sup>48</sup> Personal casualty or theft losses are deductible only if they exceed \$100 per casualty or theft. In addition, aggregate

---

<sup>48</sup> Sec. 165(c).

net casualty and theft losses are deductible only to the extent they exceed ten percent of an individual taxpayer's adjusted gross income.

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal modifies the deduction for personal casualty and theft losses. Under the proposal, a taxpayer may claim a personal casualty loss (subject to the limitations described above) if such loss was incurred in a disaster declared by the President under section 401 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for losses incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

### **4. Repeal of deduction for tax preparation expenses**

#### **Present Law**

For regular income tax purposes, individuals are allowed an itemized deduction for expenses for the production of income. These expenses are defined as ordinary and necessary expenses paid or incurred in a taxable year: (1) for the production or collection of income; (2) for the management, conservation, or maintenance of property held for the production of income; or (3) in connection with the determination, collection, or refund of any tax.<sup>49</sup>

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the deduction for expenses in connection with the determination, collection, or refund of any tax.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

### **5. Repeal of miscellaneous itemized deductions subject to the two-percent floor**

#### **Present Law**

Individuals may claim itemized deductions for certain miscellaneous expenses. Certain of these expenses are not deductible unless, in aggregate, they exceed two percent of the taxpayer's

---

<sup>49</sup> Sec. 212.



adjusted gross income (“AGI”).<sup>50</sup> The deductions described below are subject to the aggregate two-percent floor.<sup>51</sup>

### **Expenses for the production or collection of income**

Individuals may deduct all ordinary and necessary expenses paid or incurred during the taxable year for the production or collection of income.<sup>52</sup>

Present law and IRS guidance provide examples of items that may be deducted under this provision. This non-exhaustive list includes:<sup>53</sup>

- Appraisal fees for a casualty loss or charitable contribution;
- Casualty and theft losses from property used in performing services as an employee;
- Clerical help and office rent in caring for investments;
- Depreciation on home computers used for investments;
- Excess deductions (including administrative expenses) allowed a beneficiary on termination of an estate or trust;
- Fees to collect interest and dividends;
- Hobby expenses, but generally not more than hobby income;
- Indirect miscellaneous deductions from pass-through entities;
- Investment fees and expenses;
- Loss on deposits in an insolvent or bankrupt financial institution;
- Loss on traditional IRAs or Roth IRAs, when all amounts have been distributed;
- Repayments of income;
- Safe deposit box rental fees, except for storing jewelry and other personal effects;
- Service charges on dividend reinvestment plans; and
- Trustee's fees for an IRA, if separately billed and paid.

---

<sup>50</sup> Sec. 67(a).

<sup>51</sup> The miscellaneous itemized deduction for tax preparation expenses is described in a separate section of this document.

<sup>52</sup> Sec. 212(1).

<sup>53</sup> See IRS Publication 529, “*Miscellaneous Deductions*” (2016), p. 9.

## **Unreimbursed expenses attributable to the trade or business of being an employee**

In general, unreimbursed business expenses incurred by an employee are deductible, but only as an itemized deduction and only to the extent the expenses exceed two percent of adjusted gross income.<sup>54</sup>

Present law and IRS guidance provide examples of items that may be deducted under this provision. This non-exhaustive list includes:<sup>55</sup>

- Business bad debt of an employee;
- Business liability insurance premiums;
- Damages paid to a former employer for breach of an employment contract;
- Depreciation on a computer a taxpayer's employer requires him to use in his work;
- Dues to a chamber of commerce if membership helps the taxpayer perform his job;
- Dues to professional societies;
- Educator expenses;<sup>56</sup>
- Home office or part of a taxpayer's home used regularly and exclusively in the taxpayer's work;
- Job search expenses in the taxpayer's present occupation;
- Laboratory breakage fees;
- Legal fees related to the taxpayer's job;
- Licenses and regulatory fees;
- Malpractice insurance premiums;
- Medical examinations required by an employer;
- Occupational taxes;
- Passport fees for a business trip;
- Repayment of an income aid payment received under an employer's plan;
- Research expenses of a college professor;
- Rural mail carriers' vehicle expenses;
- Subscriptions to professional journals and trade magazines related to the taxpayer's work;

---

<sup>54</sup> Secs. 62(a)(1) and 67.

<sup>55</sup> See IRS Publication 529, "*Miscellaneous Deductions*" (2016), p. 3.

<sup>56</sup> Under a special provision, these expenses are deductible "above the line" up to \$250.

- Tools and supplies used in the taxpayer’s work;
- Purchase of travel, transportation, meals, entertainment, gifts, and local lodging related to the taxpayer’s work;
- Union dues and expenses;
- Work clothes and uniforms if required and not suitable for everyday use; and
- Work-related education.

**Other miscellaneous itemized deductions subject to the two-percent floor**

Other miscellaneous itemized deductions subject to the two-percent floor include:

- Repayments of income received under a claim of right (only subject to the two-percent floor if less than \$3,000);
- Repayments of Social Security benefits; and
- The share of deductible investment expenses from pass-through entities.

**Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals all miscellaneous itemized deductions that are subject to the two-percent floor under present law.<sup>57</sup>

**Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

**6. Increase percentage limit for charitable contributions of cash to public charities**

**Present Law**

**In general**

The Code allows taxpayers to reduce their income tax liability by taking deductions for contributions to certain organizations, including charities, Federal, State, local and Indian tribal governments, and certain other organizations.

To be deductible, a charitable contribution generally must meet several threshold requirements. First, the recipient of the transfer must be eligible to receive charitable contributions (*i.e.*, an organization or entity described in section 170(c)). Second, the transfer must be made with gratuitous intent and without the expectation of a benefit of substantial

---

<sup>57</sup> For a description of the repeal of the deduction for tax preparation expenses, please see “Repeal of deduction for tax preparation expenses.”

economic value in return. Third, the transfer must be complete and generally must be a transfer of a donor's entire interest in the contributed property (*i.e.*, not a contingent or partial interest contribution). To qualify for a current year charitable deduction, payment of the contribution must be made within the taxable year.<sup>58</sup> Fourth, the transfer must be of money or property—contributions of services are not deductible. Finally, the transfer must be substantiated and in the proper form.

As discussed below, special rules limit the deductibility of a taxpayer's charitable contributions in a given year to a percentage of income, and those rules, in part, turn on whether the organization receiving the contributions is a public charity or a private foundation. Other special rules determine the deductible value of contributed property for each type of property.

### **Percentage limits on charitable contributions**

#### Individual taxpayers

Charitable contributions by individual taxpayers are limited to a specified percentage of the individual's contribution base. The contribution base is the taxpayer's adjusted gross income ("AGI") for a taxable year, disregarding any net operating loss carryback to the year under section 172.<sup>59</sup> In general, more favorable (higher) percentage limits apply to contributions of cash and ordinary income property than to contributions of capital gain property. More favorable limits also generally apply to contributions to public charities (and certain operating foundations) than to contributions to nonoperating private foundations.

More specifically, the deduction for charitable contributions by an individual taxpayer of cash and property that is not appreciated to a charitable organization described in section 170(b)(1)(A) (public charities, private foundations other than nonoperating private foundations, and certain governmental units) may not exceed 50 percent of the taxpayer's contribution base. Contributions of this type of property to nonoperating private foundations generally may be deducted up to the lesser of 30 percent of the taxpayer's contribution base or the excess of (i) 50 percent of the contribution base over (ii) the amount of contributions subject to the 50 percent limitation.

Contributions of appreciated capital gain property to public charities and other organizations described in section 170(b)(1)(A) generally are deductible up to 30 percent of the taxpayer's contribution base (after taking into account contributions other than contributions of capital gain property). An individual may elect, however, to bring all these contributions of appreciated capital gain property for a taxable year within the 50-percent limitation category by reducing the amount of the contribution deduction by the amount of the appreciation in the capital gain property. Contributions of appreciated capital gain property to nonoperating private foundations are deductible up to the lesser of 20 percent of the taxpayer's contribution base or

---

<sup>58</sup> Sec. 170(a)(1).

<sup>59</sup> Sec. 170(b)(1)(G).

the excess of (i) 30 percent of the contribution base over (ii) the amount of contributions subject to the 30 percent limitation.

Finally, contributions that are for the use of (not to) the donee charity get less favorable percentage limits. Contributions of capital gain property for the use of public charities and other organizations described in section 170(b)(1)(A) also are limited to 20 percent of the taxpayer's contribution base. Property contributed for the use of an organization generally has been interpreted to mean property contributed in trust for the organization.<sup>60</sup> Charitable contributions of income interests (where deductible) also generally are treated as contributions for the use of the donee organization.

**Table 3.—Charitable Contribution Percentage Limits For Individual Taxpayers<sup>61</sup>**

	Ordinary Income Property and Cash	Capital Gain Property to the Recipient <sup>62</sup>	Capital Gain Property for the use of the Recipient
Public Charities, Private Operating Foundations, and Private Distributing Foundations	50%	30% <sup>63</sup>	20%
Nonoperating Private Foundations	30%	20%	20%

Corporate taxpayers

A corporation generally may deduct charitable contributions up to 10 percent of the corporation's taxable income for the year.<sup>64</sup> For this purpose, taxable income is determined without regard to: (1) the charitable contributions deduction; (2) any net operating loss carryback to the taxable year; (3) deductions for dividends received; (4) deductions for dividends

<sup>60</sup> *Rockefeller v. Commissioner*, 676 F.2d 35, 39 (2d Cir. 1982).

<sup>61</sup> Percentages shown are the percentage of an individual's contribution base.

<sup>62</sup> Capital gain property contributed to public charities, private operating foundations, or private distributing foundations will be subject to the 50-percent limitation if the donor elects to reduce the fair market value of the property by the amount that would have been long-term capital gain if the property had been sold.

<sup>63</sup> Certain qualified conservation contributions to public charities (generally, conservation easements), qualify for more generous contribution limits. In general, the 30-percent limit applicable to contributions of capital gain property is increased to 100 percent if the individual making the qualified conservation contribution is a qualified farmer or rancher or to 50 percent if the individual is not a qualified farmer or rancher.

<sup>64</sup> Sec. 170(b)(2)(A).

paid on certain preferred stock of public utilities; and (5) any capital loss carryback to the taxable year.<sup>65</sup>

#### Carryforwards of excess contributions

Charitable contributions that exceed the applicable percentage limit generally may be carried forward for up to five years.<sup>66</sup> In general, contributions carried over from a prior year are taken into account after contributions for the current year that are subject to the same percentage limit. Excess contributions made for the use of (rather than to) an organization generally may not be carried forward.

#### Qualified conservation contributions

Preferential percentage limits and carryforward rules apply for qualified conservation contributions.<sup>67</sup> In general, the 30-percent contribution base limitation on contributions of capital gain property by individuals does not apply to qualified conservation contributions. Instead, individuals may deduct the fair market value of any qualified conservation contribution to an organization described in section 170(b)(1)(A) (generally, public charities) to the extent of the excess of 50 percent of the contribution base over the amount of all other allowable charitable contributions. These contributions are not taken into account in determining the amount of other allowable charitable contributions. Individuals are allowed to carry forward any qualified conservation contributions that exceed the 50-percent limitation for up to 15 years. In the case of an individual who is a qualified farmer or rancher for the taxable year in which the contribution is made, a qualified conservation contribution is allowable up to 100 percent of the excess of the taxpayer's contribution base over the amount of all other allowable charitable contributions.

In the case of a corporation (other than a publicly traded corporation) that is a qualified farmer or rancher for the taxable year in which the contribution is made, any qualified conservation contribution is allowable up to 100 percent of the excess of the corporation's taxable income (as computed under section 170(b)(2)) over the amount of all other allowable charitable contributions. Any excess may be carried forward for up to 15 years as a contribution subject to the 100-percent limitation.<sup>68</sup>

A qualified farmer or rancher means a taxpayer whose gross income from the trade or business of farming (within the meaning of section 2032A(e)(5)) is greater than 50 percent of the taxpayer's gross income for the taxable year.

---

<sup>65</sup> Sec. 170(b)(2)(C).

<sup>66</sup> Sec. 170(d).

<sup>67</sup> Sec. 170(b)(1)(E).

<sup>68</sup> Sec. 170(b)(2)(B).

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal increases the income-based percentage limit described in section 170(b)(1)(A) for certain charitable contributions by an individual taxpayer of cash to public charities and certain other organizations from 50 percent to 60 percent.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **7. Repeal of overall limitation on itemized deductions**

### **Present Law**

The total amount of most otherwise allowable itemized deductions (other than the deductions for medical expenses, investment interest and casualty, theft or gambling losses) is limited for certain upper-income taxpayers.<sup>69</sup> All other limitations applicable to such deductions (such as the separate floors) are first applied and, then, the otherwise allowable total amount of itemized deductions is reduced by three percent of the amount by which the taxpayer's adjusted gross income exceeds a threshold amount.

For 2017, the threshold amounts are \$261,500 for single taxpayers, \$287,650 for heads of household, \$313,800 for married couples filing jointly, and \$156,900 for married taxpayers filing separately. These threshold amounts are indexed for inflation. The otherwise allowable itemized deductions may not be reduced by more than 80 percent by reason of the overall limit on itemized deductions.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the overall limitation on itemized deductions.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **8. Modification of exclusion of gain from sale of a principal residence**

### **Present Law**

A taxpayer who is an individual may exclude up to \$250,000 (\$500,000 if married filing a joint return) of gain realized on the sale or exchange of a principal residence. To be eligible for the exclusion, the taxpayer must have owned and used the residence as a principal residence for at least two of the five years ending on the date of the sale or exchange. A taxpayer who fails to meet these requirements by reason of a change of place of employment, health, or, to the extent provided under regulations, unforeseen circumstances, is able to exclude an amount equal to the

---

<sup>69</sup> Sec. 68.

fraction of the \$250,000 (\$500,000 if married filing a joint return) that is equal to the fraction of the two years that the ownership and use requirements are met.

The exclusion under this provision may not be claimed for more than one sale or exchange during any two-year period.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal extends the length of time a taxpayer must own and use a residence to qualify for this exclusion. Specifically, under this proposal, the exclusion is available only if the taxpayer has owned and used the residence as a principal residence for at least five of the eight years ending on the date of the sale or exchange. A taxpayer who fails to meet these requirements by reason of a change of place of employment, health, or, to the extent provided under regulations, unforeseen circumstances, is able to exclude an amount equal to the fraction of the \$250,000 (\$500,000 if married filing a joint return) that is equal to the fraction of the five years that the ownership and use requirements are met.

Under the proposal, a taxpayer may benefit from the exclusion only once every five years.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for sales and exchanges after December 31, 2017.

## **9. Repeal of exclusion for qualified bicycle commuting reimbursement**

### **Present Law**

Qualified bicycle commuting reimbursements of up to \$20 per qualifying bicycle commuting month are excludible from an employee's gross income.<sup>70</sup> A qualifying bicycle commuting month is any month during which the employee regularly uses the bicycle for a substantial portion of travel to a place of employment and during which the employee does not receive transportation in a commuter highway vehicle, a transit pass, or qualified parking from an employer.

Qualified reimbursements are any amount received from an employer during a 15-month period beginning with the first day of the calendar year as payment for reasonable expenses during a calendar year. Reasonable expenses are those incurred in a calendar year for the purchase of a bicycle and bicycle improvements, repair, and storage, if the bicycle is regularly used for travel between the employee's residence and place of employment.

Amounts that are excludible from gross income for income tax purposes are also excluded from wages for employment tax purposes.

---

<sup>70</sup> Section 132(a)(5) and 132(f)(1)(D).



### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the exclusion from gross income and wages for qualified bicycle commuting reimbursements.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **10. Repeal of exclusion for qualified moving expense reimbursement**

### **Present Law**

Qualified moving expense reimbursements are excludible from an employee's gross income<sup>71</sup>, and are defined as any amount received (directly or indirectly) from an employer as payment for (or reimbursement of) expenses which would be deductible as moving expenses under section 217<sup>72</sup> if directly paid or incurred by the employee. However, qualified moving expense reimbursements do not include amounts actually deducted by the individual.

Amounts excludible from gross income for income tax purposes as qualified moving expense reimbursements are also excluded from wages for employment tax purposes.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the exclusion from gross income and wages for qualified moving expense reimbursements.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **11. Repeal of deduction for moving expenses**

### **Present Law**

Individuals are permitted an above-the-line deduction for moving expenses paid or incurred during the taxable year in connection with the commencement of work by the taxpayer as an employee or as a self-employed individual at a new principal place of work.<sup>73</sup> Such

---

<sup>71</sup> Section 132(a)(6) and 132(g).

<sup>72</sup> Sec. 217(a). Individuals are allowed an itemized deduction for moving expenses paid or incurred during the taxable year in connection with the commencement of work by the taxpayer as an employee or as a self-employed individual at a new principal place of work. Such expenses are deductible only if the move meets certain conditions related to distance from the taxpayer's previous residence and the taxpayer's status as a full-time employee in the new location.

<sup>73</sup> Sec. 217(a).

expenses are deductible only if the move meets certain conditions related to distance from the taxpayer's previous residence and the taxpayer's status as a full-time employee in the new location.

Special rules apply in the case of a member of the Armed Forces of the United States. In the case of any such individual who is on active duty, who moves pursuant to a military order and incident to a permanent change of station, the limitations related to distance from the taxpayer's previous residence and status as a full-time employee in the new location do not apply.<sup>74</sup> Additionally, any moving and storage expenses which are furnished in kind to such an individual, spouse, or dependents, or if such expenses are reimbursed or an allowance for such expenses is provided, such amounts are excluded from gross income.<sup>75</sup> Rules also apply to exclude amounts furnished to the spouse and dependents of such an individual in the event that such individuals move to a location other than to where the member of the Armed Forces is moving.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal generally repeals the deduction for moving expenses. However, under the proposal, rules providing for exclusions of amounts attributable to in-kind moving and storage expenses (and reimbursements or allowances for these expenses) for members of the Armed Forces of the United States (or their spouse or dependents) are not repealed.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **12. Modification to the limitation on wagering losses**

### **Present Law**

Losses sustained during the taxable year on wagering transactions are allowed as a deduction only to the extent of the gains during the taxable year from such transactions.<sup>76</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal clarifies the scope of "losses from wagering transactions" as that term is used in section 165(d). The proposal provides that this term includes any deduction otherwise allowable under chapter 1 of the Code incurred in carrying on any wagering transaction.

The proposal is intended to clarify that the limitation on losses from wagering transactions applies not only to the actual costs of wagers incurred by an individual, but to other

---

<sup>74</sup> Sec. 217(g).

<sup>75</sup> Sec. 217(g)(2).

<sup>76</sup> Sec. 165(d).

expenses incurred by the individual in connection with the conduct of that individual's gambling activity.<sup>77</sup> The proposal clarifies, for instance, an individual's otherwise deductible expenses in traveling to or from a casino are subject to the limitation under section 165(d).

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

---

<sup>77</sup> The proposal thus reverses the result reached by the Tax Court in *Ronald A. Mayo v. Commissioner*, 136 T.C. 81 (2011). In that case, the Court held that a taxpayer's expenses incurred in the conduct of the trade or business of gambling, other than the cost of wagers, were not limited by sec. 165(d), and were thus deductible under sec. 162(a).

## E. Increase in Estate and Gift Tax Exemption

### Present Law

#### In general

A gift tax is imposed on certain lifetime transfers, and an estate tax is imposed on certain transfers at death. A generation-skipping transfer tax generally is imposed on transfers, either directly or in trust or similar arrangement, to a “skip person” (*i.e.*, a beneficiary in a generation more than one generation younger than that of the transferor). Transfers subject to the generation-skipping transfer tax include direct skips, taxable terminations, and taxable distributions.

Income tax rules determine the recipient’s tax basis in property acquired from a decedent or by gift. Gifts and bequests generally are excluded from the recipient’s gross income.<sup>78</sup>

#### Common features of the estate, gift and generation-skipping transfer taxes

##### Unified credit (exemption) and tax rates

Unified credit.—A unified credit is available with respect to taxable transfers by gift and at death.<sup>79</sup> The unified credit offsets tax, computed using the applicable estate and gift tax rates, on a specified amount of transfers, referred to as the applicable exclusion amount, or exemption amount. The exemption amount was set at \$5 million for 2011 and is indexed for inflation for later years.<sup>80</sup> For 2017, the inflation-indexed exemption amount is \$5.49 million.<sup>81</sup> Exemption used during life to offset taxable gifts reduces the amount of exemption that remains at death to offset the value of a decedent’s estate. An election is available under which exemption that is not used by a decedent may be used by the decedent’s surviving spouse (exemption portability).

Common tax rate table.—A common tax-rate table with a top marginal tax rate of 40 percent is used to compute gift tax and estate tax. The 40-percent rate applies to transfers in excess of \$1 million (to the extent not exempt). Because the exemption amount currently shields the first \$5.49 million in gifts and bequests from tax, transfers in excess of the exemption amount generally are subject to tax at the highest marginal rate (40 percent).

Generation-skipping transfer tax exemption and rate.—The generation-skipping transfer tax is a separate tax that can apply in addition to either the gift tax or the estate tax. The tax rate

---

<sup>78</sup> Sec. 102.

<sup>79</sup> Sec. 2010.

<sup>80</sup> For 2011 and later years, the gift and estate taxes were reunified, meaning that the gift tax exemption amount was increased to equal the estate tax exemption amount.

<sup>81</sup> For 2017, the \$5.49 exemption amount results in a unified credit of \$2,141,800, after applying the applicable rates set forth in section 2001(c).

and exemption amount for generation-skipping transfer tax purposes, however, are set by reference to the estate tax rules. Generation-skipping transfer tax is imposed using a flat rate equal to the highest estate tax rate (40 percent). Tax is imposed on cumulative generation-skipping transfers in excess of the generation-skipping transfer tax exemption amount in effect for the year of the transfer. The generation-skipping transfer tax exemption for a given year is equal to the estate tax exemption amount in effect for that year (currently \$5.49 million).

Transfers between spouses.—A 100-percent marital deduction generally is permitted for the value of property transferred between spouses.<sup>82</sup> In addition, transfers of “qualified terminable interest property” also are eligible for the marital deduction. Qualified terminable interest property is property: (1) that passes from the decedent, (2) in which the surviving spouse has a “qualifying income interest for life,” and (3) to which an election under these rules applies. A qualifying income interest for life exists if: (1) the surviving spouse is entitled to all the income from the property (payable annually or at more frequent intervals) or has the right to use the property during the spouse’s life, and (2) no person has the power to appoint any part of the property to any person other than the surviving spouse.

A marital deduction generally is denied for property passing to a surviving spouse who is not a citizen of the United States. A marital deduction is permitted, however, for property passing to a qualified domestic trust of which the noncitizen surviving spouse is a beneficiary. A qualified domestic trust is a trust that has as its trustee at least one U.S. citizen or U.S. corporation. No corpus may be distributed from a qualified domestic trust unless the U.S. trustee has the right to withhold any estate tax imposed on the distribution.

Tax is imposed on (1) any distribution from a qualified domestic trust before the date of the death of the noncitizen surviving spouse and (2) the value of the property remaining in a qualified domestic trust on the date of death of the noncitizen surviving spouse. The tax is computed as an additional estate tax on the estate of the first spouse to die.

Transfers to charity.—Contributions to section 501(c)(3) charitable organizations and certain other organizations may be deducted from the value of a gift or from the value of the assets in an estate for Federal gift or estate tax purposes.<sup>83</sup> The effect of the deduction generally is to remove the full fair market value of assets transferred to charity from the gift or estate tax base; unlike the income tax charitable deduction, there are no percentage limits on the deductible amount. For estate tax purposes, the charitable deduction is limited to the value of the transferred property that is required to be included in the gross estate.<sup>84</sup> A charitable contribution

---

<sup>82</sup> Secs. 2056 and 2523.

<sup>83</sup> Secs. 2055 and 2522.

<sup>84</sup> Sec. 2055(d).

of a partial interest in property, such as a remainder or future interest, generally is not deductible for gift or estate tax purposes.<sup>85</sup>

## **Estate tax**

### Overview

The Code imposes a tax on the transfer of the taxable estate of a decedent who is a citizen or resident of the United States.<sup>86</sup> The taxable estate is determined by deducting from the value of the decedent's gross estate any deductions provided for in the Code. After applying tax rates to determine a tentative amount of estate tax, certain credits are subtracted to determine estate tax liability.<sup>87</sup>

Because the estate tax shares a common unified credit (exemption) and tax rate table with the gift tax, the exemption amounts and tax rates are described together above, along with certain other common features of these taxes.

### Gross estate

A decedent's gross estate includes, to the extent provided for in other sections of the Code, the date-of-death value of all of a decedent's property, real or personal, tangible or intangible, wherever situated.<sup>88</sup> In general, the value of property for this purpose is the fair market value of the property as of the date of the decedent's death, although an executor may elect to value certain property as of the date that is six months after the decedent's death (the alternate valuation date).<sup>89</sup>

---

<sup>85</sup> Secs. 2055(e)(2) and 2522(c)(2).

<sup>86</sup> Sec. 2001(a).

<sup>87</sup> More mechanically, the taxable estate is combined with the value of adjusted taxable gifts made during the decedent's life (generally, post-1976 gifts), before applying tax rates to determine a tentative total amount of tax. The portion of the tentative tax attributable to lifetime gifts is then subtracted from the total tentative tax to determine the gross estate tax, *i.e.*, the amount of estate tax before considering available credits. Credits are then subtracted to determine the estate tax liability.

This method of computation was designed to ensure that a taxpayer only gets one run up through the rate brackets for all lifetime gifts and transfers at death, at a time when the thresholds for applying the higher marginal rates exceeded the exemption amount. However, the higher (\$5.49 million) present-law exemption amount effectively renders the lower rate brackets irrelevant, because the top marginal rate bracket applies to all transfers in excess of \$1 million. In other words, all transfers that are not exempt by reason of the \$5.49 million exemption amount are taxed at the highest marginal rate of 40 percent.

<sup>88</sup> Sec. 2031(a).

<sup>89</sup> Sec. 2032.

The gross estate includes not only property directly owned by the decedent, but also other property in which the decedent had a beneficial interest at the time of his or her death.<sup>90</sup> The gross estate also includes certain transfers made by the decedent prior to his or her death, including: (1) certain gifts made within three years prior to the decedent's death;<sup>91</sup> (2) certain transfers of property in which the decedent retained a life estate;<sup>92</sup> (3) certain transfers taking effect at death;<sup>93</sup> and (4) revocable transfers.<sup>94</sup> In addition, the gross estate also includes property with respect to which the decedent had, at the time of death, a general power of appointment (generally, the right to determine who will have beneficial ownership).<sup>95</sup> The value of a life insurance policy on the decedent's life is included in the gross estate if the proceeds are payable to the decedent's estate or the decedent had incidents of ownership with respect to the policy at the time of his or her death.<sup>96</sup>

#### Deductions from the gross estate

A decedent's taxable estate is determined by subtracting from the value of the gross estate any deductions provided for in the Code.

Marital and charitable transfers.—As described above, transfers to a surviving spouse or to charity generally are deductible for estate tax purposes. The effect of the marital and charitable deductions generally is to remove assets transferred to a surviving spouse or to charity from the estate tax base.

State death taxes.—An estate tax deduction is permitted for death taxes (*e.g.*, any estate, inheritance, legacy, or succession taxes) actually paid to any State or the District of Columbia, in respect of property included in the gross estate of the decedent.<sup>97</sup> Such State taxes must have been paid and claimed before the later of: (1) four years after the filing of the estate tax return; or (2) (a) 60 days after a decision of the U.S. Tax Court determining the estate tax liability becomes final, (b) the expiration of the period of extension to pay estate taxes over time under section 6166, or (c) the expiration of the period of limitations in which to file a claim for refund or 60 days after a decision of a court in which such refund suit has become final.

---

<sup>90</sup> Sec. 2033.

<sup>91</sup> Sec. 2035.

<sup>92</sup> Sec. 2036.

<sup>93</sup> Sec. 2037.

<sup>94</sup> Sec. 2038.

<sup>95</sup> Sec. 2041.

<sup>96</sup> Sec. 2042.

<sup>97</sup> Sec. 2058.

Other deductions.—A deduction is available for funeral expenses, estate administration expenses, and claims against the estate, including certain taxes.<sup>98</sup> A deduction also is available for uninsured casualty and theft losses incurred during the settlement of the estate.<sup>99</sup>

#### Credits against tax

After accounting for allowable deductions, a gross amount of estate tax is computed. Estate tax liability is then determined by subtracting allowable credits from the gross estate tax.

Unified credit.—The most significant credit allowed for estate tax purposes is the unified credit, which is discussed in greater detail above.<sup>100</sup> For 2017, the value of the unified credit is \$2,141,800, which has the effect of exempting \$5.49 million in transfers from tax. The unified credit available at death is reduced by the amount of unified credit used to offset gift tax on gifts made during the decedent's life.

Other credits.—Estate tax credits also are allowed for: (1) gift tax paid on certain pre-1977 gifts (before the estate and gift tax computations were integrated);<sup>101</sup> (2) estate tax paid on certain prior transfers (to limit the estate tax burden when estate tax is imposed on transfers of the same property in two estates by reason of deaths in rapid succession);<sup>102</sup> and (3) certain foreign death taxes paid (generally, where the property is situated in a foreign country but included in the decedent's U.S. gross estate).<sup>103</sup>

#### Provisions affecting small and family-owned businesses and farms

Special-use valuation.—An executor can elect to value for estate tax purposes certain “qualified real property” used in farming or another qualifying closely-held trade or business at its current-use value, rather than its fair market value.<sup>104</sup> The maximum reduction in value for such real property is \$750,000 (adjusted for inflation occurring after 1997; the inflation-adjusted amount for 2017 is \$1,120,000). In general, real property qualifies for special-use valuation only if (1) at least 50 percent of the adjusted value of the decedent's gross estate (including both real and personal property) consists of a farm or closely-held business property in the decedent's estate and (2) at least 25 percent of the adjusted value of the gross estate consists of farm or

---

<sup>98</sup> Sec. 2053.

<sup>99</sup> Sec. 2054.

<sup>100</sup> Sec. 2010.

<sup>101</sup> Sec. 2012.

<sup>102</sup> Sec. 2013.

<sup>103</sup> Sec. 2014. In certain cases, an election may be made to deduct foreign death taxes. See section 2053(d).

<sup>104</sup> Sec. 2032A.



closely held business real property. In addition, the property must be used in a qualified use (e.g., farming) by the decedent or a member of the decedent's family for five of the eight years before the decedent's death.

If, after a special-use valuation election is made, the heir who acquired the real property ceases to use it in its qualified use within 10 years of the decedent's death, an additional estate tax is imposed to recapture the entire estate-tax benefit of the special-use valuation.

Installment payment of estate tax for closely held businesses.—Under present law, the estate tax generally is due within nine months of a decedent's death. However, an executor generally may elect to pay estate tax attributable to an interest in a closely held business in two or more installments (but no more than 10).<sup>105</sup> An estate is eligible for payment of estate tax in installments if the value of the decedent's interest in a closely held business exceeds 35 percent of the decedent's adjusted gross estate (*i.e.*, the gross estate less certain deductions). If the election is made, the estate may defer payment of principal and pay only interest for the first five years, followed by up to 10 annual installments of principal and interest. This provision effectively extends the time for paying estate tax by 14 years from the original due date of the estate tax. A special two-percent interest rate applies to the amount of deferred estate tax attributable to the first \$1 million (adjusted annually for inflation occurring after 1998; the inflation-adjusted amount for 2017 is \$1,490,000) in taxable value of a closely held business. The interest rate applicable to the amount of estate tax attributable to the taxable value of the closely held business in excess of \$1 million (adjusted for inflation) is equal to 45 percent of the rate applicable to underpayments of tax under section 6621 of the Code (*i.e.*, 45 percent of the Federal short-term rate plus three percentage points).<sup>106</sup> Interest paid on deferred estate taxes is not deductible for estate or income tax purposes.

## **The gift tax**

### Overview

The Code imposes a tax for each calendar year on the transfer of property by gift during such year by any individual, whether a resident or nonresident of the United States.<sup>107</sup> The amount of taxable gifts for a calendar year is determined by subtracting from the total amount of gifts made during the year: (1) the gift tax annual exclusion (described below); and (2) allowable deductions.

Gift tax for the current taxable year is determined by: (1) computing a tentative tax on the combined amount of all taxable gifts for the current and all prior calendar years using the common gift tax and estate tax rate table; (2) computing a tentative tax only on all prior-year gifts; (3) subtracting the tentative tax on prior-year gifts from the tentative tax computed for all

---

<sup>105</sup> Sec. 6166.

<sup>106</sup> The interest rate on this portion adjusts with the Federal short-term rate.

<sup>107</sup> Sec. 2501(a).

years to arrive at the portion of the total tentative tax attributable to current-year gifts; and, finally, (4) subtracting the amount of unified credit not consumed by prior-year gifts.

Because the gift tax shares a common unified credit (exemption) and tax rate table with the estate tax, the exemption amounts and tax rates are described together above, along with certain other common features of these taxes.

### Transfers by gift

The gift tax applies to a transfer by gift regardless of whether: (1) the transfer is made outright or in trust; (2) the gift is direct or indirect; or (3) the property is real or personal, tangible or intangible.<sup>108</sup> For gift tax purposes, the value of a gift of property is the fair market value of the property at the time of the gift.<sup>109</sup> Where property is transferred for less than full consideration, the amount by which the value of the property exceeds the value of the consideration is considered a gift and is included in computing the total amount of a taxpayer's gifts for a calendar year.<sup>110</sup>

For a gift to occur, a donor generally must relinquish dominion and control over donated property. For example, if a taxpayer transfers assets to a trust established for the benefit of his or her children, but retains the right to revoke the trust, the taxpayer may not have made a completed gift, because the taxpayer has retained dominion and control over the transferred assets. A completed gift made in trust, on the other hand, often is treated as a gift to the trust beneficiaries.

By reason of statute, certain transfers are not treated as transfers by gift for gift tax purposes. These include, for example, certain transfers for educational and medical purposes,<sup>111</sup> transfers to section 527 political organizations,<sup>112</sup> and transfers to tax-exempt organizations described in sections 501(c)(4), (5), or (6).<sup>113</sup>

### Taxable gifts

As stated above, the amount of a taxpayer's taxable gifts for the year is determined by subtracting from the total amount of the taxpayer's gifts for the year the gift tax annual exclusion and any available deductions.

---

<sup>108</sup> Sec. 2511(a).

<sup>109</sup> Sec. 2512(a).

<sup>110</sup> Sec. 2512(b).

<sup>111</sup> Sec. 2503(e).

<sup>112</sup> Sec. 2501(a)(4).

<sup>113</sup> Sec. 2501(a)(6).

Gift tax annual exclusion.—Under present law, donors of lifetime gifts are provided an annual exclusion of \$14,000 per donee in 2017 (indexed for inflation from the 1997 annual exclusion amount of \$10,000) for gifts of present interests in property during the taxable year.<sup>114</sup> If the non-donor spouse consents to split the gift with the donor spouse, then the annual exclusion is \$28,000 per donee in 2017. In general, unlimited transfers between spouses are permitted without imposition of a gift tax. Special rules apply to the contributions to a qualified tuition program (“529 Plan”) including an election to treat a contribution that exceeds the annual exclusion as a contribution made ratably over a five-year period beginning with the year of the contribution.<sup>115</sup>

Marital and charitable deductions.—As described above, transfers to a surviving spouse or to charity generally are deductible for gift tax purposes. The effect of the marital and charitable deductions generally is to remove assets transferred to a surviving spouse or to charity from the gift tax base.

### **The generation-skipping transfer tax**

A generation-skipping transfer tax generally is imposed (in addition to the gift tax or the estate tax) on transfers, either directly or in trust or similar arrangement, to a “skip person” (*i.e.*, a beneficiary in a generation more than one generation below that of the transferor). Transfers subject to the generation-skipping transfer tax include direct skips, taxable terminations, and taxable distributions.

#### Exemption and tax rate

An exemption generally equal to the estate tax exemption amount (\$5.49 million for 2017) is provided for each person making generation-skipping transfers. The exemption may be allocated by a transferor (or his or her executor) to transferred property, and in some cases is automatically allocated. The allocation of generation-skipping transfer tax exemption effectively reduces the tax rate on a generation-skipping transfer.

The tax rate on generation-skipping transfers is a flat rate of tax equal to the maximum estate and gift tax rate (40 percent) multiplied by the “inclusion ratio.” The inclusion ratio with respect to any property transferred indicates the amount of “generation-skipping transfer tax exemption” allocated to a trust (or to property transferred in a direct skip) relative to the total value of property transferred.<sup>116</sup> If, for example, a taxpayer transfers \$5 million in property to a trust and allocates \$5 million of exemption to the transfer, the inclusion ratio is zero, and the applicable tax rate on any subsequent generation-skipping transfers from the trust is zero percent (40 percent multiplied by the inclusion ratio of zero). If, however, the taxpayer allocated only \$2.5 million of exemption to the transfer, the inclusion ratio is 0.5, and the applicable tax rate on

---

<sup>114</sup> Sec. 2503(b).

<sup>115</sup> Sec. 529(c)(2).

<sup>116</sup> The inclusion ratio is one minus the applicable fraction. The applicable fraction is the amount of exemption allocated to a trust (or to a direct skip) divided by the value of assets transferred.

any subsequent generation-skipping transfers from the trust is 20 percent (40 percent multiplied by the inclusion ratio of 0.5). If the taxpayer allocates no exemption to the transfer, the inclusion ratio is one, and the applicable tax rate on any subsequent generation-skipping transfers from the trust is 40 percent (40 percent multiplied by the inclusion ratio of one).

### Generation-skipping transfers

Generation-skipping transfer tax generally is imposed at the time of a generation-skipping transfer – a direct skip, a taxable termination, or a taxable distribution.

A direct skip is any transfer subject to estate or gift tax of an interest in property to a skip person. A skip person may be a natural person or certain trusts. All persons assigned to the second or more remote generation below the transferor are skip persons (*e.g.*, grandchildren and great-grandchildren). Trusts are skip persons if (1) all interests in the trust are held by skip persons, or (2) no person holds an interest in the trust and at no time after the transfer may a distribution (including distributions and terminations) be made to a non-skip person.

A taxable termination is a termination (by death, lapse of time, release of power, or otherwise) of an interest in property held in trust unless, immediately after such termination, a non-skip person has an interest in the property, or unless at no time after the termination may a distribution (including a distribution upon termination) be made from the trust to a skip person.

A taxable distribution is a distribution from a trust to a skip person (other than a taxable termination or direct skip). If a transferor allocates generation-skipping transfer tax exemption to a trust prior to the taxable distribution, generation-skipping transfer tax may be avoided.

## **Income tax basis in property received**

### In general

Gain or loss, if any, on the disposition of property is measured by the taxpayer's amount realized (*i.e.*, gross proceeds received) on the disposition, less the taxpayer's basis in such property. Basis generally represents a taxpayer's investment in property with certain adjustments required after acquisition. For example, basis is increased by the cost of capital improvements made to the property and decreased by depreciation deductions taken with respect to the property.

A gift or bequest of appreciated (or loss) property is not an income tax realization event for the transferor. The Code provides special rules for determining a recipient's basis in assets received by lifetime gift or from a decedent.

### Basis in property received by lifetime gift

Under present law, property received from a donor of a lifetime gift generally takes a carryover basis. "Carryover basis" means that the basis in the hands of the donee is the same as it was in the hands of the donor. The basis of property transferred by lifetime gift also is increased, but not above fair market value, by any gift tax paid by the donor. The basis of a lifetime gift, however, generally cannot exceed the property's fair market value on the date of the

gift. If a donor's basis in property is greater than the fair market value of the property on the date of the gift, then, for purposes of determining loss on a subsequent sale of the property, the donee's basis is the property's fair market value on the date of the gift.

#### Basis in property acquired from a decedent

Property acquired from a decedent's estate generally takes a stepped-up basis. "Stepped-up basis" means that the basis of property acquired from a decedent's estate generally is the fair market value on the date of the decedent's death (or, if the alternate valuation date is elected, the earlier of six months after the decedent's death or the date the property is sold or distributed by the estate). Providing a fair market value basis eliminates the recognition of income on any appreciation of the property that occurred prior to the decedent's death and eliminates the tax benefit from any unrealized loss.

In community property states, a surviving spouse's one-half share of community property held by the decedent and the surviving spouse (under the community property laws of any State, U.S. possession, or foreign country) generally is treated as having passed from the decedent and, thus, is eligible for stepped-up basis. Thus, both the decedent's one-half share and the surviving spouse's one-half share are stepped up to fair market value. This rule applies if at least one-half of the whole of the community interest is includible in the decedent's gross estate.

Stepped-up basis treatment generally is denied to certain interests in foreign entities. Stock in a passive foreign investment company (including those for which a mark-to-market election has been made) generally takes a carryover basis, except that stock of a passive foreign investment company for which a decedent shareholder had made a qualified electing fund election is allowed a stepped-up basis. Stock owned by a decedent in a domestic international sales corporation (or former domestic international sales corporation) takes a stepped-up basis reduced by the amount (if any) which would have been included in gross income under section 995(c) as a dividend if the decedent had lived and sold the stock at its fair market value on the estate tax valuation date (*i.e.*, generally the date of the decedent's death unless an alternate valuation date is elected).

#### Description of Proposal

The proposal doubles the estate and gift tax exemption amount. This is accomplished by increasing the basic exclusion amount provided in section 2010(c)(3) of the Code from \$5 million to \$10 million. The \$10 million amount is indexed for inflation occurring after 2011.

#### Effective Date

The proposal is effective for estates of decedents dying, generation-skipping transfers, and gifts made after December 31, 2017.

## II. ALTERNATIVE MINIMUM TAX REPEAL

### 1. Repeal of alternative minimum tax

#### Present Law

#### Individual alternative minimum tax

##### In general

An alternative minimum tax (“AMT”) is imposed on an individual, estate, or trust in an amount by which the tentative minimum tax exceeds the regular income tax for the taxable year. For taxable years beginning in 2017, the tentative minimum tax is the sum of (1) 26 percent of so much of the taxable excess as does not exceed \$187,800 (\$93,900 in the case of a married individual filing a separate return) and (2) 28 percent of the remaining taxable excess. The breakpoints are indexed for inflation. The taxable excess is so much of the alternative minimum taxable income (“AMTI”) as exceeds the exemption amount. The maximum tax rates on net capital gain and dividends used in computing the regular tax are used in computing the tentative minimum tax. AMTI is the taxable income adjusted to take account of specified tax preferences and adjustments.

The exemption amounts for taxable years beginning in 2017 are: (1) \$84,500 in the case of married individuals filing a joint return and surviving spouses; (2) \$54,300 in the case of other unmarried individuals; (3) \$42,250 in the case of married individuals filing separate returns; and (4) \$24,100 in the case of an estate or trust. For taxable years beginning in 2017, the exemption amounts are phased out by an amount equal to 25 percent of the amount by which the individual’s AMTI exceeds (1) \$160,900 in the case of married individuals filing a joint return and surviving spouses, (2) \$120,700 in the case of other unmarried individuals, and (3) \$80,450 in the case of married individuals filing separate returns or an estate or a trust. The amounts are indexed for inflation.

AMTI is the taxpayer’s taxable income increased by certain preference items and adjusted by determining the tax treatment of certain items in a manner that negates the deferral of income resulting from the regular tax treatment of those items.

##### Preference items in computing AMTI

The minimum tax preference items are:

1. The excess of the deduction for percentage depletion over the adjusted basis of each mineral property (other than oil and gas properties) at the end of the taxable year.
2. The amount by which excess intangible drilling costs (*i.e.*, expenses in excess the amount that would have been allowable if amortized over a 10-year period) exceed 65 percent of the net income from oil, gas, and geothermal properties. This preference applies to independent producers only to the extent it reduces the producer’s AMTI (determined without regard to this preference and the net operating loss deduction) by more than 40 percent.

3. Tax-exempt interest income on private activity bonds (other than qualified 501(c)(3) bonds, certain housing bonds, and bonds issued in 2009 and 2010) issued after August 7, 1986.
4. Accelerated depreciation or amortization on certain property placed in service before January 1, 1987.
5. Seven percent of the amount excluded from income under section 1202 (relating to gains on the sale of certain small business stock).

In addition, losses from any tax shelter farm activity or passive activities are not taken into account in computing AMTI.

#### Adjustments in computing AMTI

The adjustments that individuals must make to compute AMTI are:

1. Depreciation on property placed in service after 1986 and before January 1, 1999, is computed by using the generally longer class lives prescribed by the alternative depreciation system of section 168(g) and either (a) the straight-line method in the case of property subject to the straight-line method under the regular tax or (b) the 150-percent declining balance method in the case of other property. Depreciation on property placed in service after December 31, 1998, is computed by using the regular tax recovery periods and the AMT methods described in the previous sentence. Depreciation on property acquired after September 10, 2001, which is allowed an additional allowance under section 168(k) for the regular tax is computed without regard to any AMT adjustments.
2. Mining exploration and development costs are capitalized and amortized over a 10-year period.
3. Taxable income from a long-term contract (other than a home construction contract) is computed using the percentage of completion method of accounting.
4. Depreciation on property placed in service after 1986 and before January 1, 1999, is computed by using the generally longer class lives prescribed by the alternative depreciation system of section 168(g) and either (a) the straight-line method in the case of property subject to the straight-line method under the regular tax or (b) the 150-percent declining balance method in the case of other property. Depreciation on property placed in service after December 31, 1998, is computed by using the regular tax recovery periods and the AMT methods described in the previous sentence. Depreciation on property acquired after September 10, 2001, which is allowed an additional allowance under section 168(k) for the regular tax is computed without regard to any AMT adjustments.
5. Mining exploration and development costs are capitalized and amortized over a 10-year period.

6. Taxable income from a long-term contract (other than a home construction contract) is computed using the percentage of completion method of accounting.
7. The amortization deduction allowed for pollution control facilities placed in service before January 1, 1999 (generally determined using 60-month amortization for a portion of the cost of the facility under the regular tax), is calculated under the alternative depreciation system (generally, using longer class lives and the straight-line method). The amortization deduction allowed for pollution control facilities placed in service after December 31, 1998, is calculated using the regular tax recovery periods and the straight-line method.
8. Miscellaneous itemized deductions are not allowed.
9. Itemized deductions for State, local, and foreign real property taxes; State and local personal property taxes; State, local, and foreign income, war profits, and excess profits taxes; and State and local sales taxes are not allowed.
10. Medical expenses are allowed only to the extent they exceed ten percent of the taxpayer's adjusted gross income.
11. Deductions for interest on home equity loans are not allowed.
12. The standard deduction and the deduction for personal exemptions are not allowed.
13. The amount allowable as a deduction for circulation expenditures is capitalized and amortized over a three-year period.
14. The amount allowable as a deduction for research and experimentation expenditures from passive activities is capitalized and amortized over a 10-year period.
15. The regular tax rules relating to incentive stock options do not apply.

#### Other rules

The taxpayer's net operating loss deduction generally cannot reduce the taxpayer's AMTI by more than 90 percent of the AMTI (determined without the net operating loss deduction).

The alternative minimum tax foreign tax credit reduces the tentative minimum tax.

The various nonrefundable business credits allowed under the regular tax generally are not allowed against the AMT. Certain exceptions apply.

If an individual is subject to AMT in any year, the amount of tax exceeding the taxpayer's regular tax liability is allowed as a credit (the "AMT credit") in any subsequent taxable year to the extent the taxpayer's regular tax liability exceeds his or her tentative minimum tax liability in such subsequent year. The AMT credit is allowed only to the extent that the taxpayer's AMT liability is the result of adjustments that are timing in nature. The



individual AMT adjustments relating to itemized deductions and personal exemptions are not timing in nature, and no minimum tax credit is allowed with respect to these items.

An individual may elect to write off certain expenditures paid or incurred with respect of circulation expenses, research and experimental expenses, intangible drilling and development expenditures, development expenditures, and mining exploration expenditures over a specified period (three years in the case of circulation expenses, 60 months in the case of intangible drilling and development expenditures, and 10 years in case of other expenditures). The election applies for purposes of both the regular tax and the alternative minimum tax.

### **Corporate alternative minimum tax**

#### **In general**

An AMT is also imposed on a corporation to the extent the corporation's tentative minimum tax exceeds its regular tax. This tentative minimum tax is computed at the rate of 20 percent on the AMTI in excess of a \$40,000 exemption amount that phases out. The exemption amount is phased out by an amount equal to 25 percent of the amount that the corporation's AMTI exceeds \$150,000.

AMTI is the taxpayer's taxable income increased by certain preference items and adjusted by determining the tax treatment of certain items in a manner that negates the deferral of income resulting from the regular tax treatment of those items.

A corporation with average gross receipts of less than \$7.5 million for the prior three taxable years is exempt from the corporate minimum tax. The \$7.5 million threshold is reduced to \$5 million for the corporation's first three-taxable year period.

#### **Preference items in computing AMTI**

The corporate minimum tax preference items are:

1. The excess of the deduction for percentage depletion over the adjusted basis of the property at the end of the taxable year. This preference does not apply to percentage depletion allowed with respect to oil and gas properties.
2. The amount by which excess intangible drilling costs arising in the taxable year exceed 65 percent of the net income from oil, gas, and geothermal properties. This preference does not apply to an independent producer to the extent the preference would not reduce the producer's AMTI by more than 40 percent.
3. Tax-exempt interest income on private activity bonds (other than qualified 501(c)(3) bonds, certain housing bonds, and bonds issued in 2009 and 2010) issued after August 7, 1986.
4. Accelerated depreciation or amortization on certain property placed in service before January 1, 1987.

### Adjustments in computing AMTI

The adjustments that corporations must make in computing AMTI are:

1. Depreciation on property placed in service after 1986 and before January 1, 1999, must be computed by using the generally longer class lives prescribed by the alternative depreciation system of section 168(g) and either (a) the straight-line method in the case of property subject to the straight-line method under the regular tax or (b) the 150-percent declining balance method in the case of other property. Depreciation on property placed in service after December 31, 1998, is computed by using the regular tax recovery periods and the AMT methods described in the previous sentence. Depreciation on property which is allowed “bonus depreciation” for the regular tax is computed without regard to any AMT adjustments.
2. Mining exploration and development costs must be capitalized and amortized over a 10-year period.
3. Taxable income from a long-term contract (other than a home construction contract) must be computed using the percentage of completion method of accounting.
4. The amortization deduction allowed for pollution control facilities placed in service before January 1, 1999 (generally determined using 60-month amortization for a portion of the cost of the facility under the regular tax), must be calculated under the alternative depreciation system (generally, using longer class lives and the straight-line method). The amortization deduction allowed for pollution control facilities placed in service after December 31, 1998, is calculated using the regular tax recovery periods and the straight-line method.
5. The special rules applicable to Merchant Marine construction funds are not applicable.
6. The special deduction allowable under section 833(b) for Blue Cross and Blue Shield organizations is not allowed.
7. The adjusted current earnings adjustment applies, as described below.

### Adjusted current earning (“ACE”) adjustment

The adjusted current earnings adjustment is the amount equal to 75 percent of the amount by which the adjusted current earnings of a corporation exceed its AMTI (determined without the ACE adjustment and the alternative tax net operating loss deduction). In determining ACE the following rules apply:

1. For property placed in service before 1994, depreciation generally is determined using the straight-line method and the class life determined under the alternative depreciation system.
2. Amounts excluded from gross income under the regular tax but included for purposes of determining earnings and profits are generally included in determining ACE.

3. The inside build-up of a life insurance contract is included in ACE (and the related premiums are deductible).
4. Intangible drilling costs of integrated oil companies must be capitalized and amortized over a 60-month period.
5. The regular tax rules of section 173 (allowing circulation expenses to be amortized) and section 248 (allowing organizational expenses to be amortized) do not apply.
6. Inventory must be calculated using the FIFO, rather than LIFO, method.
7. The installment sales method generally may not be used.
8. No loss may be recognized on the exchange of any pool of debt obligations for another pool of debt obligations having substantially the same effective interest rates and maturities.
9. Depletion (other than for oil and gas properties) must be calculated using the cost, rather than the percentage, method.
10. In certain cases, the assets of a corporation that has undergone an ownership change must be stepped down to their fair market values.

#### Other rules

The taxpayer's net operating loss carryover generally cannot reduce the taxpayer's AMT liability by more than 90 percent of AMTI determined without this deduction.

The various nonrefundable business credits allowed under the regular tax generally are not allowed against the AMT. Certain exceptions apply.

If a corporation is subject to AMT in any year, the amount of AMT is allowed as an AMT credit in any subsequent taxable year to the extent the taxpayer's regular tax liability exceeds its tentative minimum tax in the subsequent year. Corporations are allowed to claim a limited amount of AMT credits in lieu of bonus depreciation.

A corporation may elect to write off certain expenditures paid or incurred with respect of circulation expenses, research and experimental expenses, intangible drilling and development expenditures, development expenditures, and mining exploration expenditures over a specified period (three years in the case of circulation expenses, 60 months in the case of intangible drilling and development expenditures, and 10 years in case of other expenditures). The election applies for purposes of both the regular tax and the alternative minimum tax.

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the individual and corporate alternative minimum tax.

The proposal allows the AMT credit to offset the taxpayer's regular tax liability for any taxable year. In addition, the AMT credit is refundable for any taxable year beginning after 2017 and before 2022 in an amount equal to 50 percent (100 percent in the case of taxable years beginning in 2021) of the excess of the minimum tax credit for the taxable year over the amount of the credit allowable for the year against regular tax liability. Thus, the full amount of the minimum tax credit will be allowed in taxable years beginning before 2022.

**Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

### III. BUSINESS TAX REFORM

#### A. Tax Rates

##### 1. Reduction in corporate tax rate

###### Present Law

Corporate taxable income is subject to tax under a four-step graduated rate structure.<sup>117</sup> The top corporate tax rate is 35 percent on taxable income in excess of \$10 million. The corporate taxable income brackets and tax rates are as set forth in the table below.

<b>Taxable Income</b>	<b>Tax rate (percent)</b>
Not over \$50,000	15
Over \$50,000 but not over \$75,000	25
Over \$75,000 but not over \$10,000,000	34
Over \$10,000,000	35

An additional five-percent tax is imposed on a corporation's taxable income in excess of \$100,000. The maximum additional tax is \$11,750. Also, a second additional three-percent tax is imposed on a corporation's taxable income in excess of \$15 million. The maximum second additional tax is \$100,000.

Certain personal service corporations pay tax on their entire taxable income at the rate of 35 percent.<sup>118</sup>

Present law provides if the maximum corporate tax rate exceeds 35 percent, the maximum rate on a corporation's net capital gain will be 35 percent.<sup>119</sup>

###### Description of Proposal

The proposal eliminates the graduated corporate rate structure and instead taxes corporate taxable income at 20 percent.

The proposal eliminates the special tax rate for personal service corporations.

---

<sup>117</sup> Sec. 11(a) and (b)(1).

<sup>118</sup> Sec. 11(b)(2).

<sup>119</sup> Sec. 1201(a).

The proposal repeals the maximum corporate tax rate on net capital gain as obsolete.

For taxpayers subject to the normalization method of accounting (*e.g.*, regulated public utilities), the proposal provides for the normalization of excess deferred tax reserves resulting from the reduction of corporate income tax rates (with respect to prior depreciation or recovery allowances taken on assets placed in service before the date of enactment).

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2018.

## **2. Reduction of dividends received deductions to reflect lower corporate tax rate**

### **Present Law**

Corporations are generally taxable on their income.<sup>120</sup> With respect to dividends received from other taxable domestic corporations, however, a corporation is allowed a deduction.<sup>121</sup> The amount of the deduction is generally equal to 70 percent of the dividend received.

In the case of any dividend received from a 20-percent owned corporation, the amount of the deduction is equal to 80 percent of the dividend received.<sup>122</sup> The term “20-percent owned corporation” means any corporation if 20 percent or more of the stock of such corporation (by vote and value) is owned by the taxpayer. For this purpose, certain preferred stock is not taken into account.

In the case of a dividend received from a corporation that is a member of the same affiliated group, a corporation is generally allowed a deduction equal to 100 percent of the dividend received.<sup>123</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal reduces the 70 percent dividends received deduction to 50 percent and the 80 percent dividends received deduction to 65 percent.<sup>124</sup>

---

<sup>120</sup> Sec. 11(a).

<sup>121</sup> Sec. 243(a). Such dividends are taxed at a maximum rate of 10.5 percent (30 percent of the top corporate tax rate of 35 percent).

<sup>122</sup> Sec. 243(c). Such dividends are taxed at a maximum rate of 7 percent (20 percent of the top corporate tax rate of 35 percent).

<sup>123</sup> Sec. 243(a)(3) and (b)(1). For this purpose, the term “affiliated group” generally has the meaning given such term by section 1504(a). Sec. 243(b)(2).

<sup>124</sup> Such dividends would be taxed at a maximum rate of 10 percent (50 percent of the top corporate tax rate of 20 percent) and 7 percent (35 percent of the top corporate tax rate of 20 percent), respectively.

**Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2018.

## B. Small Business Reforms

### 1. Modification of rules for expensing depreciable business assets

#### Present Law

A taxpayer generally must capitalize the cost of property used in a trade or business or held for the production of income and recover such cost over time through annual deductions for depreciation or amortization.<sup>125</sup> Tangible property generally is depreciated under the modified accelerated cost recovery system (“MACRS”), which determines depreciation for different types of property based on an assigned applicable depreciation method, recovery period,<sup>126</sup> and convention.<sup>127</sup>

#### Election to expense certain depreciable business assets

A taxpayer may elect under section 179 to deduct (or “expense”) the cost of qualifying property, rather than to recover such costs through depreciation deductions, subject to limitation. The maximum amount a taxpayer may expense is \$500,000 of the cost of qualifying property placed in service for the taxable year.<sup>128</sup> The \$500,000 amount is reduced (but not below zero) by the amount by which the cost of qualifying property placed in service during the taxable year exceeds \$2,000,000.<sup>129</sup> The \$500,000 and \$2,000,000 amounts are indexed for inflation for taxable years beginning after 2015.<sup>130</sup>

In general, qualifying property is defined as depreciable tangible personal property that is purchased for use in the active conduct of a trade or business. Qualifying property also includes off-the-shelf computer software and qualified real property (*i.e.*, qualified leasehold improvement property, qualified restaurant property, and qualified retail improvement

---

<sup>125</sup> See secs. 263(a) and 167. However, where property is not used exclusively in a taxpayer’s business, the amount eligible for a deduction must be reduced by the amount related to personal use. See, *e.g.*, section 280A.

<sup>126</sup> The applicable recovery period for an asset is determined in part by statute and in part by historic Treasury guidance. Exercising authority granted by Congress, the Secretary issued Rev. Proc. 87-56, 1987-2 C.B. 674, laying out the framework of recovery periods for enumerated classes of assets. The Secretary clarified and modified the list of asset classes in Rev. Proc. 88-22, 1988-1 C.B. 785. In November 1988, Congress revoked the Secretary’s authority to modify the class lives of depreciable property. Rev. Proc. 87-56, as modified, remains in effect except to the extent that the Congress has, since 1988, statutorily modified the recovery period for certain depreciable assets, effectively superseding any administrative guidance with regard to such property.

<sup>127</sup> Sec. 168.

<sup>128</sup> Sec. 179(b)(1).

<sup>129</sup> Sec. 179(b)(2).

<sup>130</sup> Sec. 179(b)(6).



property).<sup>131</sup> Qualifying property excludes any property described in section 50(b) (*i.e.*, certain property not eligible for the investment tax credit).<sup>132</sup>

Passenger automobiles subject to the section 280F limitation are eligible for section 179 expensing only to the extent of the dollar limitations in section 280F. For sport utility vehicles above the 6,000 pound weight rating and not more than the 14,000 pound weight rating, which are not subject to the limitation under section 280F, the maximum cost that may be expensed for any taxable year under section 179 is \$25,000 (the “sport utility vehicle limitation”).<sup>133</sup>

The amount eligible to be expensed for a taxable year may not exceed the taxable income for such taxable year that is derived from the active conduct of a trade or business (determined without regard to this provision).<sup>134</sup> Any amount that is not allowed as a deduction because of the taxable income limitation may be carried forward to succeeding taxable years (subject to limitations).

No general business credit under section 38 is allowed with respect to any amount for which a deduction is allowed under section 179.<sup>135</sup> If a corporation makes an election under section 179 to deduct expenditures, the full amount of the deduction does not reduce earnings and profits. Rather, the expenditures that are deducted reduce corporate earnings and profits ratably over a five-year period.<sup>136</sup>

An expensing election is made under rules prescribed by the Secretary.<sup>137</sup> In general, any election or specification made with respect to any property may not be revoked except with the consent of the Commissioner. However, an election or specification under section 179 may be revoked by the taxpayer without consent of the Commissioner.

---

<sup>131</sup> Sec. 179(d)(1)(A)(ii) and (f).

<sup>132</sup> Sec. 179(d)(1) flush language. Property described in section 50(b) is generally property used outside the United States, certain property used for lodging, property used by certain tax exempt organizations, and property used by governmental units and foreign persons or entities.

<sup>133</sup> Sec. 179(b)(5). For this purpose, a sport utility vehicle is defined to exclude any vehicle that: (1) is designed for more than nine individuals in seating rearward of the driver’s seat; (2) is equipped with an open cargo area, or a covered box not readily accessible from the passenger compartment, of at least six feet in interior length; or (3) has an integral enclosure, fully enclosing the driver compartment and load carrying device, does not have seating rearward of the driver’s seat, and has no body section protruding more than 30 inches ahead of the leading edge of the windshield.

<sup>134</sup> Sec. 179(b)(3).

<sup>135</sup> Sec. 179(d)(9).

<sup>136</sup> Sec. 312(k)(3)(B).

<sup>137</sup> Sec. 179(c)(1).

## **Description of Proposal**

The proposal increases the maximum amount a taxpayer may expense under section 179 to \$1,000,000, and increases the phase-out threshold amount to \$2,500,000. Thus, the proposal provides that the maximum amount a taxpayer may expense, for taxable years beginning after 2017, is \$1,000,000 of the cost of qualifying property placed in service for the taxable year. The \$1,000,000 amount is reduced (but not below zero) by the amount by which the cost of qualifying property placed in service during the taxable year exceeds \$2,500,000. The \$1,000,000 and \$2,500,000 amounts, as well as the \$25,000 sport utility vehicle limitation, are indexed for inflation for taxable years beginning after 2018.

The proposal expands the definition of section 179 property to include certain depreciable tangible personal property used predominantly to furnish lodging or in connection with furnishing lodging.<sup>138</sup>

The proposal also expands the definition of qualified real property eligible for section 179 expensing to include any of the following improvements to nonresidential real property placed in service after the date such property was first placed in service: roofs; heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning property; fire protection and alarm systems; and security systems.

## **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to property placed in service in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **2. Modifications of gross receipts test for use of cash method of accounting by corporations and partnerships**

### **Present Law**

#### **General rule for methods of accounting**

Section 446 generally allows a taxpayer to select the method of accounting to be used to compute taxable income, provided that such method clearly reflects the income of the taxpayer. The term “method of accounting” includes not only the overall method of accounting used by the taxpayer, but also the accounting treatment of any one item.<sup>139</sup> Permissible overall methods of accounting include the cash receipts and disbursements method (“cash method”), an accrual method, or any other method (including a hybrid method) permitted under regulations prescribed

---

<sup>138</sup> As defined in section 50(b)(2). Property used predominantly to furnish lodging or in connection with furnishing lodging generally includes, *e.g.*, beds and other furniture, refrigerators, ranges, and other equipment used in the living quarters of a lodging facility such as an apartment house, dormitory, or any other facility (or part of a facility) where sleeping accommodations are provided and let. See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.48-1(h).

<sup>139</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.446-1(a)(1).

by the Secretary.<sup>140</sup> Examples of any one item for which an accounting method may be adopted include cost recovery,<sup>141</sup> revenue recognition,<sup>142</sup> and timing of deductions.<sup>143</sup> For each separate trade or business, a taxpayer is entitled to adopt any permissible method, subject to certain restrictions.<sup>144</sup>

A taxpayer filing its first return may adopt any permissible method of accounting in computing taxable income for such year.<sup>145</sup> Except as otherwise provided, section 446(e) requires taxpayers to secure consent of the Secretary before changing a method of accounting. The regulations under this section provide rules for determining: (1) what a method of accounting is, (2) how an adoption of a method of accounting occurs, and (3) how a change in method of accounting is effectuated.<sup>146</sup>

### **Cash and accrual methods**

Taxpayers using the cash method generally recognize items of income when actually or constructively received and items of expense when paid. The cash method is administratively easy and provides the taxpayer flexibility in the timing of income recognition. It is the method generally used by most individual taxpayers, including farm and nonfarm sole proprietorships.

Taxpayers using an accrual method generally accrue items of income when all the events have occurred that fix the right to receive the income and the amount of the income can be determined with reasonable accuracy.<sup>147</sup> Taxpayers using an accrual method of accounting generally may not deduct items of expense prior to when all events have occurred that fix the obligation to pay the liability, the amount of the liability can be determined with reasonable accuracy, and economic performance has occurred.<sup>148</sup> Accrual methods of accounting generally result in a more accurate measure of economic income than does the cash method. The accrual method is often used by businesses for financial accounting purposes.

A C corporation, a partnership that has a C corporation as a partner, or a tax-exempt trust or corporation with unrelated business income generally may not use the cash method.

---

<sup>140</sup> Sec. 446(c).

<sup>141</sup> See, *e.g.*, secs. 167 and 168.

<sup>142</sup> See, *e.g.*, secs. 451 and 460.

<sup>143</sup> See, *e.g.*, secs. 461 and 467.

<sup>144</sup> Sec. 446(d); Treas. Reg. sec. 1.446-1(d).

<sup>145</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.446-1(e)(1).

<sup>146</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.446-1(e).

<sup>147</sup> See, *e.g.*, sec. 451.

<sup>148</sup> See, *e.g.*, sec. 461.

Exceptions are made for farming businesses, qualified personal service corporations, and the aforementioned entities to the extent their average annual gross receipts do not exceed \$5 million for all prior years (including the prior taxable years of any predecessor of the entity) (the “gross receipts test”). The cash method may not be used by any tax shelter.<sup>149</sup> In addition, the cash method generally may not be used if the purchase, production, or sale of merchandise is an income producing factor.<sup>150</sup> Such taxpayers generally are required to keep inventories and use an accrual method with respect to inventory items.<sup>151</sup>

A farming business is defined as a trade or business of farming, including operating a nursery or sod farm, or the raising or harvesting of trees bearing fruit, nuts, or other crops, timber, or ornamental trees.<sup>152</sup> Such farming businesses are not precluded from using the cash method regardless of whether they meet the gross receipts test. However, section 447 generally requires a farming C corporation (and any farming partnership if a corporation is a partner in such partnership) to use an accrual method of accounting. Section 447 does not apply to nursery or sod farms, to the raising or harvesting of trees (other than fruit and nut trees), nor to farming C corporations meeting a gross receipts test with a \$1 million threshold. For family farm C corporations, the threshold under the gross receipts test is \$25 million.

A qualified personal service corporation is a corporation: (1) substantially all of whose activities involve the performance of services in the fields of health, law, engineering, architecture, accounting, actuarial science, performing arts, or consulting, and (2) substantially all of the stock of which is owned by current or former employees performing such services, their estates, or heirs. Qualified personal service corporations are allowed to use the cash method without regard to whether they meet the gross receipts test.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal expands the universe of taxpayers that may use the cash method of accounting. Under the proposal, the cash method of accounting may be used by taxpayers, other than tax shelters, that satisfy the gross receipts test. The gross receipts test allows taxpayers with annual average gross receipts that do not exceed \$15 million for the three prior taxable-year

---

<sup>149</sup> Secs. 448(a)(3) and (d)(3) and 461(i)(3) and (4). For this purpose, a tax shelter includes: (1) any enterprise (other than a C corporation) if at any time interests in such enterprise have been offered for sale in any offering required to be registered with any Federal or State agency having the authority to regulate the offering of securities for sale; (2) any syndicate (within the meaning of section 1256(e)(3)(B)); or (3) any tax shelter as defined in section 6662(d)(2)(C)(ii). In the case of a farming trade or business, a tax shelter includes any tax shelter as defined in section 6662(d)(2)(C)(ii) or any partnership or any other enterprise other than a corporation which is not an S corporation engaged in the trade or business of farming, (1) if at any time interests in such partnership or enterprise have been offered for sale in any offering required to be registered with any Federal or State agency having authority to regulate the offering of securities for sale or (2) if more than 35 percent of the losses during any period are allocable to limited partners or limited entrepreneurs.

<sup>150</sup> Treas. Reg. secs. 1.446-1(c)(2) and 1.471-1.

<sup>151</sup> Sec. 471 and Treas. Reg. secs. 1.446-1(c)(2) and 1.471-1.

<sup>152</sup> Sec. 448(d)(1).

period (the “\$15 million gross receipts test”) to use the cash method.<sup>153</sup> The \$15 million amount is indexed for inflation for taxable years beginning after 2018.

The proposal retains the exceptions from the required use of the accrual method for qualified personal service corporations and taxpayers other than C corporations. Thus, qualified personal service corporations, partnerships without C corporation partners, S corporations, and other passthrough entities are allowed to use the cash method without regard to whether they meet the \$15 million gross receipts test, so long as the use of such method clearly reflects income.<sup>154</sup>

The proposal expands the universe of farming C corporations (and farming partnerships with a C corporation partner) that may use the cash method to include any farming C corporation (or farming partnership with a C corporation partner) that meets the \$15 million gross receipts test. The proposal retains the \$25 million dollar limit for family farming corporations, but uses the \$15 million gross receipts test in section 448 (substituting a \$25 million threshold for the \$15 million threshold). The \$25 million amount is indexed for inflation for taxable years beginning after 2018.

If a taxpayer changes its method of accounting because it is either prohibited or no longer prohibited from using the cash method by reason of this proposal, such change is treated as initiated by the taxpayer and made with the consent of the Secretary.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017. Application of these rules is a change in the taxpayer’s method of accounting for purposes of section 481.

---

<sup>153</sup> Consistent with present law, the cash method generally may not be used by taxpayers if the purchase, production, or sale of merchandise is an income-producing factor. However, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, under other provisions described in this document, an exception to the requirement to use inventories is provided for taxpayers that meet the \$15 million gross receipts test, thus allowing such taxpayers to also use the cash method. See section III.B.3. of this document (Clarification of inventory accounting rules for small businesses). In addition, the cash method may not be used by a tax shelter.

<sup>154</sup> Consistent with present law, the cash method generally may not be used by taxpayers if the purchase, production, or sale of merchandise is an income-producing factor. However, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, under other provisions of described in this document, an exception to the requirement to use inventories is provided for taxpayers that meet the \$15 million gross receipts test, thus allowing such taxpayers to also use the cash method. See section III.B.3. of this document (Clarification of inventory accounting rules for small businesses). In addition, the cash method may not be used by a tax shelter.

### 3. Clarification of inventory accounting rules for small businesses

#### Present Law

In general, for Federal income tax purposes, taxpayers must account for inventories if the production, purchase, or sale of merchandise is an income-producing factor to the taxpayer.<sup>155</sup> Treasury regulations also provide that in any case in which the use of inventories is necessary to clearly reflect income, the accrual method must be used with regard to purchases and sales.<sup>156</sup> However, an exception is provided for taxpayers whose average annual gross receipts do not exceed \$1 million.<sup>157</sup> A second exception is provided for taxpayers in certain industries whose average annual gross receipts do not exceed \$10 million and that are not otherwise prohibited from using the cash method under section 448.<sup>158</sup> Such taxpayers may account for inventory as materials and supplies that are not incidental (*i.e.*, “non-incidental materials and supplies”).<sup>159</sup>

In those circumstances in which a taxpayer is required to account for inventory, the taxpayer must maintain inventory records to determine the cost of goods sold during the taxable period. Cost of goods sold generally is determined by adding the taxpayer’s inventory at the beginning of the period to the purchases made during the period and subtracting from that sum the taxpayer’s inventory at the end of the period.

Because of the difficulty of accounting for inventory on an item-by-item basis, taxpayers often use conventions that assume certain item or cost flows. Among these conventions are the first-in, first-out (“FIFO”) method, which assumes that the items in ending inventory are those most recently acquired by the taxpayer, and the last-in, first-out (“LIFO”) method, which assumes that the items in ending inventory are those earliest acquired by the taxpayer.

#### Description of Proposal

The proposal exempts certain taxpayers from the requirement to keep inventories. Specifically, taxpayers that meet the \$15 million gross receipts test<sup>160</sup> are not required to account for inventories under section 471<sup>161</sup>, but rather may use a method of accounting for inventories

---

<sup>155</sup> Sec. 471(a) and Treas. Reg. sec. 1.471-1.

<sup>156</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.446-1(c)(2).

<sup>157</sup> Rev. Proc. 2001-10, 2001-1 C.B. 272.

<sup>158</sup> Rev. Proc. 2002-28, 2002-1 C.B. 815.

<sup>159</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.162-3(a)(1). A deduction is generally permitted for the cost of non-incidental materials and supplies in the taxable year in which they are first used or are consumed in the taxpayer’s operations.

<sup>160</sup> The \$15 million gross receipts test is described in section III.B.2. of this document (Modifications of gross receipts test for use of cash method of accounting by corporations and partnerships).

<sup>161</sup> In the case of a sole proprietorship, the \$15 million gross receipts test is applied as if the sole proprietorship were a corporation. The cash method generally may not be used by taxpayers if the purchase, production, or sale of merchandise is an income-producing factor. However, for taxpayers that meet the \$15 million

that either (1) treats inventories as non-incidentals materials and supplies<sup>162</sup>, or (2) conforms to the taxpayer's financial accounting treatment of inventories.<sup>163</sup>

If a taxpayer changes its method of accounting because it is either no longer required or is required to use inventories by reason of this proposal, such change is treated as initiated by the taxpayer and made with the consent of the Secretary.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017. Application of these rules is a change in the taxpayer's method of accounting for purposes of section 481.

## **4. Modification of rules for uniform capitalization of certain expenses**

### **Present Law**

The uniform capitalization rules require certain direct and indirect costs allocable to real or tangible personal property produced by the taxpayer to be included in either inventory or capitalized into the basis of such property, as applicable.<sup>164</sup> For real or personal property acquired by the taxpayer for resale, section 263A generally requires certain direct and indirect costs allocable to such property to be included in inventory.

Section 263A provides a number of exceptions to the general uniform capitalization requirements. One such exception exists for certain small taxpayers who acquire property for resale and have \$10 million or less of average annual gross receipts;<sup>165</sup> such taxpayers are not required to include additional section 263A costs in inventory.

---

gross receipts test to be exempt from accounting for inventories under section 471, such taxpayers are thus also eligible to use the cash method under the proposal. See section III.B.2. of this document (Modifications of gross receipts test for use of cash method of accounting by corporations and partnerships).

<sup>162</sup> Consistent with present law, a deduction is generally permitted for the cost of non-incidentals materials and supplies in the taxable year in which they are first used or are consumed in the taxpayer's operations. See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.162-3(a)(1).

<sup>163</sup> The taxpayer's financial accounting treatment of inventories is determined by reference to the method of accounting used in the taxpayer's applicable financial statement (as defined in section III.E.1. of this document (Certain special rules for taxable year of inclusion)) or, if the taxpayer does not have an applicable financial statement, the method of accounting used in the taxpayer's book and records prepared in accordance with the taxpayer's accounting procedures.

<sup>164</sup> Sec. 263A.

<sup>165</sup> Sec. 263A(b)(2)(B). No exception is available for small taxpayers who produce property subject to section 263A. However, a *de minimis* rule under Treasury regulations treats producers with total indirect costs of \$200,000 or less as having no additional indirect costs beyond those normally capitalized for financial accounting purposes. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.263A-2(b)(3)(iv).

Another exception exists for taxpayers who raise, harvest, or grow trees.<sup>166</sup> Under this exception, section 263A does not apply to trees raised, harvested, or grown by the taxpayer (other than trees bearing fruit, nuts, or other crops, or ornamental trees) and any real property underlying such trees. Similarly, the uniform capitalization rules do not apply to any plant having a preproductive period of two years or less or to any animal, which is produced by a taxpayer in a farming business (unless the taxpayer is required to use an accrual method of accounting under section 447 or 448(a)(3)).<sup>167</sup>

Freelance authors, photographers, and artists also are exempt from section 263A for any qualified creative expenses.<sup>168</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal expands the exception for small taxpayers from the uniform capitalization rules. Under the proposal, any producer or reseller that meets the \$15 million gross receipts test<sup>169</sup> is exempted from the application of section 263A.<sup>170</sup> The proposal retains the exemptions from the uniform capitalization rules that are not based on a taxpayer's gross receipts.

If a taxpayer changes its method of accounting because it is either no longer required or is required to apply section 263A by reason of this proposal, such change is treated as initiated by the taxpayer and made with the consent of the Secretary.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017. Application of these rules is a change in the taxpayer's method of accounting for purposes of section 481.

---

<sup>166</sup> Sec. 263A(c)(5).

<sup>167</sup> Sec. 263A(d).

<sup>168</sup> Sec. 263A(h). Qualified creative expenses are defined as amounts paid or incurred by an individual in the trade or business of being a writer, photographer, or artist. However, such term does not include any expense related to printing, photographic plates, motion picture files, video tapes, or similar items.

<sup>169</sup> The \$15 million gross receipts test is described in section III.B.2. of this document (Modifications of gross receipts test for use of cash method of accounting by corporations and partnerships).

<sup>170</sup> In the case of a sole proprietorship, the \$15 million gross receipts test is applied as if the sole proprietorship were a corporation.



## 5. Increase in gross receipts test for construction contract exception to percentage of completion method

### Present Law

In general, in the case of a long-term contract, the taxable income from the contract is determined under the percentage-of-completion method.<sup>171</sup> Under this method, the taxpayer must include in gross income for the taxable year an amount equal to the product of (1) the gross contract price and (2) the percentage of the contract completed during the taxable year.<sup>172</sup> The percentage of the contract completed during the taxable year is determined by comparing costs allocated to the contract and incurred before the end of the taxable year with the estimated total contract costs.<sup>173</sup> Costs allocated to the contract typically include all costs (including depreciation) that directly benefit or are incurred by reason of the taxpayer's long-term contract activities.<sup>174</sup> The allocation of costs to a contract is made in accordance with regulations.<sup>175</sup> Costs incurred with respect to the long-term contract are deductible in the year incurred, subject to general accrual method of accounting principles and limitations.<sup>176</sup>

An exception from the requirement to use the percentage-of-completion method is provided for certain construction contracts ("small construction contracts"). Contracts within this exception are those contracts for the construction or improvement of real property if the contract: (1) is expected (at the time such contract is entered into) to be completed within two years of commencement of the contract and (2) is performed by a taxpayer whose average annual gross receipts for the prior three taxable years do not exceed \$10 million.<sup>177</sup> Thus, long-term contract income from small construction contracts must be reported consistently using the taxpayer's exempt contract method.<sup>178</sup> Permissible exempt contract methods include the

---

<sup>171</sup> Sec. 460(a).

<sup>172</sup> See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.460-4. This calculation is done on a cumulative basis. Thus, the amount included in gross income in a particular year is that proportion of the expected contract price that the amount of costs incurred through the end of the taxable year bears to the total expected costs, reduced by the amounts of gross contract price included in gross income in previous taxable years.

<sup>173</sup> Sec. 460(b)(1).

<sup>174</sup> Sec. 460(c).

<sup>175</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.460-5.

<sup>176</sup> Treas. Reg. secs. 1.460-4(b)(2)(iv) and 1.460-1(b)(8).

<sup>177</sup> Secs. 460(e)(1)(B) and (4).

<sup>178</sup> Since such contracts involve the construction of real property, they are subject to the interest capitalization rules without regard to their duration. See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.263A-8.

completed contract method, the exempt-contract percentage-of-completion method, the percentage-of-completion method, or any other permissible method.<sup>179</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal expands the exception for small construction contracts from the requirement to use the percentage-of-completion method. Under the proposal, contracts within this exception are those contracts for the construction or improvement of real property if the contract: (1) is expected (at the time such contract is entered into) to be completed within two years of commencement of the contract and (2) is performed by a taxpayer that (for the taxable year in which the contract was entered into) meets the \$15 million gross receipts test.<sup>180</sup>

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to contracts entered into after December 31, 2017, in taxable years ending after such date.

Application of this rule is a change in the taxpayer's method of accounting for purposes of section 481, but is applied on a cutoff basis for all similarly classified contracts (hence there is no adjustment under section 481(a) for contracts entered into before January 1, 2018).

---

<sup>179</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.460-4(c)(1).

<sup>180</sup> The \$15 million gross receipts test is described in section III.B.2. of this document (Modifications of gross receipts test for use of cash method of accounting by corporations and partnerships). In the case of a sole proprietorship, the \$15 million gross receipts test is applied as if the sole proprietorship were a corporation.

## C. Cost Recovery, etc.

### 1. Limitation on deduction for interest

#### Present Law

##### Interest deduction

Interest paid or accrued by a business generally is deductible in the computation of taxable income subject to a number of limitations.<sup>181</sup>

Interest is generally deducted by a taxpayer as it is paid or accrued, depending on the taxpayer's method of accounting. For all taxpayers, if an obligation is issued with original issue discount ("OID"), a deduction for interest is allowable over the life of the obligation on a yield to maturity basis.<sup>182</sup> Generally, OID arises where interest on a debt instrument is not calculated based on a qualified rate and required to be paid at least annually.

##### Investment interest expense

In the case of a taxpayer other than a corporation, the deduction for interest on indebtedness that is allocable to property held for investment ("investment interest") is limited to the taxpayer's net investment income for the taxable year.<sup>183</sup> Disallowed investment interest is carried forward to the next taxable year.

Net investment income is investment income net of investment expenses. Investment income generally consists of gross income from property held for investment, and investment expense includes all deductions directly connected with the production of investment income (*e.g.*, deductions for investment management fees) other than deductions for interest. Investment income includes only so much of the taxpayer's net capital gain and qualified dividend income as the taxpayer elects to take into account as investment income.

The two-percent floor on miscellaneous itemized deductions allows taxpayers to deduct investment expenses connected with investment income only to the extent such deductions

---

<sup>181</sup> Sec. 163(a). In addition to the limitations discussed herein, other limitations include: denial of the deduction for the disqualified portion of the original issue discount on an applicable high yield discount obligation (sec. 163(e)(5)), denial of deduction for interest on certain obligations not in registered form (sec. 163(f)), reduction of the deduction for interest on indebtedness with respect to which a mortgage credit certificate has been issued under section 25 (sec. 163(g)), disallowance of deduction for personal interest (sec. 163(h)), disallowance of deduction for interest on debt with respect to certain life insurance contracts (sec. 264), and disallowance of deduction for interest relating to tax-exempt income (sec. 265). Interest may also be subject to capitalization. See, *e.g.*, sections 263A(f) and 461(g).

<sup>182</sup> Sec. 163(e). But see section 267 (dealing in part with interest paid to a related or foreign party).

<sup>183</sup> Sec. 163(d).

exceed two percent of the taxpayer's adjusted gross income ("AGI").<sup>184</sup> Miscellaneous itemized deductions<sup>185</sup> that are not investment expenses are disallowed first before any investment expenses are disallowed.<sup>186</sup>

For purposes of the investment interest limitation, debt is allocated under a tracing approach to expenditures in accordance with the use of the debt proceeds, and interest on the debt is allocated in the same manner.<sup>187</sup> Thus, generally, the disallowance of a deduction for investment interest depends on the individual's use of the proceeds of the debt. For example, if an individual pledges corporate stock held for investment as security for a loan and uses the debt proceeds to purchase a car for personal use, interest expense on the debt is allocated to the personal expenditure to purchase the car and is treated as nondeductible personal interest rather than investment interest.

### **Earnings stripping**

Section 163(j) may disallow a deduction for disqualified interest paid or accrued by a corporation in a taxable year if two threshold tests are satisfied: the payor's debt-to-equity ratio exceeds 1.5 to 1.0 (the safe harbor ratio) and the payor's net interest expense exceeds 50 percent of its adjusted taxable income (generally, taxable income computed without regard to deductions for net interest expense, net operating losses, domestic production activities under section 199, depreciation, amortization, and depletion). Disqualified interest includes interest paid or accrued to: (1) related parties when no Federal income tax is imposed with respect to such interest;<sup>188</sup> (2) unrelated parties in certain instances in which a related party guarantees the debt; or (3) to a real estate investment trust ("REIT") by a taxable REIT subsidiary of that trust.<sup>189</sup> Interest amounts disallowed under these rules can be carried forward indefinitely.<sup>190</sup> In addition, any

---

<sup>184</sup> Sec. 67(a).

<sup>185</sup> Miscellaneous itemized deductions include itemized deductions of individuals other than certain specific itemized deductions. Sec. 67(b). Miscellaneous itemized deductions generally include, for example, investment management fees and certain employee business expenses, but specifically do not include, for example, interest, taxes, casualty and theft losses, charitable contributions, medical expenses, or other listed itemized deductions.

<sup>186</sup> H.R. Rep. No. 841, 99th Cong., 2d Sess., p. II-154, Sept. 18, 1986 (Conf. Rep.) ("In computing the amount of expenses that exceed the 2-percent floor, expenses that are not investment expenses are intended to be disallowed before any investment expenses are disallowed.").

<sup>187</sup> Temp. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.163-8T(c).

<sup>188</sup> If a tax treaty reduces the rate of tax on interest paid or accrued by the taxpayer, the interest is treated as interest on which no Federal income tax is imposed to the extent of the same proportion of such interest as the rate of tax imposed without regard to the treaty, reduced by the rate of tax imposed by the treaty, bears to the rate of tax imposed without regard to the treaty. Sec. 163(j)(5)(B).

<sup>189</sup> Sec. 163(j)(3).

<sup>190</sup> Sec. 163(j)(1)(B).

excess limitation (*i.e.*, the excess, if any, of 50 percent of the adjusted taxable income of the payor over the payor's net interest expense) can be carried forward three years.<sup>191</sup>

## **Description of Proposal**

### **In general**

In the case of any taxpayer for any taxable year, the deduction for business interest is limited to the sum of business interest income plus 30 percent of the adjusted taxable income of the taxpayer for the taxable year. The amount of any interest not allowed as a deduction for any taxable year may be carried forward indefinitely. The limitation applies at the taxpayer level. In the case of a group of affiliated corporations that file a consolidated return, it applies at the consolidated tax return filing level.

Business interest means any interest paid or accrued on indebtedness properly allocable to a trade or business. Any amount treated as interest for purposes of the Internal Revenue Code is interest for purposes of the proposal. Business interest income means the amount of interest includible in the gross income of the taxpayer for the taxable year which is properly allocable to a trade or business. Business interest does not include investment interest, and business interest income does not include investment income, within the meaning of section 163(d).

By including business interest income in the limitation, the rule operates to limit the deduction for net interest expense to 30 percent of adjusted taxable income. That is, a deduction for business interest is permitted to the full extent of business interest income. To the extent that business interest exceeds business interest income, the deduction for the net interest expense is limited to 30 percent of adjusted taxable income.

Adjusted taxable income means the taxable income of the taxpayer computed without regard to: (1) any item of income, gain, deduction, or loss which is not properly allocable to a trade or business; (2) any business interest or business interest income; (3) the 17.4 percent deduction for certain pass-through income;<sup>192</sup> and (4) the amount of any net operating loss deduction. The Secretary may provide other adjustments to the computation of adjusted taxable income.

### **Application to pass-through entities**

#### **In general**

In the case of any partnership, the limitation is applied at the partnership level. Any deduction for business interest is taken into account in determining the nonseparately stated taxable income or loss of the partnership.<sup>193</sup> To prevent double counting, special rules are

---

<sup>191</sup> Sec. 163(j)(2)(B)(ii).

<sup>192</sup> See section I.B.1. of this document (Allow 17.4 percent deduction to certain pass-through income).

<sup>193</sup> This amount is the "Ordinary business income or loss" reflected on Form 1065 (U.S. Return of Partnership Income). The partner's distributive share is reflected in Box 1 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065).

provided for the determination of the adjusted taxable income of each partner of the partnership. Similarly, to allow for additional interest deduction by a partner in the case of an excess amount of unused adjusted taxable income limitation of the partnership, special rules apply. Similar rules apply with respect to any S corporation and its shareholders.

#### Double counting rule

The adjusted taxable income of each partner (or shareholder, as the case may be) is determined without regard to such partner's distributive share of the nonseparately stated income or loss of such partnership. In the absence of such a rule, the same dollars of adjusted taxable income of a partnership could generate additional interest deductions as the income is passed through to the partners.

Example 1.—ABC is a partnership owned 50-50 by XYZ Corporation and an individual. ABC generates \$200 of noninterest income. Its only expense is \$60 of business interest. Under the proposal the deduction for business interest is limited to 30 percent of adjusted taxable income, that is, 30 percent \* \$200 = \$60. ABC deducts \$60 of business interest and reports ordinary business income of \$140. XYZ's distributive share of the ordinary business income of ABC is \$70. XYZ has net taxable income of zero from its other operations, none of which is attributable to interest income and without regard to its business interest expense. XYZ has business interest expense of \$25. In the absence of a double counting rule, the \$70 of taxable income from XYZ's distributive share of ABC's income would permit XYZ to deduct up to an additional \$21 of interest (30 percent \* \$70 = \$21), and XYZ's \$100 share of ABC's adjusted taxable income would generate \$51 of interest deductions, well in excess of the intended 30% limitation. If XYZ were a pass-through entity rather than a corporation, additional deductions might be available to its partners as well, and so on.

The double counting rule prevents this result by providing that XYZ has adjusted taxable income computed without regard to the \$70 distributive share of the nonseparately stated income of ABC. As a result it has adjusted taxable income of \$0. XYZ's deduction for business interest is limited to 30 percent \* \$0 = \$0, resulting in a deduction disallowance of \$25.

#### Additional deduction limit

The limit on the amount allowed as a deduction for business interest is increased by a partner's distributive share of the partnership's excess taxable income. The excess taxable income with respect to any partnership is the amount which bears the same ratio to the partnership's adjusted taxable income as the excess (if any) of 30 percent of the adjusted taxable income of the partnership over the amount (if any) by which the business interest of the partnership exceeds the business interest income of the partnership bears to 30 percent of the adjusted taxable income of the partnership. This allows a partner of a partnership to deduct additional interest expense the partner may have paid or incurred to the extent the partnership could have deducted more business interest. The proposal requires that excess taxable income be allocated in the same manner as nonseparately stated income and loss.

Example 2.—The facts are the same as in Example 1 except ABC has only \$40 of business interest. As in Example 1, ABC has a limit on its interest deduction of \$60. The excess of this

limit over the business interest of the partnership is  $\$60 - \$40 = \$20$ . The excess taxable income for ABC is  $\$20 / \$60 * \$200 = \$66.67$ . XYZ's distributive share of the excess taxable income from ABC partnership is  $\$33.33$ . XYZ's deduction for business interest is limited to 30 percent of the sum of its adjusted taxable income plus its distributive share of the excess taxable income from ABC partnership (30 percent \*  $(\$0 + \$33.33) = \$10$ ). As a result of the rule, XYZ may deduct  $\$10$  of business interest and has an interest deduction disallowance of  $\$15$ .

### **Carryforward of disallowed business interest**

The amount of any business interest not allowed as a deduction for any taxable year is treated as business interest paid or accrued in the succeeding taxable year. Business interest may be carried forward indefinitely. With respect to the limitation on deduction of interest by domestic corporations which are United States shareholders that are members of worldwide affiliated groups with excess domestic indebtedness,<sup>194</sup> whichever rule imposes the lower limitation on the deduction of interest with respect to the taxable year (and therefore the greatest amount of interest to be carried forward) governs.

Any carryforward of disallowed interest is an item taken into account in the case of certain corporate acquisitions described in section 381 and is subject to limitation under section 382.

### **Exceptions**

The limitation does not apply to any taxpayer that meets the \$15 million gross receipts test of section 448(c), that is, if the average annual gross receipts for the three-taxable-year period ending with the prior taxable year does not exceed \$15 million.<sup>195</sup>

The trade or business of performing services as an employee is not treated as a trade or business for purposes of the limitation. As a result, for example, the wages of an employee are not counted in the adjusted taxable income of the taxpayer for purposes of determining the limitation.

At the taxpayer's election, any real property development, redevelopment, construction, reconstruction, acquisition, conversion, rental, operation, management, leasing, or brokerage trade or business is not treated as a trade or business for purposes of the limitation, and therefore the limitation does not apply to such trades or businesses.

The limitation also does not apply to certain regulated public utilities. Specifically, the trade or business of the furnishing or sale of (1) electrical energy, water, or sewage disposal services, (2) gas or steam through a local distribution system, or (3) transportation of gas or steam by pipeline, if the rates for such furnishing or sale, as the case may be, have been

---

<sup>194</sup> See section IV.D.1. of this document (Denial of deduction for interest expense of United States shareholders which are members of worldwide affiliated groups with excess domestic indebtedness).

<sup>195</sup> See section III.B.2. of this document (Modifications of gross receipts test for use of cash method of accounting by corporations and partnerships). In the case of a sole proprietorship, the \$15 million gross receipts test is applied as if the sole proprietorship were a corporation or partnership.

established or approved by a State or political subdivision thereof, by any agency or instrumentality of the United States, or by a public service or public utility commission or other similar body of any State or political subdivision thereof is not treated as a trade or business for purposes of the limitation.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **2. Temporary 100-percent expensing for certain business assets**

### **Present Law**

A taxpayer generally must capitalize the cost of property used in a trade or business or held for the production of income and recover such cost over time through annual deductions for depreciation or amortization.<sup>196</sup> Tangible property generally is depreciated under the modified accelerated cost recovery system (“MACRS”), which determines depreciation for different types of property based on an assigned applicable depreciation method, recovery period,<sup>197</sup> and convention.<sup>198</sup>

### **Bonus depreciation**

An additional first-year depreciation deduction is allowed equal to 50 percent of the adjusted basis of qualified property acquired and placed in service before January 1, 2020 (January 1, 2021, for longer production period property<sup>199</sup> and certain aircraft<sup>200</sup>).<sup>201</sup> The 50-percent allowance is phased down for property placed in service after December 31, 2017 (after December 31, 2018 for longer production period property and certain aircraft). The bonus depreciation percentage rates are as follows.

---

<sup>196</sup> See secs. 263(a) and 167. However, where property is not used exclusively in a taxpayer’s business, the amount eligible for a deduction must be reduced by the amount related to personal use. See, *e.g.*, section 280A.

<sup>197</sup> The applicable recovery period for an asset is determined in part by statute and in part by historic Treasury guidance. Exercising authority granted by Congress, the Secretary issued Rev. Proc. 87-56, 1987-2 C.B. 674, laying out the framework of recovery periods for enumerated classes of assets. The Secretary clarified and modified the list of asset classes in Rev. Proc. 88-22, 1988-1 C.B. 785. In November 1988, Congress revoked the Secretary’s authority to modify the class lives of depreciable property. Rev. Proc. 87-56, as modified, remains in effect except to the extent that the Congress has, since 1988, statutorily modified the recovery period for certain depreciable assets, effectively superseding any administrative guidance with regard to such property.

<sup>198</sup> Sec. 168.

<sup>199</sup> As defined in section 168(k)(2)(B).

<sup>200</sup> As defined in section 168(k)(2)(C).

<sup>201</sup> Sec. 168(k). The additional first-year depreciation deduction is generally subject to the rules regarding whether a cost must be capitalized under section 263A.



Placed in Service Year	Bonus Depreciation Percentage	
	Qualified Property in General	Longer Production Period Property and Certain Aircraft
2017	50 percent	50 percent
2018	40 percent	50 percent <sup>202</sup>
2019	30 percent	40 percent
2020	n/a	30 percent <sup>203</sup>

The additional first-year depreciation deduction is allowed for both the regular tax and the alternative minimum tax (“AMT”),<sup>204</sup> but is not allowed in computing earnings and profits.<sup>205</sup> The basis of the property and the depreciation allowances in the year of purchase and later years are appropriately adjusted to reflect the additional first-year depreciation deduction.<sup>206</sup> The amount of the additional first-year depreciation deduction is not affected by a short taxable year.<sup>207</sup> The taxpayer may elect out of the additional first-year depreciation for any class of property for any taxable year.<sup>208</sup>

The interaction of the additional first-year depreciation allowance with the otherwise applicable depreciation allowance may be illustrated as follows. Assume that in 2017 a taxpayer purchases new depreciable property and places it in service.<sup>209</sup> The property’s cost is \$10,000, and it is five-year property subject to the 200 percent declining balance method and half-year convention. The amount of additional first-year depreciation allowed is \$5,000. The remaining \$5,000 of the cost of the property is depreciable under the rules applicable to five-year property.

<sup>202</sup> It is intended that for longer production period property placed in service in 2018, 50 percent applies to the entire adjusted basis. Similarly, for longer production period property placed in service in 2019, 40 percent applies to the entire adjusted basis. A technical correction may be necessary with respect to longer production period property placed in service in 2018 and 2019 so that the statute reflects this intent.

<sup>203</sup> In the case of longer production period property described in section 168(k)(2)(B) and placed in service in 2020, 30 percent applies to the adjusted basis attributable to manufacture, construction, or production before January 1, 2020, and the remaining adjusted basis does not qualify for bonus depreciation. Thirty percent applies to the entire adjusted basis of certain aircraft described in section 168(k)(2)(C) and placed in service in 2020.

<sup>204</sup> Sec. 168(k)(2)(G). See also Treas. Reg. sec. 1.168(k)-1(d).

<sup>205</sup> Sec. 312(k)(3) and Treas. Reg. sec. 1.168(k)-1(f)(7).

<sup>206</sup> Sec. 168(k)(1)(B).

<sup>207</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>208</sup> Sec. 168(k)(7). For the definition of a class of property, see Treas. Reg. sec. 1.168(k)-1(e)(2).

<sup>209</sup> Assume that the cost of the property is not eligible for expensing under section 179 or Treas. Reg. sec. 1.263(a)-1(f).

Thus, \$1,000 also is allowed as a depreciation deduction in 2017.<sup>210</sup> The total depreciation deduction with respect to the property for 2017 is \$6,000. The remaining \$4,000 adjusted basis of the property generally is recovered through otherwise applicable depreciation rules.

### Qualified property

Property qualifying for the additional first-year depreciation deduction must meet all of the following requirements.<sup>211</sup> First, the property must be: (1) property to which MACRS applies with an applicable recovery period of 20 years or less; (2) water utility property;<sup>212</sup> (3) computer software other than computer software covered by section 197; or (4) qualified improvement property.<sup>213</sup> Second, the original use<sup>214</sup> of the property must commence with the taxpayer.<sup>215</sup> Third, the taxpayer must acquire the property within the applicable time period (as described below). Finally, the property must be placed in service before January 1, 2020. As noted above, an extension of the placed-in-service date of one year (*i.e.*, before January 1, 2021) is provided for certain property with a recovery period of 10 years or longer, certain transportation property, and certain aircraft.<sup>216</sup>

---

<sup>210</sup> \$1,000 results from the application of the half-year convention and the 200 percent declining balance method to the remaining \$5,000.

<sup>211</sup> Requirements relating to actions taken before 2008 are not described herein since they have little (if any) remaining effect.

<sup>212</sup> As defined in section 168(e)(5).

<sup>213</sup> The additional first-year depreciation deduction is not available for any property that is required to be depreciated under the alternative depreciation system of MACRS. Sec. 168(k)(2)(D)(i).

<sup>214</sup> The term “original use” means the first use to which the property is put, whether or not such use corresponds to the use of such property by the taxpayer. If in the normal course of its business a taxpayer sells fractional interests in property to unrelated third parties, then the original use of such property begins with the first user of each fractional interest (*i.e.*, each fractional owner is considered the original user of its proportionate share of the property). Treas. Reg. sec. 1.168(k)-1(b)(3).

<sup>215</sup> A special rule applies in the case of certain leased property. In the case of any property that is originally placed in service by a person and that is sold to the taxpayer and leased back to such person by the taxpayer within three months after the date that the property was placed in service, the property would be treated as originally placed in service by the taxpayer not earlier than the date that the property is used under the leaseback. If property is originally placed in service by a lessor, such property is sold within three months after the date that the property was placed in service, and the user of such property does not change, then the property is treated as originally placed in service by the taxpayer not earlier than the date of such sale. Sec. 168(k)(2)(E)(ii) and (iii).

<sup>216</sup> Property qualifying for the extended placed-in-service date must have an estimated production period exceeding one year and a cost exceeding \$1 million. Transportation property generally is defined as tangible personal property used in the trade or business of transporting persons or property. Certain aircraft which is not transportation property, other than for agricultural or firefighting uses, also qualifies for the extended placed-in-service date, if at the time of the contract for purchase, the purchaser made a nonrefundable deposit of the lesser of 10 percent of the cost or \$100,000, and which has an estimated production period exceeding four months and a cost exceeding \$200,000.

To qualify, property must be acquired (1) before January 1, 2020, or (2) pursuant to a binding written contract which was entered into before January 1, 2020. With respect to property that is manufactured, constructed, or produced by the taxpayer for use by the taxpayer, the taxpayer must begin the manufacture, construction, or production of the property before January 1, 2020.<sup>217</sup> Property that is manufactured, constructed, or produced for the taxpayer by another person under a contract that is entered into prior to the manufacture, construction, or production of the property is considered to be manufactured, constructed, or produced by the taxpayer.<sup>218</sup> For property eligible for the extended placed-in-service date, a special rule limits the amount of costs eligible for the additional first-year depreciation. With respect to such property, only the portion of the basis that is properly attributable to the costs incurred before January 1, 2020 (“progress expenditures”) is eligible for the additional first-year depreciation deduction.<sup>219</sup>

#### Qualified improvement property

Qualified improvement property is any improvement to an interior portion of a building that is nonresidential real property if such improvement is placed in service after the date such building was first placed in service.<sup>220</sup> Qualified improvement property does not include any improvement for which the expenditure is attributable to the enlargement of the building, any elevator or escalator, or the internal structural framework of the building.

#### **Election to accelerate AMT credits in lieu of bonus depreciation**

A corporation otherwise eligible for additional first-year depreciation may elect to claim additional AMT credits in lieu of claiming additional depreciation with respect to qualified property.<sup>221</sup> In the case of a corporation making this election, the straight line method is used for the regular tax and the AMT with respect to qualified property.<sup>222</sup>

A corporation making an election increases the tax liability limitation under section 53(c) on the use of minimum tax credits by the bonus depreciation amount. The aggregate increase in credits allowable by reason of the increased limitation is treated as refundable.

---

<sup>217</sup> Sec. 168(k)(2)(E)(i).

<sup>218</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.168(k)-1(b)(4)(iii).

<sup>219</sup> Sec. 168(k)(2)(B)(ii). For purposes of determining the amount of eligible progress expenditures, rules similar to section 46(d)(3) as in effect prior to the Tax Reform Act of 1986 apply.

<sup>220</sup> Sec. 168(k)(3).

<sup>221</sup> Sec. 168(k)(4).

<sup>222</sup> Sec. 168(k)(4)(A)(ii).

The bonus depreciation amount generally is equal to 20 percent of bonus depreciation for qualified property that could be claimed as a deduction absent an election under this provision.<sup>223</sup> As originally enacted, the bonus depreciation amount for all taxable years was limited to the lesser of (1) \$30 million or (2) six percent of the minimum tax credits allocable to the adjusted net minimum tax imposed for taxable years beginning before January 1, 2006. However, extensions of this provision have provided that this limitation applies separately to property subject to each extension.

For taxable years ending after December 31, 2015, the bonus depreciation amount for a taxable year (as defined under present law with respect to all qualified property) is limited to the lesser of (1) 50 percent of the minimum tax credit for the first taxable year ending after December 31, 2015 (determined before the application of any tax liability limitation) or (2) the minimum tax credit for the taxable year allocable to the adjusted net minimum tax imposed for taxable years ending before January 1, 2016 (determined before the application of any tax liability limitation and determined on a first-in, first-out basis).

All corporations treated as a single employer under section 52(a) are treated as one taxpayer for purposes of the limitation, as well as for electing the application of this provision.<sup>224</sup>

In the case of a corporation making an election which is a partner in a partnership, for purposes of determining the electing partner's distributive share of partnership items, bonus depreciation does not apply to any qualified property and the straight line method is used with respect to that property.<sup>225</sup>

In the case of a partnership having a single corporate partner owning (directly or indirectly) more than 50 percent of the capital and profits interests in the partnership, each partner takes into account its distributive share of partnership depreciation in determining its bonus depreciation amount.<sup>226</sup>

## **Special rules**

### **Passenger automobiles**

The limitation under section 280F on the amount of depreciation deductions allowed with respect to certain passenger automobiles is increased in the first year by \$8,000 for automobiles that qualify (and for which the taxpayer does not elect out of the additional first-year

---

<sup>223</sup> For this purpose, bonus depreciation is the difference between (i) the aggregate amount of depreciation determined if section 168(k)(1) applied to all qualified property placed in service during the taxable year and (ii) the amount of depreciation that would be so determined if section 168(k)(1) did not so apply. This determination is made using the most accelerated depreciation method and the shortest life otherwise allowable for each property.

<sup>224</sup> Sec. 168(k)(4)(B)(iii).

<sup>225</sup> Sec. 168(k)(4)(D)(ii).

<sup>226</sup> Sec. 168(k)(4)(D)(iii).

deduction).<sup>227</sup> The \$8,000 amount is phased down from \$8,000 by \$1,600 per calendar year beginning in 2018. Thus, the section 280F increase amount for property placed in service during 2018 is \$6,400, and during 2019 is \$4,800. While the underlying section 280F limitation is indexed for inflation,<sup>228</sup> the section 280F increase amount is not indexed for inflation. The increase does not apply to a taxpayer who elects to accelerate AMT credits in lieu of bonus depreciation for a taxable year.

#### Certain plants bearing fruits and nuts

A special election is provided for certain plants bearing fruits and nuts.<sup>229</sup> Under the election, the applicable percentage of the adjusted basis of a specified plant which is planted or grafted after December 31, 2015, and before January 1, 2020, is deductible for regular tax and AMT purposes in the year planted or grafted by the taxpayer, and the adjusted basis is reduced by the amount of the deduction.<sup>230</sup> The percentage is 50 percent for 2017, 40 percent for 2018, and 30 percent for 2019. A specified plant is any tree or vine that bears fruits or nuts, and any other plant that will have more than one yield of fruits or nuts and generally has a preproductive period of more than two years from planting or grafting to the time it begins bearing fruits or nuts.<sup>231</sup> The election is revocable only with the consent of the Secretary, and if the election is made with respect to any specified plant, such plant is not treated as qualified property eligible for bonus depreciation in the subsequent taxable year in which it is placed in service.

#### Long-term contracts

In general, in the case of a long-term contract, the taxable income from the contract is determined under the percentage-of-completion method.<sup>232</sup> Solely for purposes of determining the percentage of completion under section 460(b)(1)(A), the cost of qualified property with a MACRS recovery period of seven years or less is taken into account as a cost allocated to the contract as if bonus depreciation had not been enacted for property placed in service before January 1, 2020 (January 1, 2021, in the case of longer production period property).<sup>233</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal extends and modifies the additional first-year depreciation deduction through 2022 (through 2023 for longer production period property and certain aircraft). The 50-

---

<sup>227</sup> Sec. 168(k)(2)(F).

<sup>228</sup> Sec. 280F(d)(7).

<sup>229</sup> See sec. 168(k)(5).

<sup>230</sup> Any amount deducted under this election is not subject to capitalization under section 263A.

<sup>231</sup> A specified plant does not include any property that is planted or grafted outside the United States.

<sup>232</sup> Sec. 460.

<sup>233</sup> Sec. 460(c)(6). Other dates involving prior years are not described herein.

percent allowance is increased to 100 percent for property placed in service after September 27, 2017, and before January 1, 2023 (January 1, 2024, for longer production period property and certain aircraft), as well as for specified plants planted or grafted after September 27, 2017, and before January 1, 2023. Thus, the proposal repeals the phase-down of the additional first-year depreciation deduction for property placed in service after December 31, 2017, and for specified plants planted or grafted after such date. Similarly, the proposal maintains the section 280F increase amount of \$8,000 for passenger automobiles placed in service after December 31, 2017.

The proposal excludes from the definition of qualified property certain public utility property, *i.e.*, property used predominantly in the trade or business of the furnishing or sale of (1) electrical energy, water, or sewage disposal services, (2) gas or steam through a local distribution system, or (3) transportation of gas or steam by pipeline, if the rates for such furnishing or sale, as the case may be, have been established or approved by a State or political subdivision thereof, by any agency or instrumentality of the United States, or by a public service or public utility commission or other similar body of any State or political subdivision thereof.<sup>234</sup>

As a conforming amendment to the repeal of AMT, the proposal repeals the election to accelerate AMT credits in lieu of bonus depreciation.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal generally applies to property placed in service after September 27, 2017, and to specified plants planted or grafted after such date.

A transition rule provides that, for a taxpayer's first taxable year ending after September 27, 2017, the taxpayer may elect to apply a 50-percent allowance.

### **3. Modifications to depreciation limitations on luxury automobiles and personal use property**

#### **Present Law**

Section 280F(a) limits the annual cost recovery deduction with respect to certain passenger automobiles. This limitation is commonly referred to as the "luxury automobile depreciation limitation." For passenger automobiles placed in service in 2017, and for which the additional first-year depreciation deduction under section 168(k) is not claimed, the maximum amount of allowable depreciation is \$3,160 for the year in which the vehicle is placed in service, \$5,100 for the second year, \$3,050 for the third year, and \$1,875 for the fourth and later years in the recovery period.<sup>235</sup> This limitation is indexed for inflation and applies to the aggregate deduction provided under present law for depreciation and section 179 expensing. Hence, passenger automobiles subject to section 280F are eligible for section 179 expensing only to the extent of the applicable limits contained in section 280F. For passenger automobiles eligible for

---

<sup>234</sup> As defined in section 168(i)(10) without regard to subparagraph (C) of such section.

<sup>235</sup> Rev. Proc. 2017-29, Table 3, 2017-14 I.R.B. 1065.

the additional first-year depreciation allowance in 2017, the first-year limitation is increased by an additional \$8,000.<sup>236</sup>

For purposes of the depreciation limitation, passenger automobiles are defined broadly to include any four-wheeled vehicles that are manufactured primarily for use on public streets, roads, and highways and which are rated at 6,000 pounds unloaded gross vehicle weight or less.<sup>237</sup> In the case of a truck or a van, the depreciation limitation applies to vehicles that are rated at 6,000 pounds gross vehicle weight or less. Sport utility vehicles are treated as a truck for the purpose of applying the section 280F limitation.

Basis not recovered in the recovery period of a passenger automobile is allowable as an expense in subsequent taxable years.<sup>238</sup> The expensed amount is limited in each such subsequent taxable year to the amount of the limitation in the fourth year in the recovery period.

### **Listed property**

In the case of certain listed property, special rules apply. Listed property generally is defined as (1) any passenger automobile; (2) any other property used as a means of transportation;<sup>239</sup> (3) any property of a type generally used for purposes of entertainment, recreation, or amusement; (4) any computer or peripheral equipment;<sup>240</sup> and (5) any other property of a type specified in Treasury regulations.<sup>241</sup>

First, if for the taxable year in which the property is placed in service, the use of the property for trade or business purposes does not exceed 50 percent of the total use of the property, then the depreciation deduction with respect to such property is determined under the alternative depreciation system.<sup>242</sup> The alternative depreciation system generally requires the use

---

<sup>236</sup> Sec. 168(k)(2)(F). For proposed changes to section 168(k), see section III.C.2. of this document (Temporary 100-percent expensing for certain business assets).

<sup>237</sup> Sec. 280F(d)(5). Exceptions are provided for any ambulance, hearse, or combination ambulance-hearse used by the taxpayer directly in a trade or business, or any vehicle used by the taxpayer directly in the trade or business of transporting persons or property for compensation or hire.

<sup>238</sup> Sec. 280F(a)(1)(B).

<sup>239</sup> Property substantially all of the use of which is in a trade or business of providing transportation to unrelated persons for hire is not considered other property used as a means of transportation. Sec. 280F(d)(4)(C).

<sup>240</sup> Computer or peripheral equipment used exclusively at a regular business establishment and owned or leased by the person operating such establishment, however, is not listed property. Sec. 280F(d)(4)(B).

<sup>241</sup> Sec. 280F(d)(4)(A).

<sup>242</sup> Sec. 280F(b)(1). If for any taxable year after the year in which the property is placed in service the use of the property for trade or business purposes decreases to 50 percent or less of the total use of the property, then the amount of depreciation allowed in prior years in excess of the amount of depreciation that would have been allowed for such prior years under the alternative depreciation system is recaptured (*i.e.*, included in gross income) for such taxable year.

of the straight-line method and a recovery period equal to the class life of the property.<sup>243</sup> Second, if an individual owns or leases listed property that is used by the individual in connection with the performance of services as an employee, no depreciation deduction, expensing allowance, or deduction for lease payments is available with respect to such use unless the use of the property is for the convenience of the employer and required as a condition of employment.<sup>244</sup> Both limitations apply for purposes of section 179 expensing.

For listed property, no deduction is allowed unless the taxpayer adequately substantiates the expense and business usage of the property.<sup>245</sup> A taxpayer must substantiate the elements of each expenditure or use of listed property, including (1) the amount (*e.g.*, cost) of each separate expenditure and the amount of business or investment use, based on the appropriate measure (*e.g.*, mileage for automobiles), and the total use of the property for the taxable period, (2) the date of the expenditure or use, and (3) the business purposes for the expenditure or use.<sup>246</sup> The level of substantiation for business or investment use of listed property varies depending on the facts and circumstances. In general, the substantiation must contain sufficient information as to each element of every business or investment use.<sup>247</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal increases the depreciation limitations under section 280F that apply to listed property. For passenger automobiles placed in service after December 31, 2017, and for which the additional first-year depreciation deduction under section 168(k) is not claimed, the maximum amount of allowable depreciation is \$10,000 for the year in which the vehicle is placed in service, \$16,000 for the second year, \$9,600 for the third year, and \$5,760 for the fourth and later years in the recovery period.<sup>248</sup> The limitations are indexed for inflation for passenger automobiles placed in service after 2018.

The proposal removes computer or peripheral equipment from the definition of listed property. Such property is therefore not subject to the heightened substantiation requirements that apply to listed property.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for property placed in service after December 31, 2017.

---

<sup>243</sup> Sec. 168(g).

<sup>244</sup> Sec. 280F(d)(3).

<sup>245</sup> Sec. 274(d)(4).

<sup>246</sup> Temp. Reg. sec. 1.274-5T(b)(6).

<sup>247</sup> Temp. Reg. sec. 1.274-5T(c)(2)(ii)(C).

<sup>248</sup> Rev. Proc. 2017-29, Table 3, 2017-14 I.R.B. 1065.



#### 4. Modifications of treatment of certain farm property

##### Present Law

A taxpayer generally must capitalize the cost of property used in a trade or business or held for the production of income and recover such cost over time through annual deductions for depreciation or amortization.<sup>249</sup> Tangible property generally is depreciated under the modified accelerated cost recovery system (“MACRS”), which determines depreciation for different types of property based on an assigned applicable depreciation method, recovery period, and convention.<sup>250</sup>

The applicable recovery period for an asset is determined in part by statute and in part by historic Treasury guidance.<sup>251</sup> The “type of property” of an asset is used to determine the “class life” of the asset, which in turn dictates the applicable recovery period for the asset.

The MACRS recovery periods applicable to most tangible personal property range from three to 20 years. The depreciation methods generally applicable to tangible personal property are the 200-percent and 150-percent declining balance methods,<sup>252</sup> switching to the straight line method for the first taxable year where using the straight line method with respect to the adjusted basis as of the beginning of that year yields a larger depreciation allowance. The recovery periods for most real property are 39 years for nonresidential real property and 27.5 years for residential rental property. The straight line depreciation method is required for the aforementioned real property.

---

<sup>249</sup> See secs. 263(a) and 167. However, where property is not used exclusively in a taxpayer’s business, the amount eligible for a deduction must be reduced by the amount related to personal use. See, e.g., section 280A.

<sup>250</sup> Sec. 168.

<sup>251</sup> Exercising authority granted by Congress, the Secretary issued Rev. Proc. 87-56, 1987-2 C.B. 674, laying out the framework of recovery periods for enumerated classes of assets. The Secretary clarified and modified the list of asset classes in Rev. Proc. 88-22, 1988-1 C.B. 785. In November 1988, Congress revoked the Secretary’s authority to modify the class lives of depreciable property. Rev. Proc. 87-56, as modified, remains in effect except to the extent that the Congress has, since 1988, statutorily modified the recovery period for certain depreciable assets, effectively superseding any administrative guidance with regard to such property.

<sup>252</sup> Under the declining balance method the depreciation rate is determined by dividing the appropriate percentage (here 150 or 200) by the appropriate recovery period. This leads to accelerated depreciation when the declining balance percentage is greater than 100. The table below illustrates depreciation for an asset with a cost of \$1,000 and a seven-year recovery period under the 200-percent declining balance method, the 150-percent declining balance method, and the straight line method.

Recovery method	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Total
200-percent declining balance	285.71	204.08	145.77	104.12	86.77	86.77	86.77	1,000.00
150-percent declining balance	214.29	168.37	132.29	121.26	121.26	121.26	121.26	1,000.00
Straight-line	142.86	142.86	142.86	142.86	142.86	142.86	142.86	1,000.00

Property used in a farming business is assigned various recovery periods in the same manner as other business property. For example, depreciable assets used in agriculture activities that are assigned a recovery period of 7 years include machinery and equipment, grain bins, and fences (but no other land improvements), that are used in the production of crops or plants, vines, and trees; livestock; the operation of farm dairies, nurseries, greenhouses, sod farms, mushrooms cellars, cranberry bogs, apiaries, and fur farms; and the performance of agriculture, animal husbandry, and horticultural services.<sup>253</sup> Cotton ginning assets are also assigned a recovery period of 7 years,<sup>254</sup> while land improvements such as drainage facilities, paved lots, and water wells are assigned a recovery period of 15 years.<sup>255</sup> A 5-year recovery period was assigned to new farm machinery or equipment (other than any grain bin, cotton ginning asset, fence, or other land improvement) which was used in a farming business,<sup>256</sup> the original use of which commenced with the taxpayer after December 31, 2008, and which was placed in service before January 1, 2010.<sup>257</sup>

Any property (other than nonresidential real property,<sup>258</sup> residential rental property,<sup>259</sup> and trees or vines bearing fruits or nuts<sup>260</sup>) used in a farming business<sup>261</sup> is subject to the 150-percent declining balance method.<sup>262</sup>

Under a special accounting rule, certain taxpayers engaged in the business of farming who elect to deduct preproductive period expenditures are required to depreciate all farming assets using the alternative depreciation system (*i.e.*, using longer recovery periods and the straight line method).<sup>263</sup>

---

<sup>253</sup> Rev. Proc. 87-56, Asset class 01.1, Agriculture.

<sup>254</sup> Rev. Proc. 87-56, Asset class 01.11, Cotton ginning assets.

<sup>255</sup> Rev. Proc. 87-56, Asset class 00.3, Land improvements. *See also*, IRS Publication 225, Farmer's Tax Guide (2017).

<sup>256</sup> As defined in section 263A(e)(4).

<sup>257</sup> Sec. 168(e)(3)(B)(vii).

<sup>258</sup> Sec. 168(b)(3)(A).

<sup>259</sup> Sec. 168(b)(3)(B).

<sup>260</sup> Sec. 168(b)(3)(E).

<sup>261</sup> Within the meaning of section 263A(e)(4).

<sup>262</sup> Sec. 168(b)(2)(B).

<sup>263</sup> Sec. 263A(d)(3) and (e)(2).

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal shortens the recovery period from 7 to 5 years for any machinery or equipment (other than any grain bin, cotton ginning asset, fence, or other land improvement) used in a farming business, the original use of which commences with the taxpayer and is placed in service after December 31, 2017.

The proposal also repeals the required use of the 150-percent declining balance method for property used in a farming business (*i.e.*, for 3-, 5-, 7-, and 10-year property). The 150-percent declining balance method will continue to apply to any 15-year or 20-year property used in the farming business to which the straight line method does not apply, or to property for which the taxpayer elects the use of the 150-percent declining balance method.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for property placed in service after December 31, 2017.

## **5. Modification of net operating loss deduction**

### **Present Law**

A net operating loss (“NOL”) generally means the amount by which a taxpayer’s business deductions exceed its gross income.<sup>264</sup> In general, an NOL may be carried back two years and carried over 20 years to offset taxable income in such years.<sup>265</sup> NOLs offset taxable income in the order of the taxable years to which the NOL may be carried.<sup>266</sup>

Different carryback periods apply with respect to NOLs arising in different circumstances. Extended carryback periods are allowed for NOLs attributable to specified liability losses and certain casualty and disaster losses.<sup>267</sup> Limitations are placed on the carryback of excess interest losses attributable to corporate equity reduction transactions.<sup>268</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal limits the NOL deduction to 90 percent of taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction). Carryovers to other years are adjusted to take account of this limitation, and may be carried forward indefinitely.

---

<sup>264</sup> Sec. 172(c).

<sup>265</sup> Sec. 172(b)(1)(A).

<sup>266</sup> Sec. 172(b)(2).

<sup>267</sup> Sec. 172(b)(1)(C) and (E).

<sup>268</sup> Sec. 172(b)(1)(D).

The proposal repeals the two-year carryback and the special carryback provisions, but provides a two-year carryback in the case of certain losses incurred in the trade or business of farming.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal allowing indefinite carryovers and modifying carrybacks applies to losses arising in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

The proposal limiting the NOL deduction applies to losses arising in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **6. Like-kind exchanges of real property**

### **Present Law**

An exchange of property, like a sale, generally is a taxable event. However, no gain or loss is recognized if property held for productive use in a trade or business or for investment is exchanged for property of a “like kind” which is to be held for productive use in a trade or business or for investment.<sup>269</sup> In general, section 1031 does not apply to any exchange of stock in trade (*i.e.*, inventory) or other property held primarily for sale; stocks, bonds, or notes; other securities or evidences of indebtedness or interest; interests in a partnership; certificates of trust or beneficial interests; or choses in action.<sup>270</sup> Section 1031 also does not apply to certain exchanges involving livestock<sup>271</sup> or foreign property.<sup>272</sup>

For purposes of section 1031, the determination of whether property is of a “like kind” relates to the nature or character of the property and not its grade or quality, *i.e.*, the nonrecognition rules do not apply to an exchange of one class or kind of property for property of a different class or kind (*e.g.*, section 1031 does not apply to an exchange of real property for personal property).<sup>273</sup> The different classes of property are: (1) depreciable tangible personal

---

<sup>269</sup> Sec. 1031(a)(1).

<sup>270</sup> Sec. 1031(a)(2). A chose in action is a right that can be enforced by legal action.

<sup>271</sup> Sec. 1031(e).

<sup>272</sup> Sec. 1031(h).

<sup>273</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1031(a)-1(b).

property;<sup>274</sup> (2) intangible or nondepreciable personal property;<sup>275</sup> and (3) real property.<sup>276</sup> However, the rules with respect to whether real estate is “like kind” are applied more liberally than the rules governing like-kind exchanges of depreciable, intangible, or nondepreciable personal property. For example, improved real estate and unimproved real estate generally are considered to be property of a “like kind” as this distinction relates to the grade or quality of the real estate,<sup>277</sup> while depreciable tangible personal properties must be either within the same General Asset Class<sup>278</sup> or within the same Product Class.<sup>279</sup>

The nonrecognition of gain in a like-kind exchange applies only to the extent that like-kind property is received in the exchange. Thus, if an exchange of property would meet the requirements of section 1031, but for the fact that the property received in the transaction consists not only of the property that would be permitted to be exchanged on a tax-free basis, but also other non-qualifying property or money (“additional consideration”), then the gain to the recipient of the other property or money is required to be recognized, but not in an amount

---

<sup>274</sup> For example, an exchange of a personal computer classified under asset class 00.12 of Rev. Proc. 87-56, 1987-2 C.B. 674, for a printer classified under the same asset class of Rev. Proc. 87-56 would be treated as property of a like kind. However, an exchange of an airplane classified under asset class 00.21 of Rev. Proc. 87-56 for a heavy general purpose truck classified under asset class 00.242 of Rev. Proc. 87-56 would not be treated as property of a like kind. See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1031(a)-2(b)(7).

<sup>275</sup> For example, an exchange of a copyright on a novel for a copyright on a different novel would be treated as property of a like kind. See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1031(a)-2(c)(3). However, the goodwill or going concern value of one business is not of a like kind to the goodwill or going concern value of a different business. See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1031(a)-2(c)(2). The Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) has ruled that intangible assets such as trademarks, trade names, mastheads, and customer-based intangibles that can be separately described and valued apart from goodwill qualify as property of a like kind under section 1031. See Chief Counsel Advice 200911006, February 12, 2009.

<sup>276</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1031(a)-1(b) and (c).

<sup>277</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1031(a)-1(b).

<sup>278</sup> Treasury Regulation section 1.1031(a)-2(b)(2) provides the following list of General Asset Classes, based on asset classes 00.11 through 00.28 and 00.4 of Rev. Proc. 87-56, 1987-2 C.B. 674: (i) Office furniture, fixtures, and equipment (asset class 00.11), (ii) Information systems (computers and peripheral equipment) (asset class 00.12), (iii) Data handling equipment, except computers (asset class 00.13), (iv) Airplanes (airframes and engines), except those used in commercial or contract carrying of passengers or freight, and all helicopters (airframes and engines) (asset class 00.21), (v) Automobiles, taxis (asset class 00.22), (vi) Buses (asset class 00.23), (vii) Light general purpose trucks (asset class 00.241), (viii) Heavy general purpose trucks (asset class 00.242), (ix) Railroad cars and locomotives, except those owned by railroad transportation companies (asset class 00.25), (x) Tractor units for use over-the-road (asset class 00.26), (xi) Trailers and trailer-mounted containers (asset class 00.27), (xii) Vessels, barges, tugs, and similar water-transportation equipment, except those used in marine construction (asset class 00.28), and (xiii) Industrial steam and electric generation and/or distribution systems (asset class 00.4).

<sup>279</sup> Property within a product class consists of depreciable tangible personal property that is described in a 6-digit product class within Sectors 31, 32, and 33 (pertaining to manufacturing industries) of the North American Industry Classification System (“NAICS”), set forth in Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, *North American Industry Classification System*, United States, 2002 (NAICS Manual), as periodically updated. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1031(a)-2(b)(3).

exceeding the fair market value of such other property or money.<sup>280</sup> Additionally, any such gain realized on a section 1031 exchange as a result of additional consideration being involved constitutes ordinary income to the extent that the gain is subject to the recapture provisions of sections 1245 and 1250.<sup>281</sup> No losses may be recognized from a like-kind exchange.<sup>282</sup>

If section 1031 applies to an exchange of properties, the basis of the property received in the exchange is equal to the basis of the property transferred. This basis is increased to the extent of any gain recognized as a result of the receipt of other property or money in the like-kind exchange, and decreased to the extent of any money received by the taxpayer.<sup>283</sup> The holding period of qualifying property received includes the holding period of the qualifying property transferred, but the nonqualifying property received is required to begin a new holding period.<sup>284</sup>

A like-kind exchange also does not require that the properties be exchanged simultaneously. Rather, the property to be received in the exchange must be received not more than 180 days after the date on which the taxpayer relinquishes the original property (but in no event later than the due date (including extensions) of the taxpayer's income tax return for the taxable year in which the transfer of the relinquished property occurs). In addition, the taxpayer must identify the property to be received within 45 days after the date on which the taxpayer transfers the property relinquished in the exchange.<sup>285</sup>

The Treasury Department has issued regulations<sup>286</sup> and revenue procedures<sup>287</sup> providing guidance and safe harbors for taxpayers engaging in deferred like-kind exchanges.

---

<sup>280</sup> Sec. 1031(b). For example, if a taxpayer holding land A having a basis of \$40,000 and a fair market value of \$100,000 exchanges the property for land B worth \$90,000 plus \$10,000 in cash, the taxpayer would recognize \$10,000 of gain on the transaction, which would be includable in income. The remaining \$50,000 of gain would be deferred until the taxpayer disposes of land B in a taxable sale or exchange.

<sup>281</sup> Secs. 1245(b)(4) and 1250(d)(4). For example, if a taxpayer holding section 1245 property A with an original cost basis of \$11,000, an adjusted basis of \$10,000, and a fair market value of \$15,000 exchanges the property for section 1245 property B with a fair market value of \$14,000 plus \$1,000 in cash, the taxpayer would recognize \$1,000 of ordinary income on the transaction. The remaining \$4,000 of gain would be deferred until the taxpayer disposes of section 1245 property B in a taxable sale or exchange.

<sup>282</sup> Sec. 1031(c).

<sup>283</sup> Sec. 1031(d). Thus, in the example noted above, the taxpayer's basis in B would be \$40,000 (the taxpayer's transferred basis of \$40,000, increased by \$10,000 in gain recognized, and decreased by \$10,000 in money received).

<sup>284</sup> Sec. 1223(1).

<sup>285</sup> Sec. 1031(a)(3).

<sup>286</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1031(k)-1(a) through (o).

<sup>287</sup> See Rev. Proc. 2000-37, 2000-40 I.R.B. 308, as modified by Rev. Proc. 2004-51, 2004-33 I.R.B. 294.

## **Description of Proposal**

The proposal modifies the provision providing for nonrecognition of gain in the case of like-kind exchanges by limiting its application to real property that is not held primarily for sale.

## **Effective Date**

The proposal generally applies to exchanges completed after December 31, 2017. However, an exception is provided for any exchange if the property disposed of by the taxpayer in the exchange is disposed of on or before December 31, 2017, or the property received by the taxpayer in the exchange is received on or before such date.

## **7. Applicable recovery period for real property**

### **Present Law**

#### **In general**

A taxpayer generally must capitalize the cost of property used in a trade or business or held for the production of income and recover such cost over time through annual deductions for depreciation or amortization.<sup>288</sup> Tangible property generally is depreciated under the modified accelerated cost recovery system (“MACRS”), which determines depreciation for different types of property based on an assigned applicable depreciation method, recovery period, and convention.<sup>289</sup>

#### **Recovery periods and depreciation methods**

The applicable recovery period for an asset is determined in part by statute and in part by historic Treasury guidance.<sup>290</sup> The “type of property” of an asset is used to determine the “class life” of the asset, which in turn dictates the applicable recovery period for the asset.

The MACRS recovery periods applicable to most tangible personal property range from three to 20 years. The depreciation methods generally applicable to tangible personal property are the 200-percent and 150-percent declining balance methods,<sup>291</sup> switching to the straight line

---

<sup>288</sup> See secs. 263(a) and 167. However, where property is not used exclusively in a taxpayer’s business, the amount eligible for a deduction must be reduced by the amount related to personal use. See, *e.g.*, section 280A.

<sup>289</sup> Sec. 168.

<sup>290</sup> Exercising authority granted by Congress, the Secretary issued Rev. Proc. 87-56, 1987-2 C.B. 674, laying out the framework of recovery periods for enumerated classes of assets. The Secretary clarified and modified the list of asset classes in Rev. Proc. 88-22, 1988-1 C.B. 785. In November 1988, Congress revoked the Secretary’s authority to modify the class lives of depreciable property. Rev. Proc. 87-56, as modified, remains in effect except to the extent that the Congress has, since 1988, statutorily modified the recovery period for certain depreciable assets, effectively superseding any administrative guidance with regard to such property.

<sup>291</sup> Under the declining balance method the depreciation rate is determined by dividing the appropriate percentage (here 150 or 200) by the appropriate recovery period. This leads to accelerated depreciation when the

method for the first taxable year where using the straight line method with respect to the adjusted basis as of the beginning of that year yields a larger depreciation allowance. The recovery periods for most real property are 39 years for nonresidential real property and 27.5 years for residential rental property. The straight line depreciation method is required for the aforementioned real property.

#### Placed-in-service conventions

Depreciation of an asset begins when the asset is deemed to be placed in service under the applicable convention.<sup>292</sup> Under MACRS, nonresidential real property, residential rental property, and any railroad grading or tunnel bore generally are subject to the mid-month convention, which treats all property placed in service during any month (or disposed of during any month) as placed in service (or disposed of) on the mid-point of such month.<sup>293</sup> All other property generally is subject to the half-year convention, which treats all property placed in service during any taxable year (or disposed of during any taxable year) as placed in service (or disposed of) on the mid-point of such taxable year to reflect the assumption that assets are placed in service ratably throughout the year.<sup>294</sup> However, if substantial property is placed in service during the last three months of a taxable year, a special rule requires use of the mid-quarter convention,<sup>295</sup> designed to prevent the recognition of disproportionately large amounts of first-year depreciation under the half-year convention.

#### Depreciation of additions or improvements to property

The recovery period for any addition or improvement to real or personal property begins on the later of (1) the date on which the addition or improvement is placed in service, or (2) the date on which the property with respect to which such addition or improvement is made is placed in service.<sup>296</sup> Any MACRS deduction for an addition or improvement to any property is to be

---

declining balance percentage is greater than 100. The table below illustrates depreciation for an asset with a cost of \$1,000 and a seven-year recovery period under the 200-percent declining balance method, the 150-percent declining balance method, and the straight line method.

Recovery method	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Total
200-percent declining balance	285.71	204.08	145.77	104.12	86.77	86.77	86.77	1,000.00
150-percent declining balance	214.29	168.37	132.29	121.26	121.26	121.26	121.26	1,000.00
Straight-line	142.86	142.86	142.86	142.86	142.86	142.86	142.86	1,000.00

<sup>292</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.167(a)-10(b).

<sup>293</sup> Sec. 168(d)(2) and (d)(4)(B).

<sup>294</sup> Sec. 168(d)(1) and (d)(4)(A).

<sup>295</sup> The mid-quarter convention treats all property placed in service (or disposed of) during any quarter as placed in service (or disposed of) on the mid-point of such quarter. Sec. 168(d)(3) and (d)(4)(C).

<sup>296</sup> Sec. 168(i)(6).



computed in the same manner as the deduction for the underlying property would be if such property were placed in service at the same time as such addition or improvement. Thus, for example, the cost of an improvement to a building that constitutes nonresidential real property is recovered over 39 years using the straight line method and mid-month convention. Certain improvements to nonresidential real property are eligible for the additional first-year depreciation deduction if the other requirements of section 168(k) are met (*i.e.*, improvements that constitute “qualified improvement property”).<sup>297</sup>

#### Qualified improvement property

Qualified improvement property is any improvement to an interior portion of a building that is nonresidential real property if such improvement is placed in service after the date such building was first placed in service.<sup>298</sup> Qualified improvement property does not include any improvement for which the expenditure is attributable to the enlargement of the building, any elevator or escalator, or the internal structural framework of the building.

#### Depreciation of leasehold improvements

Generally, depreciation allowances for improvements made on leased property are determined under MACRS, even if the MACRS recovery period assigned to the property is longer than the term of the lease.<sup>299</sup> This rule applies regardless of whether the lessor or the lessee places the leasehold improvements in service. If a leasehold improvement constitutes an addition or improvement to nonresidential real property already placed in service, the improvement generally is depreciated using the straight-line method over a 39-year recovery period, beginning in the month the addition or improvement was placed in service. However, exceptions to the 39-year recovery period exist for certain qualified leasehold improvements, qualified restaurant property, and qualified retail improvement property.

#### Qualified leasehold improvement property

Section 168(e)(3)(E)(iv) provides a statutory 15-year recovery period for qualified leasehold improvement property. Qualified leasehold improvement property is any improvement to an interior portion of a building that is nonresidential real property, provided certain requirements are met.<sup>300</sup> The improvement must be made under or pursuant to a lease either by the lessee (or sublessee), or by the lessor, of that portion of the building to be occupied exclusively by the lessee (or sublessee). The improvement must be placed in service more than three years after the date the building was first placed in service. Qualified leasehold improvement property does not include any improvement for which the expenditure is attributable to the enlargement of the building, any elevator or escalator, any structural

---

<sup>297</sup> Sec. 168(k)(2)(A)(i)(IV) and (k)(3). See also section III.C.2. of this document (Temporary 100-percent expensing for certain business assets).

<sup>298</sup> Sec. 168(k)(3).

<sup>299</sup> Sec. 168(i)(8).

<sup>300</sup> Sec. 168(e)(6).

component benefiting a common area, or the internal structural framework of the building. If a lessor makes an improvement that qualifies as qualified leasehold improvement property, such improvement does not qualify as qualified leasehold improvement property to any subsequent owner of such improvement. An exception to the rule applies in the case of death and certain transfers of property that qualify for non-recognition treatment.

Qualified leasehold improvement property is generally recovered using the straight-line method and a half-year convention,<sup>301</sup> and is eligible for the additional first-year depreciation deduction if the other requirements of section 168(k) are met.<sup>302</sup>

#### Qualified restaurant property

Section 168(e)(3)(E)(v) provides a statutory 15-year recovery period for qualified restaurant property. Qualified restaurant property is any section 1250 property that is a building or an improvement to a building, if more than 50 percent of the building's square footage is devoted to the preparation of, and seating for on-premises consumption of, prepared meals.<sup>303</sup> Qualified restaurant property is recovered using the straight-line method and a half-year convention.<sup>304</sup> Additionally, qualified restaurant property is not eligible for the additional first-year depreciation deduction unless it also satisfies the definition of qualified improvement property.<sup>305</sup>

#### Qualified retail improvement property

Section 168(e)(3)(E)(ix) provides a statutory 15-year recovery period for qualified retail improvement property. Qualified retail improvement property is any improvement to an interior portion of a building which is nonresidential real property if such portion is open to the general public<sup>306</sup> and is used in the retail trade or business of selling tangible personal property to the general public, and such improvement is placed in service more than three years after the date the building was first placed in service.<sup>307</sup> Qualified retail improvement property does not include any improvement for which the expenditure is attributable to the enlargement of the building, any elevator or escalator, any structural component benefiting a common area, or the

---

<sup>301</sup> Sec. 168(b)(3)(G) and (d).

<sup>302</sup> Sec. 168(k)(2)(A)(i)(IV) and (k)(3). See section III.C.2. of this document (Temporary 100-percent expensing for certain business assets).

<sup>303</sup> Sec. 168(e)(7).

<sup>304</sup> Sec. 168(b)(3)(H) and (d).

<sup>305</sup> Sec. 168(e)(7)(B).

<sup>306</sup> Improvements to portions of a building not open to the general public (*e.g.*, stock room in back of retail space) do not qualify under the provision.

<sup>307</sup> Sec. 168(e)(8).

internal structural framework of the building.<sup>308</sup> In the case of an improvement made by the owner of such improvement, the improvement is a qualified retail improvement only so long as the improvement is held by such owner.<sup>309</sup>

Retail establishments that qualify for the 15-year recovery period include those primarily engaged in the sale of goods. Examples of these retail establishments include, but are not limited to, grocery stores, clothing stores, hardware stores, and convenience stores. Establishments primarily engaged in providing services, such as professional services, financial services, personal services, health services, and entertainment, do not qualify. Generally, it is intended that businesses defined as a store retailer under the current North American Industry Classification System (industry sub-sectors 441 through 453) qualify while those in other industry classes do not qualify.<sup>310</sup>

Qualified retail improvement property is recovered using the straight-line method and a half-year convention,<sup>311</sup> and is eligible for the additional first-year depreciation deduction if the other requirements of section 168(k) are met.<sup>312</sup>

#### Alternative depreciation system

The alternative depreciation system (“ADS”) is required to be used for tangible property used predominantly outside the United States, certain tax-exempt use property, tax-exempt bond financed property, and certain imported property covered by an Executive order.<sup>313</sup> An election to use ADS is available to taxpayers for any class of property for any taxable year.<sup>314</sup> Under ADS, all property is depreciated using the straight line method over recovery periods which generally are equal to the class life of the property, with certain exceptions.<sup>315</sup>

---

<sup>308</sup> Sec. 168(e)(8)(C).

<sup>309</sup> Sec. 168(e)(8)(B). Rules similar to section 168(e)(6)(B) apply in the case of death and certain transfers of property that qualify for non-recognition treatment.

<sup>310</sup> Joint Committee on Taxation, *General Explanation of Tax Legislation Enacted in the 110<sup>th</sup> Congress* (JCS-1-09), March 2009, p. 402.

<sup>311</sup> Sec. 168(b)(3)(I) and (d).

<sup>312</sup> Sec. 168(k)(2)(A)(i)(IV) and (k)(3). See section III.C.2. of this document (Temporary 100-percent expensing for certain business assets).

<sup>313</sup> Sec. 168(g).

<sup>314</sup> Sec. 168(g)(7).

<sup>315</sup> Sec. 168(g)(2).

## **Description of Proposal**

The proposal shortens the recovery period for determining the depreciation deduction with respect to nonresidential real and residential rental property to 25 years. As a conforming amendment, the proposal changes the statutory recovery period for nonresidential real and residential rental property to 25 years for purposes of determining whether a rental agreement is a long-term agreement under the section 467 rules applicable to certain payments for the use of property or services.<sup>316</sup>

The proposal eliminates the separate definitions of qualified leasehold improvement, qualified restaurant, and qualified retail improvement property, and provides a general 10-year recovery period for qualified improvement property,<sup>317</sup> and a 20-year ADS recovery period for such property. Thus, for example, qualified improvement property placed in service after December 31, 2017, is generally depreciable over 10 years using the straight line method and half-year convention, without regard to whether the improvements are property subject to a lease, placed in service more than three years after the date the building was first placed in service, or made to a restaurant building. Restaurant building property placed in service after December 31, 2017, that does not meet the definition of qualified improvement property is depreciable over 25 years as nonresidential real property, using the straight line method and the mid-month convention.

As a conforming amendment, the proposal replaces the references in section 179(f) to qualified leasehold improvement property, qualified restaurant property, and qualified retail improvement property with a reference to qualified improvement property.<sup>318</sup> Thus, for example, the proposal allows section 179 expensing for improvement property without regard to whether the improvements are property subject to a lease, placed in service more than three years after the date the building was first placed in service, or made to a restaurant building. Restaurant building property placed in service after December 31, 2017, that does not meet the definition of qualified improvement property is not eligible for section 179 expensing.

The proposal also requires a real property trade or business<sup>319</sup> electing out of the limitation on the deduction for interest to use ADS to depreciate any of its nonresidential real property, residential rental property, and qualified improvement property.

---

<sup>316</sup> A long-term section 467 rental agreement is a lease of property for a term in excess of 75 percent of the property's statutory recovery period. Sec. 467(b)(4)(A) and (e)(3)(A). A disqualified long-term agreement is one that has as one of its principal purposes the avoidance of taxes. Sec. 467(b)(4)(B).

<sup>317</sup> Described in section 168(k)(3).

<sup>318</sup> For additional proposed changes to section 179, see section III.B.1. of this document (Modification of rules for expensing depreciable business assets).

<sup>319</sup> As defined in section III.C.1. of this document (Limitation on deduction for interest), by cross reference to section 469(c)(7)(C) (*i.e.*, any real property development, redevelopment, construction, reconstruction, acquisition, conversion, rental, operation, management, leasing, or brokerage trade or business). Note that a

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for property placed in service after December 31, 2017.

---

mortgage broker who is a broker of financial instruments is not in a real property trade or business for this purpose. See, *e.g.*, CCA 201504010 (December 17, 2014).

## D. Business-Related Deductions

### 1. Repeal of deduction for income attributable to domestic production activities

#### Present Law

Section 199 provides a deduction from taxable income (or, in the case of an individual, adjusted gross income<sup>320</sup>) that is equal to nine percent of the lesser of the taxpayer's qualified production activities income or taxable income (determined without regard to the section 199 deduction) for the taxable year.<sup>321</sup> For corporations subject to the 35-percent corporate income tax rate, the nine-percent deduction effectively reduces the corporate income tax rate to slightly less than 32 percent on qualified production activities income.<sup>322</sup> A similar reduction applies to the graduated rates applicable to individuals with qualifying domestic production activities income.

In general, qualified production activities income is equal to domestic production gross receipts reduced by the sum of: (1) the costs of goods sold that are allocable to those receipts; and (2) other expenses, losses, or deductions which are properly allocable to those receipts.<sup>323</sup>

Domestic production gross receipts generally are gross receipts of a taxpayer that are derived from: (1) any sale, exchange, or other disposition, or any lease, rental, or license, of qualifying production property<sup>324</sup> that was manufactured, produced, grown or extracted by the taxpayer in whole or in significant part within the United States;<sup>325</sup> (2) any sale, exchange, or

---

<sup>320</sup> For this purpose, adjusted gross income is determined after application of sections 86, 135, 137, 219, 221, 222, and 469, without regard to the section 199 deduction. Sec. 199(d)(2).

<sup>321</sup> Sec. 199(a). In the case of oil related qualified production activities income, the deduction from taxable income is equal to six percent of the lesser of the taxpayer's oil related qualified production activities income, qualified production activities income, or taxable income. Sec. 199(d)(9).

<sup>322</sup> This example assumes the deduction does not exceed the wage limitation discussed below.

<sup>323</sup> Sec. 199(c)(1). In computing qualified production activities income, the domestic production activities deduction itself is not an allocable deduction. Sec. 199(c)(1)(B)(ii). See Treas. Reg. secs. 1.199-1 through 1.199-9 where the Secretary has prescribed rules for the proper allocation of items of income, deduction, expense, and loss for purposes of determining qualified production activities income.

<sup>324</sup> Qualifying production property generally includes any tangible personal property, computer software, and sound recordings. Sec. 199(c)(5).

<sup>325</sup> When used in the Code in a geographical sense, the term "United States" generally includes only the States and the District of Columbia. Sec. 7701(a)(9). A special rule for determining domestic production gross receipts, however, provides that for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2005, and before January 1, 2017, in the case of any taxpayer with gross receipts from sources within the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the term "United States" includes the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, but only if all of the taxpayer's Puerto Rico-sourced gross receipts are taxable under the Federal income tax for individuals or corporations for such taxable year. Secs. 199(d)(8)(A) and (C). In computing the 50-percent wage limitation, the taxpayer is permitted to take into account wages paid to bona fide residents of Puerto Rico for services performed in Puerto Rico. Sec. 199(d)(8)(B).

other disposition, or any lease, rental, or license, of qualified film<sup>326</sup> produced by the taxpayer; (3) any sale, exchange, or other disposition, or any lease, rental, or license, of electricity, natural gas, or potable water produced by the taxpayer in the United States; (4) construction of real property performed in the United States by a taxpayer in the ordinary course of a construction trade or business; or (5) engineering or architectural services performed in the United States for the construction of real property located in the United States.<sup>327</sup>

The amount of the deduction for a taxable year is limited to 50 percent of the W-2 wages paid by the taxpayer, and properly allocable to domestic production gross receipts, during the calendar year that ends in such taxable year.<sup>328</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the deduction for income attributable to domestic production activities.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2018.

## **2. Limitation on deduction by employers of expenses for fringe benefits**

### **Present Law**

#### **In general**

No deduction is allowed with respect to (1) an activity generally considered to be entertainment, amusement, or recreation (“entertainment”), unless the taxpayer establishes that the item was directly related to (or, in certain cases, associated with) the active conduct of the taxpayer’s trade or business, or (2) a facility (*e.g.*, an airplane) used in connection with such

---

<sup>326</sup> Qualified film includes any motion picture film or videotape (including live or delayed television programming, but not including certain sexually explicit productions) if 50 percent or more of the total compensation relating to the production of the film (including compensation in the form of residuals and participations) constitutes compensation for services performed in the United States by actors, production personnel, directors, and producers. Sec. 199(c)(6).

<sup>327</sup> Sec. 199(c)(4)(A).

<sup>328</sup> Sec. 199(b)(1). For purposes of the provision, “W-2 wages” include the sum of the amounts of wages as defined in section 3401(a) and elective deferrals that the taxpayer properly reports to the Social Security Administration with respect to the employment of employees of the taxpayer during the calendar year ending during the taxpayer’s taxable year. Elective deferrals include elective deferrals as defined in section 402(g)(3), amounts deferred under section 457, and designated Roth contributions as defined in section 402A. See sec. 199(b)(2)(A). The wage limitation for qualified films includes any compensation for services performed in the United States by actors, production personnel, directors, and producers and is not restricted to W-2 wages. Sec. 199(b)(2)(D).

activity.<sup>329</sup> If the taxpayer establishes that entertainment expenses are directly related to (or associated with) the active conduct of its trade or business, the deduction generally is limited to 50 percent of the amount otherwise deductible.<sup>330</sup> Similarly, a deduction for any expense for food or beverages generally is limited to 50 percent of the amount otherwise deductible.<sup>331</sup> In addition, no deduction is allowed for membership dues with respect to any club organized for business, pleasure, recreation, or other social purpose.<sup>332</sup>

There are a number of exceptions to the general rule disallowing deduction of entertainment expenses and the rules limiting deductions to 50 percent of the otherwise deductible amount. Under one such exception, those rules do not apply to expenses for goods, services, and facilities to the extent that the expenses are reported by the taxpayer as compensation and as wages to an employee.<sup>333</sup> Those rules also do not apply to expenses for goods, services, and facilities to the extent that the expenses are includible in the gross income of a recipient who is not an employee (*e.g.*, a nonemployee director) as compensation for services rendered or as a prize or award.<sup>334</sup> The exceptions apply only to the extent that amounts are properly reported by the company as compensation and wages or otherwise includible in income. In no event can the amount of the deduction exceed the amount of the taxpayer's actual cost, even if a greater amount (*i.e.*, fair market value) is includible in income.<sup>335</sup>

Those deduction disallowance rules also do not apply to expenses paid or incurred by the taxpayer, in connection with the performance of services for another person (other than an employer), under a reimbursement or other expense allowance arrangement if the taxpayer accounts for the expenses to such person.<sup>336</sup> Another exception applies for expenses for recreational, social, or similar activities primarily for the benefit of employees other than certain owners and highly compensated employees.<sup>337</sup> An exception applies also to the 50 percent

---

<sup>329</sup> Sec. 274(a)(1).

<sup>330</sup> Sec. 274(n)(1)(B).

<sup>331</sup> Sec. 274(n)(1)(A).

<sup>332</sup> Sec. 274(a)(3).

<sup>333</sup> Sec. 274(e)(2)(A). See below for a discussion of the recent modification of this rule for certain individuals.

<sup>334</sup> Sec. 274(e)(9).

<sup>335</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.162-25T(a).

<sup>336</sup> Sec. 274(e)(3).

<sup>337</sup> Sec. 274(e)(4).



deduction limit for food and beverages provided to crew members of certain commercial vessels and certain oil or gas platform or drilling rig workers.<sup>338</sup>

### **Expenses treated as compensation**

Except as otherwise provided, gross income includes compensation for services, including fees, commissions, fringe benefits, and similar items.<sup>339</sup> In general, an employee (or other service provider) must include in gross income the amount by which the fair market value of a fringe benefit exceeds the sum of the amount (if any) paid by the individual and the amount (if any) specifically excluded from gross income.<sup>340</sup> Treasury regulations provide detailed rules regarding the valuation of certain fringe benefits, including flights on an employer-provided aircraft. In general, the value of a non-commercial flight generally is determined under the base aircraft valuation formula, also known as the Standard Industry Fare Level formula or “SIFL.”<sup>341</sup> If the SIFL valuation rules do not apply, the value of a flight on an employer-provided aircraft generally is equal to the amount that an individual would have to pay in an arm’s-length transaction to charter the same or a comparable aircraft for that period for the same or a comparable flight.<sup>342</sup>

In the context of an employer providing an aircraft to employees for nonbusiness (*e.g.*, vacation) flights, the exception for expenses treated as compensation has been interpreted as not limiting the company’s deduction for expenses attributable to the operation of the aircraft to the amount of compensation reportable to its employees.<sup>343</sup> The result of that interpretation is often a deduction several times larger than the amount required to be included in income. Further, in many cases, the individual including amounts attributable to personal travel in income directly benefits from the enhanced deduction, resulting in a net deduction for the personal use of the company aircraft.

The exceptions for expenses treated as compensation or otherwise includible income were subsequently modified in the case of specified individuals such that the exceptions apply only to the extent of the amount of expenses treated as compensation or includible in income of the specified individual.<sup>344</sup> Specified individuals are individuals who, with respect to an employer or other service recipient (or a related party), are subject to the requirements of

---

<sup>338</sup> Sec. 274(n)(2)(E).

<sup>339</sup> Sec. 61(a)(1).

<sup>340</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.61-21(b)(1).

<sup>341</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.61-21(g)(5).

<sup>342</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.61-21(b)(6).

<sup>343</sup> *Sutherland Lumber-Southwest, Inc. v. Commissioner*, 114 T.C. 197 (2000), aff’d, 255 F.3d 495 (8th Cir. 2001).

<sup>344</sup> Sec. 274(e)(2)(B)(i). See also Treas. Reg. sec. 1.274-9(a).

section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or would be subject to such requirements if the employer or service recipient (or related party) were an issuer of equity securities referred to in section 16(a).<sup>345</sup>

As a result, in the case of specified individuals, no deduction is allowed with respect to expenses for (1) a nonbusiness activity generally considered to be entertainment, amusement or recreation, or (2) a facility (*e.g.*, an airplane) used in connection with such activity to the extent that such expenses exceed the amount treated as compensation or includible in income to the specified individual. For example, a company's deduction attributable to aircraft operating costs and other expenses for a specified individual's vacation use of a company aircraft is limited to the amount reported as compensation to the specified individual. However, in the case of other employees or service providers, the company's deduction is not limited to the amount treated as compensation or includible in income.<sup>346</sup>

### **Excludable fringe benefits**

Certain employer-provided fringe benefits are excluded from an employee's gross income and wages for employment tax purposes, including, but not limited to, de minimis fringes, qualified transportation fringes, and meals provided for the "convenience of the employer."<sup>347</sup>

A de minimis fringe generally means any property or service the value of which is (taking into account the frequency with which similar fringes are provided by the employer) so small as to make accounting for it unreasonable or administratively impracticable,<sup>348</sup> and also includes food and beverages provided to employees through an eating facility operated by the employer that is located on or near the employer's business premises and meets certain requirements.<sup>349</sup>

Qualified transportation fringes include qualified parking (parking on or near the employer's business premises or on or near a location from which the employee commutes to

---

<sup>345</sup> Sec. 274(e)(2)(B)(ii). See also Treas. Reg. sec. 1.274-9(b).

<sup>346</sup> See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.274-10(a)(2).

<sup>347</sup> Secs. 132(a), 119(a), 3121(a)(19) and (20), 3231(e)(5) and (9), 3306(b)(14) and (16), and 3401(a)(19).

<sup>348</sup> Sec. 132(e)(1). Examples include occasional personal use of an employer's copying machine, occasional parties or meals for employees and their guests, local telephone calls, and coffee, doughnuts and soft drinks. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.132-6(e)(1).

<sup>349</sup> Sec. 132(e)(2). Revenue derived from such a facility must normally equal or exceed the direct operating costs of the facility. Employees who are entitled, under Section 119, to exclude the value of a meal provided at such a facility are treated as having paid an amount for the meal equal to the direct operating costs of the facility attributable to such meal.

work by public transit), transit passes, vanpool benefits, and qualified bicycle commuting reimbursements.<sup>350</sup>

The value of meals furnished to an employee or the employee's spouse or dependents by or on behalf of an employer for the convenience of the employer is excludible from the employee's gross income, but only if such meals are provided on the employer's business premises.<sup>351</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal provides that no deduction is allowed with respect to (1) an activity generally considered to be entertainment, amusement or recreation, (2) membership dues with respect to any club organized for business, pleasure, recreation or other social purposes, or (3) a facility or portion thereof used in connection with any of the above items. Thus, the proposal repeals the present-law exception to the deduction disallowance for entertainment, amusement, or recreation that is directly related to (or, in certain cases, associated with) the active conduct of the taxpayer's trade or business (and the related rule applying a 50 percent limit to such deductions).

While taxpayers may still generally deduct 50 percent of the food and beverage expenses associated with operating their trade or business (*e.g.*, meals consumed by employees on work travel), the proposal expands this 50 percent limitation to expenses of the employer associated with providing food and beverages to employees through an eating facility that meets requirements for *de minimis* fringes.

In addition, the proposal disallows a deduction for expenses associated with providing any qualified transportation fringe to employees of the taxpayer, and except as necessary for ensuring the safety of an employee, any expense incurred for providing transportation (or any payment or reimbursement) for commuting between the employee's residence and place of employment.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2017.

---

<sup>350</sup> Sec. 132(f)(1), (5). The qualified transportation fringe exclusions are subject to monthly limits. Sec. 132(f)(2).

<sup>351</sup> Sec. 119(a).

## **E. Accounting Methods**

### **1. Certain special rules for taxable year of inclusion**

#### **Present Law**

##### **In general**

A taxpayer generally is required to include an item in income no later than the time of its actual or constructive receipt, unless the item properly is accounted for in a different period under the taxpayer's method of accounting.<sup>352</sup> If a taxpayer has an unrestricted right to demand the payment of an amount, the taxpayer is in constructive receipt of that amount whether or not the taxpayer makes the demand and actually receives the payment.<sup>353</sup>

In general, for a cash basis taxpayer, an amount is included in income when actually or constructively received. For an accrual basis taxpayer, an amount is included in income when all the events have occurred that fix the right to receive such income and the amount thereof can be determined with reasonable accuracy, unless an exception permits deferral or exclusion.<sup>354</sup>

A number of exceptions that exist to permit deferral of income relate to advance payments. An advance payment is when a taxpayer receives payment before the taxpayer provides goods or services to its customer. The exceptions often allow tax deferral to mirror financial accounting deferral (*e.g.*, income is recognized as the goods are provided or the services are performed).<sup>355</sup>

##### **Interest income**

A taxpayer generally must include in gross income the amount of interest received or accrued within the taxable year on indebtedness held by the taxpayer.<sup>356</sup>

---

<sup>352</sup> Sec. 451(a).

<sup>353</sup> See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.451-2.

<sup>354</sup> See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.451-1(a).

<sup>355</sup> For examples of provisions permitting deferral of advance payments, see Treas. Reg. sec. 1.451-5 and Rev. Proc. 2004-34, 2004-1 C.B. 991, as modified and clarified by Rev. Proc. 2011-18, 2011-5 I.R.B. 443, and Rev. Proc. 2013-29, 2013-33 I.R.B. 141.

<sup>356</sup> Secs. 61(a)(4) and 451.

## **Original issue discount**

The holder of a debt instrument with original issue discount (“OID”) generally accrues and includes the OID in gross income as interest over the term of the instrument, regardless of when the stated interest (if any) is paid.<sup>357</sup>

The amount of OID with respect to a debt instrument is the excess of the stated redemption price at maturity over the issue price of the debt instrument.<sup>358</sup> The stated redemption price at maturity is the sum of all payments provided by the debt instrument other than qualified stated interest payments.<sup>359</sup> The holder includes in gross income an amount equal to the sum of the daily portions of the OID for each day during the taxable year the holder held such debt instrument. The daily portion is determined by allocating to each day in any accrual period its ratable portion of the increase during such accrual period in the adjusted issue price of the debt instrument.<sup>360</sup> The adjustment to the issue price is determined by multiplying the adjusted issue price (*i.e.*, the issue price increased by adjustments prior to the accrual period) by the instrument’s yield to maturity, and then subtracting the interest payable during the accrual period. Thus, to compute the amount of OID and the portion of OID allocable to a period, the stated redemption price at maturity and the term must be known. Issuers of OID instruments accrue and deduct the amount of OID as interest expense in the same manner as the holder.<sup>361</sup>

## **Debt instruments subject to acceleration**

Special rules for determining the amount of OID allocated to a period apply to certain instruments that may be subject to prepayment. If a borrower can reduce the yield on a debt by exercising a prepayment option, the OID rules assume that the borrower will prepay the debt.<sup>362</sup> In addition, in the case of (1) any regular interest in a real estate mortgage investment conduit (“REMIC”) or qualified mortgages held by a REMIC or (2) any other debt instrument if payments under the instrument may be accelerated by reason of prepayments of other obligations securing the instrument, the daily portions of the OID on such debt instruments are determined by taking into account an assumption regarding the prepayment of principal for such instruments.<sup>363</sup>

---

<sup>357</sup> Sec. 1272.

<sup>358</sup> Sec. 1273(a)(1).

<sup>359</sup> Sec. 1273(a)(2) and Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1273-1(b).

<sup>360</sup> Sec. 1272(a)(1) and (3).

<sup>361</sup> Sec. 163(e).

<sup>362</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1272-1(c)(5).

<sup>363</sup> Sec. 1272(a)(6).

The Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997<sup>364</sup> extended these rules to any pool of debt instruments the payments on which may be accelerated by reason of prepayments.<sup>365</sup> Thus, if a taxpayer holds a pool of credit card receivables that require interest to be paid only if the borrowers do not pay their accounts by a specified date (“grace-period interest”), the taxpayer is required to accrue interest or OID on such pool based upon a reasonable assumption regarding the timing of the payments of the accounts in the pool. Under these rules, certain amounts (other than grace-period interest) related to credit card transactions, such as late-payment fees,<sup>366</sup> cash-advance fees,<sup>367</sup> and interchange fees,<sup>368</sup> have been determined to create OID or increase the amount of OID on the pool of credit card receivables to which the amounts relate.<sup>369</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal revises the rules associated with the recognition of income. Specifically, the proposal requires a taxpayer to recognize income no later than the taxable year in which such income is taken into account as income on an applicable financial statement<sup>370</sup> or another financial statement under rules specified by the Secretary, but provides an exception for long-term contract income to which section 460 applies.<sup>371</sup>

---

<sup>364</sup> Pub. L. No. 105-34, sec. 1004(a).

<sup>365</sup> Sec. 1272(a)(6)(C)(iii).

<sup>366</sup> Rev. Proc. 2004-33, 2004-1 C.B. 989.

<sup>367</sup> Rev. Proc. 2005-47, 2005-2 C.B. 269.

<sup>368</sup> *Capital One Financial Corp. and Subsidiaries v. Commissioner*, 133 T.C. No. 8 (2009); IRS Chief Counsel Notice CC-2010-018, September 27, 2010.

<sup>369</sup> See also Rev. Proc. 2013-26, 2013-22 I.R.B. 1160, for a safe harbor method of accounting for OID on a pool of credit card receivables for purposes of section 1272(a)(6).

<sup>370</sup> For purposes of the proposal, the term “applicable financial statement” means: (A) a financial statement which is certified as being prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and which is (i) a 10-K (or successor form), or annual statement to shareholders, required to be filed by the taxpayer with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), (ii) an audited financial statement of the taxpayer which is used for (I) credit purposes, (II) reporting to shareholders, partners, or other proprietors, or to beneficiaries, or (III) any other substantial nontax purpose, but only if there is no statement of the taxpayer described in clause (i), or (iii) filed by the taxpayer with any other Federal agency for purposes other than Federal tax purposes, but only if there is no statement of the taxpayer described in clause (i) or (ii); (B) a financial statement which is made on the basis of international financial reporting standards and is filed by the taxpayer with an agency of a foreign government which is equivalent to the SEC and which has reporting standards not less stringent than the standards required by such Commission, but only if there is no statement of the taxpayer described in subparagraph (A); or (C) a financial statement filed by the taxpayer with any other regulatory or governmental body specified by the Secretary, but only if there is no statement of the taxpayer described in subparagraph (A) or (B).

<sup>371</sup> For example, under the proposal, any unbilled receivables for partially performed services must be recognized to the extent the amounts are taken into income for financial statement purposes.

The proposal also codifies the current deferral method of accounting for advance payments for goods and services provided by the IRS under Revenue Procedure 2004-34.<sup>372</sup> That is, the proposal allows taxpayers to defer the inclusion of income associated with certain advance payments to the end of the tax year following the tax year of receipt if such income also is deferred for financial statement purposes.<sup>373</sup>

In addition, the proposal directs taxpayers to apply the revenue recognition rules under section 451 before applying the OID rules under section 1272. Thus, for example, to the extent amounts are included in income for financial statement purposes when received (*e.g.*, late-payment fees, cash-advance fees, or interchange fees), such amounts generally are includable in income at such time in accordance with the general recognition principles under section 451.

In the case of any taxpayer required by this proposal to change its method of accounting for its first taxable year beginning after December 31, 2017, such change is treated as initiated by the taxpayer and made with the consent of the Secretary.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, and application of these rules is a change in the taxpayer's method of accounting for purposes of section 481.

---

<sup>372</sup> 2004-1 C.B. 991, as modified and clarified by Rev. Proc. 2011-18, 2011-5 I.R.B. 443, and Rev. Proc. 2013-29, 2013-33 I.R.B. 141.

<sup>373</sup> Thus, the proposal is intended to override the exception in Treasury Regulation section 1.451-5(c) for inventoriable goods.

## F. Business Credits

### 1. Modification of credit for clinical testing expenses for certain drugs for rare diseases or conditions

#### Present Law

A 50-percent business tax credit is available for qualified clinical testing expenses incurred in the testing of certain drugs to treat rare diseases or conditions.<sup>374</sup> Such drugs are generally referred to as "orphan drugs" and the credit is generally referred to as the "orphan drug credit." Qualified clinical testing expenses are costs incurred to test an orphan drug after the drug has been approved for human testing by the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") but before the drug has been approved for sale by the FDA.<sup>375</sup> A rare disease or condition is defined as one that (1) affects fewer than 200,000 persons in the United States, or (2) affects more than 200,000 persons, but for which there is no reasonable expectation that businesses could recoup the costs of developing a drug for such disease or condition from sales in the United States of the drug.<sup>376</sup>

Amounts included in computing the credit under this section are excluded from the computation of the research credit under section 41.<sup>377</sup> Deductions allowed to a taxpayer are reduced by an amount equal to 100 percent of the taxpayer's orphan drug credit determined for the taxable year.<sup>378</sup>

#### Description of Proposal

The proposal limits the orphan drug credit to 50 percent of so much of qualified clinical testing expenses for the taxable year as exceeds 50 percent of the average qualified clinical testing expenses for the three taxable years preceding the taxable year for which the credit is being determined. In the case where there are no qualified clinical expenses during at least one of the three preceding taxable years, the credit is equal to 25 percent of qualified expenses. Aggregation and other special rules similar to those applicable to the research credit apply where there are controlled groups of corporations, estates and trusts claiming the credit, mergers and acquisitions of taxpayers, and short taxable years. Under the proposal, taxpayers may elect a reduced credit in lieu of reducing otherwise allowable deductions in a manner similar to the research credit under section 280C.

In addition, the proposal limits qualified clinical testing expenses to the extent the testing giving rise to such expenses is related to the use of a drug which has previously been approved

---

<sup>374</sup> Sec. 45C.

<sup>375</sup> Sec. 45C(b).

<sup>376</sup> Sec. 45C(d).

<sup>377</sup> Sec. 45C(c).

<sup>378</sup> Sec. 280C(b).



under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act for use in the treatment of any other disease or condition, if all such diseases or conditions in the aggregate (including the rare disease or condition with respect to which the credit is otherwise being determined) affect more than 200,000 persons in the United States.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to amounts paid or incurred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **2. Modification of rehabilitation credit**

### **Present Law**

Section 47 provides a two-tier tax credit for rehabilitation expenditures.

A 20-percent credit is provided for qualified rehabilitation expenditures with respect to a certified historic structure. For this purpose, a certified historic structure means any building that is listed in the National Register, or that is located in a registered historic district and is certified by the Secretary of the Interior to the Secretary of the Treasury as being of historic significance to the district.

A 10-percent credit is provided for qualified rehabilitation expenditures with respect to a qualified rehabilitated building, which generally means a building that was first placed in service before 1936. A pre-1936 building must meet requirements with respect to retention of existing external walls and internal structural framework of the building in order for expenditures with respect to it to qualify for the 10-percent credit. A building is treated as having met the substantial rehabilitation requirement under the 10-percent credit only if the rehabilitation expenditures during the 24-month period selected by the taxpayer and ending within the taxable year exceed the greater of (1) the adjusted basis of the building (and its structural components), or (2) \$5,000.

The provision requires the use of straight-line depreciation or the alternative depreciation system in order for rehabilitation expenditures to be treated as qualified under the provision.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the 10-percent credit for pre-1936 buildings. Under the proposal, a 10-percent credit (not 20-percent) is provided for qualified rehabilitation expenditures with respect to a certified historic structure.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2017. A transition rule provides that in the case of qualified rehabilitation expenditures (for either a certified historic structure or a pre-1936 building), with respect to any building owned or leased by the taxpayer at all times on and after January 1, 2018, the 24-month period selected by the taxpayer (under section 47(c)(1)(C)) is to begin not later than the end of the 180-day period beginning on

the date of the enactment of the Act, and the amendments made by the proposal apply to such expenditures paid or incurred after the end of the taxable year in which such 24-month period ends.

### **3. Repeal of deduction for certain unused business credits**

#### **Present Law**

The general business credit (“GBC”) consists of various individual tax credits allowed with respect to certain qualified expenditures and activities.<sup>379</sup> In general, the various individual tax credits contain provisions that prohibit “double benefits,” either by denying deductions in the case of expenditure-related credits or by requiring income inclusions in the case of activity-related credits. Unused credits may be carried back one year and carried forward 20 years.<sup>380</sup>

Section 196 allows a deduction to the extent that certain portions of the GBC expire unused after the end of the carry forward period. In general, 100 percent of the unused credit is allowed as a deduction in the taxable year after such credit expired. However, with respect to the investment credit determined under section 46 (other than the rehabilitation credit) and the research credit determined under section 41(a) (for a taxable year beginning before January 1, 1990), section 196 limits the deduction to 50 percent of such unused credits.<sup>381</sup>

#### **Description of Proposal**

This proposal repeals the deduction for certain unused business credits.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

---

<sup>379</sup> Sec. 38.

<sup>380</sup> Sec. 39.

<sup>381</sup> Sec. 196(d).

## **G. Banks and Financial Instruments**

### **1. Limitation on deduction for FDIC premiums**

#### **Present Law**

Corporations organized under the laws of any of the 50 States (and the District of Columbia) generally are subject to the U.S. corporate income tax on their worldwide taxable income. The taxable income of a C corporation<sup>382</sup> generally comprises gross income less allowable deductions. A taxpayer generally is allowed a deduction for ordinary and necessary expenses paid or incurred in carrying on any trade or business.<sup>383</sup>

Corporations that make a valid election pursuant to section 1362 of subchapter S of the Code, referred to as S corporations, generally are not subject to corporate-level income tax on its items of income and loss. Instead, an S corporation passes through to shareholders its items of income and loss. The shareholders separately take into account their shares of these items on their individual income tax returns.

#### **Banks, thrifts, and credit unions**

##### **In general**

Financial institutions are subject to the same Federal income tax rules and rates as are applied to other corporations or entities, with specified exceptions.

##### **C corporation banks and thrifts**

A bank is generally taxed for Federal income tax purposes as a C corporation. For this purpose a bank generally means a corporation, a substantial portion of whose business is receiving deposits and making loans and discounts, or exercising certain fiduciary powers.<sup>384</sup> A

---

<sup>382</sup> Corporations subject to tax are commonly referred to as C corporations after subchapter C of the Code, which sets forth corporate tax rules. Certain specialized entities that invest primarily in real estate related assets (real estate investment trusts) or in stock and securities (regulated investment companies) and that meet other requirements, generally including annual distribution of 90 percent of their income, are allowed to deduct their distributions to shareholders, thus generally paying little or no corporate-level tax despite otherwise being subject to subchapter C.

<sup>383</sup> Sec. 162(a). However, certain exceptions apply. No deduction is allowed for (1) any charitable contribution or gift that would be allowable as a deduction under section 170 were it not for the percentage limitations, the dollar limitations, or the requirements as to the time of payment, set forth in such section; (2) any illegal bribe, illegal kickback, or other illegal payment; (3) certain lobbying and political expenditures; (4) any fine or similar penalty paid to a government for the violation of any law; (5) two-thirds of treble damage payments under the antitrust laws; (6) certain foreign advertising expenses; (7) certain amounts paid or incurred by a corporation in connection with the reacquisition of its stock or of the stock of any related person; or (8) certain applicable employee remuneration.

<sup>384</sup> Sec. 581. See also Treas. Reg. sec. 1.581-1(a).

bank for this purpose generally includes domestic building and loan associations, mutual stock or savings banks, and certain cooperative banks that are commonly referred to as thrifts.<sup>385</sup>

### S corporation banks

A bank is generally eligible to elect S corporation status under section 1362, provided it meets the other requirements for making this election and it does not use the reserve method of accounting for bad debts as described in section 585.<sup>386</sup>

### Special bad debt loss rules for small banks

Section 166 provides a deduction for any debt that becomes worthless (wholly or partially) within a taxable year. The reserve method of accounting for bad debts, repealed in 1986<sup>387</sup> for most taxpayers, is allowed under section 585 for any bank (as defined in section 581) other than a large bank. For this purpose, a bank is a large bank if, for the taxable year (or for any preceding taxable year after 1986), the average adjusted basis of all its assets (or the assets of the controlled group of which it is a member) exceeds \$500 million. Deductions for reserves are taken in lieu of a worthless debt deduction under section 166. Accordingly, a small bank is able to take deductions for additions to a bad debt reserve. Additions to the reserve are determined under an experience method that generally looks to the ratio of (1) the total bad debts sustained during the taxable year and the five preceding taxable years to (2) the sum of the loans outstanding at the close of such taxable years.<sup>388</sup>

### Credit unions

Credit unions are exempt from Federal income taxation.<sup>389</sup> The exemption is based on their status as not-for-profit mutual or cooperative organizations (without capital stock) operated for the benefit of their members, who generally must share a common bond. The definition of common bond has been expanded to permit greater use of credit unions.<sup>390</sup> While significant

---

<sup>385</sup> While the general principles for determining the taxable income of a corporation are applicable to a mutual savings bank, a building and loan association, and a cooperative bank, there are certain exceptions and special rules for such institutions. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.581-2(a).

<sup>386</sup> Sec. 1361(b)(2)(A).

<sup>387</sup> Tax Reform Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-514.

<sup>388</sup> Sec. 585(b)(2).

<sup>389</sup> Sec. 501(c)(14)(A). For a discussion of the history of and reasons for Federal tax exemption, see United States Department of the Treasury, *Comparing Credit Unions with Other Depository Institutions*, Report 3070, January 15, 2001, available at <https://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Documents/report30702.doc>.

<sup>390</sup> The Credit Union Membership Access Act, Pub. L. No. 105-219, allows multiple common bond credit unions. The legislation in part responds to *National Credit Union Administration v. First National Bank & Trust Co.*, 522 U.S. 479 (1998), which interpreted the permissible membership of tax-exempt credit unions narrowly.

differences between the rules under which credit unions and banks operate have existed in the past, most of those differences have disappeared over time.<sup>391</sup>

### **FDIC premiums**

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) provides deposit insurance for banks and savings institutions. To maintain its status as an insured depository institution, a bank must pay semiannual assessments into the deposit insurance fund. Assessments for deposit insurance are treated as ordinary and necessary business expenses. These assessments, also known as premiums, are deductible once the all events test for the premium is satisfied.<sup>392</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

No deduction is allowed for the applicable percentage of any FDIC premium paid or incurred by the taxpayer. For taxpayers with total consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more, the applicable percentage is 100 percent. Otherwise, the applicable percentage is the ratio of the excess of total consolidated assets over \$10 billion to \$40 billion. For example, for a taxpayer with total consolidated assets of \$20 billion, no deduction is allowed for 25 percent of FDIC premiums. The proposal does not apply to taxpayers with total consolidated assets (as of the close of the taxable year) that do not exceed \$10 billion.

FDIC premium means any assessment imposed under section 7(b) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act.<sup>393</sup> The term total consolidated assets has the meaning given such term under section 165 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.<sup>394</sup>

For purposes of determining a taxpayer’s total consolidated assets, members of an expanded affiliated group are treated as a single taxpayer. An expanded affiliated group means an affiliated group as defined in section 1504(a), determined by substituting “more than 50 percent” for “at least 80 percent” each place it appears and without regard to the exceptions from the definition of includible corporation for insurance companies and foreign corporations. A partnership or any other entity other than a corporation is treated as a member of an expanded affiliated group if such entity is controlled by members of such group.

---

<sup>391</sup> The Treasury Department has concluded that any remaining regulatory differences do not raise competitive equity concerns between credit unions and banks. United States Department of the Treasury, *Comparing Credit Unions with Other Depository Institutions*, Report 3070, January 15, 2001, p. 2, available at <https://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Documents/report30702.doc>.

<sup>392</sup> Technical Advice Memorandum 199924060, March 5, 1999, and Rev. Rul. 80-230, 1980-2 C.B. 169, 1980.

<sup>393</sup> 12 U.S.C. sec. 1817(b).

<sup>394</sup> Pub. L. No. 111-203.

## Effective Date

The provision applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **2. Repeal of advance refunding bonds**

### Present Law

Section 103 generally provides that gross income does not include interest received on State or local bonds. State and local bonds are classified generally as either governmental bonds or private activity bonds. Governmental bonds are bonds the proceeds of which are primarily used to finance governmental facilities or the debt is repaid with governmental funds. Private activity bonds are bonds in which the State or local government serves as a conduit providing financing to nongovernmental persons (*e.g.*, private businesses or individuals).<sup>395</sup> Bonds issued to finance the activities of charitable organizations described in section 501(c)(3) (“qualified 501(c)(3) bonds”) are one type of private activity bond. The exclusion from income for interest on State and local bonds only applies if certain Code requirements are met.

The exclusion for income for interest on State and local bonds applies to refunding bonds but there are limits on advance refunding bonds. A refunding bond is defined as any bond used to pay principal, interest, or redemption price on a prior bond issue (the refunded bond). Different rules apply to current as opposed to advance refunding bonds. A current refunding occurs when the refunded bond is redeemed within 90 days of issuance of the refunding bonds. Conversely, a bond is classified as an advance refunding if it is issued more than 90 days before the redemption of the refunded bond.<sup>396</sup> Proceeds of advance refunding bonds are generally invested in an escrow account and held until a future date when the refunded bond may be redeemed.

Although there is no statutory limitation on the number of times that tax-exempt bonds may be currently refunded, the Code limits advance refundings. Generally, governmental bonds and qualified 501(c)(3) bonds may be advance refunded one time.<sup>397</sup> Private activity bonds, other than qualified 501(c)(3) bonds, may not be advance refunded at all.<sup>398</sup> Furthermore, in the case of an advance refunding bond that results in interest savings (*e.g.*, a high interest rate to low interest rate refunding), the refunded bond must be redeemed on the first call date 90 days after the issuance of the refunding bond that results in debt service savings.<sup>399</sup>

---

<sup>395</sup> Sec. 141.

<sup>396</sup> Sec. 149(d)(5).

<sup>397</sup> Sec. 149(d)(3). Bonds issued before 1986 and pursuant to certain transition rules contained in the Tax Reform Act of 1986 may be advance refunded more than one time in certain cases.

<sup>398</sup> Sec. 149(d)(2).

<sup>399</sup> Sec. 149(d)(3)(A)(iii) and (B); Treas. Reg. sec. 1.149(d)-1(f)(3). A “call” provision provides the issuer of a bond with the right to redeem the bond prior to the stated maturity.

## **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the exclusion from gross income for interest on a bond issued to advance refund another bond.

## **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to advance refunding bonds issued after December 31, 2017.

### **3. Cost basis of specified securities determined without regard to identification**

#### **Present Law**

##### **In general**

Gain or loss generally is recognized for Federal income tax purposes on realization of that gain or loss (for example, as the result of sale of property). The taxpayer's gain or loss on a disposition of property is the difference between the amount realized on the sale and the taxpayer's adjusted basis in the property disposed of.<sup>400</sup>

To compute adjusted basis, a taxpayer must first determine the property's unadjusted or original basis and then make adjustments prescribed by the Code.<sup>401</sup> The original basis of property is its cost, except as otherwise prescribed by the Code (for example, in the case of property acquired by gift or bequest or in a tax-free exchange). Once determined, the taxpayer's original basis generally is adjusted downward to take account of depreciation or amortization, and generally is adjusted upward to reflect income and gain inclusions or capital improvements with respect to the property.

##### **Basis computation rules**

If a taxpayer has acquired stock in a corporation on different dates or at different prices and sells or transfers some of the shares of that stock, and the lot from which the stock is sold or transferred is not adequately identified, the shares sold are deemed to be drawn from the earliest acquired shares (the "first-in-first-out rule").<sup>402</sup> However, if a taxpayer makes an adequate identification ("specific identification") of shares of stock that it sells, the shares of stock treated as sold are the shares that have been identified.<sup>403</sup> A taxpayer who owns shares in a regulated investment company ("RIC") generally is permitted to elect, in lieu of the specific identification

---

<sup>400</sup> Sec. 1001.

<sup>401</sup> Sec. 1016.

<sup>402</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1012-1(c)(1).

<sup>403</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1012-1(c)(2).

or first-in-first-out methods, to determine the basis of RIC shares sold under one of two average-cost-basis methods described in Treasury regulations (together, the “average basis method”).<sup>404</sup>

In the case of the sale, exchange, or other disposition of a specified security (defined below) to which the basis reporting requirement described below applies, the first-in-first-out rule, specific identification, and average basis method conventions are applied on an account by account basis.<sup>405</sup> To facilitate the determination of the cost of RIC stock under the average basis method, RIC stock acquired before January 1, 2012, generally is treated as a separate account from RIC stock acquired on or after that date unless the RIC (or a broker holding the stock as a nominee) elects otherwise with respect to one or more of its stockholders, in which case all the RIC stock with respect to which the election is made is treated as a single account and the basis reporting requirement described below applies to all that stock.<sup>406</sup>

The basis of stock acquired after December 31, 2010, in connection with a dividend reinvestment plan (“DRP”) is determined under the average basis method for as long as the stock is held as part of that plan.<sup>407</sup>

### **Basis reporting**

A broker is required to report to the IRS a customer’s adjusted basis in a covered security that the customer has sold and whether any gain or loss from the sale is long-term or short-term.<sup>408</sup>

A covered security is, in general, any specified security acquired after an applicable date specified in the basis reporting rules. A specified security is any share of stock of a corporation (including stock of a RIC); any note, bond, debenture, or other evidence of indebtedness; any commodity, or contract or derivative with respect to such commodity, if the Treasury Secretary determines that adjusted basis reporting is appropriate; and any other financial instrument with respect to which the Treasury Secretary determines that adjusted basis reporting is appropriate.

For purposes of satisfying the basis reporting requirements, a broker must determine a customer’s adjusted basis in accordance with rules intended to ensure that the broker’s reported adjusted basis numbers are the same numbers that customers must use in filing their tax returns.<sup>409</sup>

---

<sup>404</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1012-1(e).

<sup>405</sup> Sec. 1012(c)(1).

<sup>406</sup> Sec. 1012(c)(2).

<sup>407</sup> Sec. 1012(d)(1). Other special rules apply to DRP stock. See sec. 1012(d)(2) and (3).

<sup>408</sup> Sec. 6045(g); Treas. Reg. sec. 1.6045-1(d).

<sup>409</sup> See sec. 6045(g)(2).



### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal requires that the cost of any specified security sold, exchanged, or otherwise disposed of on or after January 1, 2018, be determined on a first-in first-out basis except to the extent the average basis method is otherwise allowed (as in the case of stock of a RIC).

The proposal includes several conforming amendments, including a rule restricting a broker's basis reporting method to the first-in first-out method in the case of the sale of any stock for which the average basis method is not permitted.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to sales, exchanges, and other dispositions after December 31, 2017.

## H. Compensation

### 1. Nonqualified deferred compensation

#### Present Law

##### In general

Compensation may be received currently or may be deferred to a later time. The tax treatment of deferred compensation depends on whether it is qualified (that is, eligible for tax-favored treatment)<sup>410</sup> or nonqualified and, if nonqualified, whether it is funded or unfunded. In the case of a funded nonqualified deferred compensation arrangement, funded amounts are included in income when the right to the compensation vests, that is, when it is no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture.<sup>411</sup> Earnings after vesting may be taxed annually or when paid.

Under general tax principles, unfunded nonqualified deferred compensation generally is not included in income until actually or constructively received.<sup>412</sup> However, under statutory rules generally applicable to nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements, income inclusion is delayed until receipt only if specific requirements are met. Otherwise, deferred amounts are included in income at vesting, with certain additional income taxes. In addition, in the case of certain arrangements, statutory rules require nonqualified deferred compensation to be included in income at vesting, and depending on the arrangement, earnings after vesting may be taxed annually or when paid.

#### General rules for nonqualified deferred compensation

##### In general

Various requirements apply to a nonqualified deferred compensation plan in order to avoid income inclusion at vesting.<sup>413</sup> Absent a specific exception, these requirements apply in addition to any special rules for particular types of nonqualified deferred compensation plans.

---

<sup>410</sup> For a discussion of present law relating to tax-favored retirement plans, see Joint Committee on Taxation, *Report to the House Committee on Ways and Means on Present Law and Suggestions for Reform Submitted to the Tax Reform Working Groups* (JCS-3-13), May 6, 2013, Part II.I.

<sup>411</sup> Depending on the funding vehicle, the tax treatment of funded nonqualified deferred compensation may be governed by section 83, 402(b), or 403(c). Similar treatment applies under a common law doctrine of economic benefit, as applied, for example, in *Sproull v. Commissioner*, 16 T.C. 244 (1951), *aff'd per curiam*, 194 F.2d 541 (6th Cir. 1952), and Rev. Rul. 60-31, Situation 4, 1960-1 C.B. 174. Under section 404(a)(5), (b), and (d), nonqualified deferred compensation is generally deductible by the service recipient for the taxable year in which the amount is includible in the service provider's income, subject to any applicable limits on deductibility.

<sup>412</sup> Treas. Reg. secs. 1.451-1(a) and 1.451-2; Rev. Rul. 60-31, 1960-1 C.B. 174.

<sup>413</sup> Section 409A, generally effective for amounts deferred in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2004. For further discussion of the tax treatment of nonqualified deferred compensation before 2005 and concerns

A nonqualified deferred compensation plan must provide that compensation for services performed during a taxable year generally may be deferred at the service provider's election only if the election to defer is made no later than the close of the preceding taxable year (or at such other time as provided in Treasury regulations). In the case of any performance-based compensation for services performed over a period of at least 12 months, the election may be made no later than six months before the end of the service period. The time and form of distributions from the plan must be specified at the time of initial deferral. However, subject to certain requirements, a plan may allow later changes in the time and form of distributions.

Distributions from a nonqualified deferred compensation plan may be allowed only upon separation from service (as determined by the Secretary of the Treasury), death, a specified time (or pursuant to a fixed schedule), change in control of a corporation (to the extent provided by the Secretary of the Treasury), occurrence of an unforeseeable emergency, or if the service provider becomes disabled.<sup>414</sup> A nonqualified deferred compensation plan may not allow distributions other than upon the permissible distribution events and, except as provided in regulations by the Secretary of the Treasury, may not permit acceleration of a distribution.

If these requirements are not met, all amounts deferred by a service provider under the plan are currently includible in income to the extent such amounts are not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture and not previously included in gross income.<sup>415</sup> For this purpose, a person's rights to compensation are subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture if the rights are conditioned on the future performance of substantial services by any person or the occurrence of a condition related to a purpose of the compensation, provided that the possibility of forfeiture is substantial. A condition imposed on the right to compensation may constitute a substantial risk of forfeiture

---

that led to the enactment of section 409A, see Joint Committee on Taxation, *General Explanation of Tax Legislation Enacted in the 108th Congress* (JCS-5-05), May 2005.

<sup>414</sup> Under a special rule, when a "specified employee" separates from service, distributions may not be made earlier than six months after the date of the separation from service or, if earlier, the date of the employee's death. Specified employees are key employees (as defined in section 416(i)) of publicly-traded corporations and generally include officers (limited to 50 employees) having annual compensation greater than \$175,000 (for 2017), five-percent owners, and one-percent owners having annual compensation from the employer greater than \$150,000.

<sup>415</sup> In the case of an employee, under section 3401(a), the amount included in income constitutes wages subject to income tax withholding. In addition to current income inclusion, an interest factor tax at the rate applicable to underpayments of tax plus one percentage point is imposed on the underpayments that would have occurred had the compensation been includible in income when first deferred, or, if later, when not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture. The amount required to be included in income is also subject to a 20-percent additional tax. Under section 409A(b), current income inclusion, interest, and a 20-percent additional tax may also result from certain arrangements involving offshore assets set aside to fund nonqualified deferred compensation (regardless of whether the assets are available to satisfy claims of the general creditors of the service recipient), the restriction of assets to provide nonqualified deferred compensation in connection with a change in the employer's financial health, or assets set aside to provide nonqualified deferred compensation during a period when the employer (or controlled group member) maintains an underfunded defined benefit plan.

even if the imposition of the condition was intended in whole or in part to defer taxation of the compensation to the service provider.<sup>416</sup>

### Definition of nonqualified deferred compensation plan

A nonqualified deferred compensation plan subject to these rules generally includes any plan, agreement or arrangement (including an agreement or arrangement that includes one person) that provides for the deferral of compensation (including actual or notional income on deferred compensation), other than a qualified employer plan, or any bona fide vacation leave, sick leave, compensatory time, disability pay, or death benefit plan.<sup>417</sup> A qualified employer plan for this purpose means a qualified retirement plan, a tax-deferred annuity plan, a simplified employee pension plan, a simple retirement account plan, an eligible deferred compensation plan of a tax-exempt or State or local government employer, a plan established before June 25, 1959, and funded only by employee contributions, or a qualified governmental excess benefit arrangement.<sup>418</sup>

Under Treasury regulations, certain other types of arrangements are not considered a deferral of compensation and thus are not subject to these rules.<sup>419</sup> For example, an exception applies to amounts that are not deferred beyond a short period of time after the amount is no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture (referred to as a “short-term deferral”).<sup>420</sup> Under this exception, a deferral of compensation generally does not occur if the service provider actually or constructively receives the amount on or before the last day of the applicable two and one-half month period. The applicable two and one-half month period is the period ending on the later of the 15<sup>th</sup> day of the third month following the end of: (1) the service provider’s first taxable year in which the right to the payment is no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture; or (2) the service recipient’s first taxable year in which the right to the payment is no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture.

In addition, Treasury regulations provide an exception for certain separation pay (severance) arrangements. This exception applies to separation pay pursuant to a window program, or separation pay provided upon an involuntary separation from service (as defined) that meets certain requirements as to amount and timing of payment. The amount cannot exceed twice the service provider’s annualized compensation in the preceding taxable year (or if less, twice the section 401(a)(17) limit in effect for the year in which the separation from service occurs); and the plan must require this amount to be paid no later than the end of the second

---

<sup>416</sup> Sec. 409A(d)(4) and Treas. Reg. sec. 1.409A-1(d). The Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to prescribe such regulations as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of section 409A.

<sup>417</sup> Sec. 409A(d)(1).

<sup>418</sup> Secs. 401(a), 403(a) and (b), 408(k) and (p), 457(b), 501(c)(18), and 415(m).

<sup>419</sup> For a discussion of intended exceptions for certain arrangements, see Conference Report to accompany H.R. 4520, the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, H.R. Rep. No. 108-755, October 7, 2004, p. 735.

<sup>420</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.409A-1(b)(4).

taxable year following the end of the service provider's taxable year in which the separation from service occurred.<sup>421</sup>

Treasury regulations also provide exceptions for certain stock options and stock appreciation rights ("SARs") with respect to service recipient stock, referred to collectively as "stock rights."<sup>422</sup> In general, under the regulations, a stock option or SAR does not provide for the deferral of compensation if the exercise price of the stock option or SAR cannot be less than the fair market value, on the date the option or SAR is granted, of the stock subject to the option or SAR and the stock right does not otherwise include a deferral feature. Similar exceptions apply to arrangements involving mutual company units and partnership interests. Exceptions apply also for incentive stock options and options under an employee stock purchase plan ("statutory options").<sup>423</sup>

### Additional rules

Under Treasury regulations, the term "service provider" includes an individual or any of specified entities for any taxable year for which the individual or entity accounts for income from the performance of services under the cash receipts and disbursements method of accounting.<sup>424</sup> The relevant entities are a corporation, an S corporation, a partnership, a personal service corporation, a noncorporate entity that would be a personal service corporation if it were a corporation, a qualified personal service corporation, and a noncorporate entity that would be a qualified personal service corporation if it were a corporation. However, an exception applies for a service provider engaged in the trade or business of providing services (other than as an employee or director of a corporation or in a similar position in the case of an entity that is not a corporation) if the service provider provides significant services to at least two service recipients that are not related to each other or the service provider. This exception does not apply to the extent the service provider provides management services, that is, services involving the actual or de facto direction or control of the financial or operational aspects of a trade or business of the service recipient, or investment management or advisory services provided to a service recipient whose primary trade or business includes the investment of financial assets (including real estate investments), such as a hedge fund or real estate investment trust.

---

<sup>421</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.409A-1(b)(9)(iii).

<sup>422</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.409A-1(b)(5). A SAR is a right to compensation based on the appreciation in value of a specified number of shares of stock occurring between the date of grant and the date of exercise of the right. In the case of a SAR, the exercise price is the amount subtracted from the fair market value of the stock on the date the SAR is exercised to determine the appreciation in value since the date of grant.

<sup>423</sup> Secs. 421-424.

<sup>424</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.409A-1(f).

## **Nonqualified deferred compensation of State or local government or tax-exempt employers**

Special rules apply to “eligible<sup>425</sup>” and “ineligible” deferred compensation plans of State and local government and tax-exempt employers.<sup>426</sup>

Amounts deferred under an eligible deferred compensation plan generally are not included in income until received. In order for a plan to be an eligible plan, the plan must limit deferrals to a dollar amount (\$18,000 for 2017, plus an additional “catch-up” amount for older participants) or, if less, the participant’s includible compensation. The plan must also meet various other requirements.

In the case of an ineligible deferred compensation plan (that is, a plan that does not meet the requirements to be an eligible plan), deferred amounts are treated as nonqualified deferred compensation and includible in income for the first taxable year in which there is no substantial risk of forfeiture of the rights to such compensation, even though the plan is unfunded. For this purpose, a person’s rights to compensation are subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture if the rights are conditioned on the future performance of substantial services by any individual.<sup>427</sup> Earnings post vesting are generally taxed when paid.

Certain plans are excluded from being treated as deferred compensation, including bona fide vacation leave, sick leave, compensatory time, severance pay, disability pay, and death benefits.<sup>428</sup>

## **Nonqualified deferred compensation from certain tax indifferent parties**

### In general

Under special rules, any compensation deferred under a nonqualified deferred compensation plan of a nonqualified entity is generally includible in income by the service provider when there is no substantial risk of forfeiture of the service provider’s rights to such compensation, regardless of the method of accounting used by the service provider.<sup>429</sup> For this purpose, a service provider’s rights to compensation are subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture

---

<sup>425</sup> Some aspects of the rules for eligible deferred compensation plans are quite different for plans of State or local government employers and plans of tax-exempt employers. In particular, an eligible deferred compensation plan of a State or local government is a tax-favored, funded arrangement, similar to a qualified defined contribution plan, whereas an eligible deferred compensation plan of a tax-exempt employer must be unfunded. These rules in effect limit the amount of unfunded nonqualified deferred compensation that can be provided on a tax-deferred basis by a tax-exempt employer.

<sup>426</sup> Sec. 457, which also contains exceptions for various arrangements.

<sup>427</sup> Sec. 457(f)(3)(B).

<sup>428</sup> Sec. 457(e)(11)(A).

<sup>429</sup> Section 457A, generally effective for deferred amounts attributable to services performed after December 31, 2008.

only if the rights are conditioned on the future performance of substantial services by any individual.<sup>430</sup> A condition related to a purpose of the compensation (other than future performance of substantial services) does not result in a substantial risk of forfeiture.

If the amount of any deferred compensation is not determinable at the time the compensation is otherwise includible in income, the compensation is includible when the amount becomes determinable. In that case, the income tax attributable to the compensation includible in income is increased by the sum of (1) an interest charge, and (2) an amount equal to 20 percent of the includible compensation. The interest charge is equal to the interest at the rate applicable to underpayments of tax plus one percentage point imposed on the underpayments that would have occurred had the compensation been includible in income when first deferred, or if later, when not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture.

#### Nonqualified entity

The term “nonqualified entity” includes certain foreign corporations and certain partnerships (either domestic or foreign). A foreign corporation is a nonqualified entity unless substantially all of its income is effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business or is subject to a comprehensive foreign income tax. A partnership is a nonqualified entity unless substantially all of its income is allocated to persons other than foreign persons with respect to whom such income is not subject to a comprehensive foreign income tax and organizations exempt from U.S. income tax.

The term comprehensive foreign income tax means with respect to a foreign person, the income tax of a foreign country if (1) the person is eligible for the benefits of a comprehensive income tax treaty between the foreign country and the United States, or (2) the person demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Secretary of the Treasury that the foreign country has a comprehensive income tax.

#### Nonqualified deferred compensation

For purposes of these special rules, the term “nonqualified deferred compensation plan” is generally defined in the same manner as under the general rules for nonqualified deferred compensation (and includes any agreement or arrangement, as well as actual or notional income on deferred compensation) with certain modifications.

Nonqualified deferred compensation includes any plan that provides a right to compensation based on the appreciation in value of a specified number of equity units of the

---

<sup>430</sup> Under section 457A(d)(1)(B), to the extent provided in regulations, if compensation is determined solely by reference to the amount of gain recognized on the disposition of an investment asset, the compensation is treated as subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture until the date of such disposition. No regulations or other guidance applying this rule has been issued.

service recipient.<sup>431</sup> However, IRS guidance provides some exceptions.<sup>432</sup> In general, under the guidance, a stock option is not treated as nonqualified deferred compensation for this purpose if the exercise price of the stock option cannot be less than the fair market value, on the date the option is granted, of the stock subject to the option and the option does not otherwise include a deferral feature. A similar exception applies to arrangements involving the right to purchase an equity interest in a noncorporate entity. Exceptions apply also for statutory options. Finally, an exception applies for a SAR if the exercise price of the SAR cannot be less than the fair market value, on the date the SAR is granted, of the stock subject to the SAR and the SAR does not otherwise include a deferral feature, but only if the SAR by its terms at all times must be settled in service recipient stock and is settled in service recipient stock.

A special “short-term deferral” exception applies, under which compensation is not treated as deferred if the service provider receives payment of the compensation not later than 12 months after the end of the taxable year of the service recipient during which the right to the payment of such compensation is no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture (within the meaning of the special rules).

### **Description of Proposal**

#### **In general**

Under the proposal, any compensation deferred under a nonqualified deferred compensation plan is includible in the gross income of the service provider when there is no substantial risk of forfeiture of the service provider’s rights to such compensation. For this purpose, the rights of a service provider to compensation are treated as subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture only if the rights are conditioned on the future performance of substantial services by any individual. Under the proposal, a condition related to a purpose of the compensation other than the future performance of substantial services (such as a condition based on achieving a specified performance goal or a condition intended in whole or in part to defer taxation) does not create a substantial risk of forfeiture, regardless of whether the possibility of forfeiture is substantial. In addition, a covenant not to compete does not create a substantial risk of forfeiture.

The proposal applies without regard to the method of accounting of the service provider. Because of the definition of substantial risk of forfeiture under the proposal, a taxpayer using either the cash method of accounting or the accrual method of accounting may be required to include deferred compensation in income earlier than the method of accounting would otherwise require.

---

<sup>431</sup> Sec. 457A(d)(3)(A). The Secretary of the Treasury is authorized to prescribe such regulations as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of section 457A.

<sup>432</sup> Notice 2009-8, 2009-1 C.B. 347, A-2(b). For a discussion of intended exceptions for certain arrangements, see Committee on Ways and Means Report to accompany H.R. 6049, the Renewable Energy and Job Creation Act of 2008, H.R. Rep. No. 110-658, May 20, 2008, pp. 195-196.



Nothing under the proposal is to be construed to prevent the inclusion of amounts in income under any other income tax provision or any other rule of law earlier than the time provided in the proposal. Any amount included in income under the proposal is not required to be included in income under any other income tax provision or any other rule of law later than the time provided under the proposal.

### **Nonqualified deferred compensation**

For purposes of the proposal, the term “nonqualified deferred compensation plan” means any plan that provides for the deferral of compensation, other than a qualified employer plan, a bona fide vacation leave, sick leave, compensatory time, disability pay or death benefit plan, and any other plan or arrangement designated by the Secretary of the Treasury consistent with the purposes of the proposal. The Secretary shall not provide an exception for severance plans, bona fide or otherwise, in regulations or other guidance. A qualified employer plan for this purpose means a qualified retirement plan, a tax-deferred annuity plan, a simplified employee pension plan, a simple retirement account plan, an eligible deferred compensation plan of a State or local government employer, or a plan established before June 25, 1959, and funded only by employee contributions.

In addition, a nonqualified deferred compensation plan for purposes of the proposal specifically includes any plan that provides a right to compensation based on the value of, or the appreciation in value of, a specified number of equity units of the service recipient. Such a compensation right does not fail to provide for the deferral of compensation merely because the compensation is to be paid in cash or by the transfer of equity. The proposal applies to all stock options and SARs (and similar arrangements involving noncorporate entities), regardless of how the exercise price compares to the value of the related stock on the date the option or SAR is granted. It is intended that no exceptions are to be provided in regulations or other administrative guidance. However, it is intended that statutory options are not considered nonqualified deferred compensation for purposes of the proposal. An exception is provided for that portion of a plan consisting of a transfer of property described in section 83 (other than nonstatutory stock options), or a trust to which section 402(b) applies, or relating to statutory options under section 422 or 423 for which there is no disqualifying disposition.

For purposes of the proposal, a plan includes any agreement or arrangement, including an agreement or arrangement that includes one person. In addition, references to deferred compensation are treated as including references to income (whether actual or notional) attributable to deferred compensation or income. However, compensation is not treated as deferred for purposes of the proposal if the service provider receives payment of the compensation not later than two and one-half months after the end of the service recipient’s or service provider’s taxable year, whichever is later, during which the right to the payment of such compensation is no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture (within the meaning of the proposal).

### **Additional rules**

The Secretary of Treasury is directed to prescribe such regulations as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of the proposal, including regulations disregarding a

substantial risk of forfeiture in cases where necessary to carry out the purposes of the proposal. Except as provided by the Secretary of the Treasury, for purposes of the proposal, rules similar to the controlled group rules for qualified retirement plans apply.<sup>433</sup>

Under the proposal, the present-law general rules for nonqualified deferred compensation and the present-law rules for nonqualified deferred compensation from certain tax indifferent parties are repealed. In addition, the present-law rules for eligible and ineligible deferred compensation plans of tax-exempt employers and for ineligible deferred compensation plans of State and local governments do not apply with respect to deferred amounts attributable to services performed after December 31, 2017.

In addition, the proposal applies income tax reporting and withholding, as applicable, to amounts required to be included in gross income of employees and other service providers, including nonresident aliens subject to U.S. taxation.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal generally applies to amounts attributable to services performed after December 31, 2017. In the case of any deferred compensation amount to which the proposal does not otherwise apply solely by reason of the fact that the amount is attributable to services performed before January 1, 2018, to the extent such amount is not includible in gross income in a taxable year beginning before 2027, such amount is includible in income in the later of (1) the last taxable year before 2027, or (2) the taxable year in which there is no substantial risk of forfeiture of the rights to such compensation (determined in the same manner as determined under the proposal). Earnings on deferred amounts attributable to services performed before January 1, 2018, are subject to the proposal only to the extent that the amounts to which the earnings are attributable are subject to the proposal.

The Secretary of the Treasury is directed to issue guidance, no later than 120 days after enactment of the proposal, providing a limited period of time during which a nonqualified deferred compensation arrangement attributable to services performed on or before December 31, 2017, may, without violating the general rules for nonqualified deferred compensation, be amended to conform the date of distribution to the service provider to the date amounts are required to be included in income under the proposal. If the service provider-taxpayer is also a service recipient and maintains one or more nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements for its service providers under which any amount is attributable to services performed on or before December 31, 2017, the guidance is to permit any such arrangement to be amended to conform the dates of distribution under that arrangement to the date amounts are required to be included in the income of the taxpayer. An amendment to a nonqualified deferred compensation arrangement made pursuant to the guidance is not to be treated as a material modification of the arrangement for purposes of the general rules for nonqualified deferred compensation.

---

<sup>433</sup> Sec. 414(b) and (c).

## 2. Modification of limitation on excessive employee remuneration

### Present Law

#### In general

An employer generally may deduct reasonable compensation for personal services as an ordinary and necessary business expense. Section 162(m) provides an explicit limitation on the deductibility of compensation expenses in the case of publicly traded corporate employers. The otherwise allowable deduction for compensation paid or accrued with respect to a covered employee of a publicly held corporation<sup>434</sup> is limited to no more than \$1 million per year.<sup>435</sup> The deduction limitation applies when the deduction would otherwise be taken.

#### Covered employees

Section 162(m) defines a covered employee as (1) the chief executive officer of the corporation (or an individual acting in such capacity) as of the close of the taxable year and (2) the four most highly compensated officers<sup>436</sup> for the taxable year (other than the chief executive officer). Treasury regulations under section 162(m) provide that whether an employee is the chief executive officer or among the four most highly compensated officers should be determined pursuant to the executive compensation disclosure rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”).

In 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission amended certain rules relating to executive compensation, including which officers’ compensation must be disclosed under the Exchange Act. Under the new rules, such officers are (1) the principal executive officer (or an individual acting in such capacity), (2) the principal financial officer (or an individual acting in such capacity), and (3) the three most highly compensated officers,<sup>437</sup> other than the principal executive officer or principal financial officer.

In response to the Securities and Exchange Commission’s new disclosure rules, the Internal Revenue Service issued updated guidance on identifying which employees are covered by section 162(m).<sup>438</sup> The new guidance provides that “covered employee” means any employee who is (1) the principal executive officer (or an individual acting in such capacity) defined in

---

<sup>434</sup> A corporation is treated as publicly held if it has a class of common equity securities that is required to be registered under section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

<sup>435</sup> Sec. 162(m). This deduction limitation applies for purposes of the regular income tax and the alternative minimum tax.

<sup>436</sup> Such officers must also be employees whose total compensation is required to be reported to shareholders under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

<sup>437</sup> Such officers must also be employees whose total compensation is required to be reported to shareholders under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

<sup>438</sup> Notice 2007-49, 2007-25 I.R.B. 1429.

reference to the Exchange Act, or (2) among the three most highly compensated officers<sup>439</sup> for the taxable year (other than the principal executive officer), again defined by reference to the Exchange Act. Thus, under current guidance, only four employees are covered under section 162(m) for any taxable year. Under Treasury regulations, the requirement that the individual meet the criteria as of the last day of the taxable year applies to both the principal executive officer and the three highest compensated officers.<sup>440</sup>

### **Definition of publicly held corporation**

For purposes of the deduction disallowance of section 162(m), a publicly held corporation means any corporation issuing any class of common equity securities required to be registered under section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. All U.S. publicly traded companies are subject to this registration requirement, including their foreign affiliates (to the extent subject to U.S. tax). A foreign company publicly traded through American depository receipts (“ADRs”) is also subject to this registration requirement if more than 50 percent of the issuer’s outstanding voting securities are held, directly or indirectly, by residents of United States and either (i) the majority of the executive officers or directors are United States citizens or residents, (ii) more than 50 percent of the assets of the issuer are located in the United States, or (iii) the business of the issuer is administered principally in the United States. Other foreign companies are not subject to the registration requirement.

### **Remuneration subject to the deduction limitation**

#### In general

Unless specifically excluded, the deduction limitation applies to all remuneration for services, including cash and the cash value of all remuneration (including benefits) paid in a medium other than cash. If an individual is a covered employee for a taxable year, the deduction limitation applies to all compensation not explicitly excluded from the deduction limitation, regardless of whether the compensation is for services as a covered employee and regardless of when the compensation was earned. The \$1 million cap is reduced by excess parachute payments (as defined in section 280G) that are not deductible by the corporation.

Certain types of compensation are not subject to the deduction limit and are not taken into account in determining whether other compensation exceeds \$1 million. The following types of compensation are not taken into account: (1) remuneration payable on a commission basis; (2) remuneration payable solely on account of the attainment of one or more performance goals if certain outside director and shareholder approval requirements are met (“performance-based compensation”); (3) payments to a tax-favored retirement plan (including salary reduction contributions); (4) amounts that are excludable from the executive’s gross

---

<sup>439</sup> Such officers must also be employees whose total compensation is required to be reported to shareholders under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

<sup>440</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.162-27(c)(2).

income (such as employer-provided health benefits and miscellaneous fringe benefits<sup>441</sup>); and (5) any remuneration payable under a written binding contract which was in effect on February 17, 1993. In addition, remuneration does not include compensation for which a deduction is allowable after a covered employee ceases to be a covered employee. Thus, the deduction limitation often does not apply to deferred compensation that is otherwise subject to the deduction limitation (*e.g.*, is not performance-based compensation) because the payment of compensation is deferred until after termination of employment.

#### Performance-based compensation

Compensation qualifies for the exception for performance-based compensation only if (1) it is paid solely on account of the attainment of one or more performance goals, (2) the performance goals are established by a compensation committee consisting solely of two or more outside directors,<sup>442</sup> (3) the material terms under which the compensation is to be paid, including the performance goals, are disclosed to and approved by the shareholders in a separate majority-approved vote prior to payment, and (4) prior to payment, the compensation committee certifies that the performance goals and any other material terms were in fact satisfied.

Compensation (other than stock options or other stock appreciation rights (“SARs”)) is not treated as paid solely on account of the attainment of one or more performance goals unless the compensation is paid to the particular executive pursuant to a pre-established objective performance formula or standard that precludes discretion. A stock option or SAR with an exercise price not less than the fair market value, on the date the option or SAR is granted, of the stock subject to the option or SAR, generally is treated as meeting the exception for performance-based compensation, provided that the requirements for outside director and shareholder approval are met (without the need for certification that the performance standards have been met). This is the case because the amount of compensation attributable to the options or SARs received by the executive would be based solely on an increase in the corporation’s stock price. Stock-based compensation is not treated as performance-based if it depends on factors other than corporate performance.

### **Description of Proposal**

#### **Definition of covered employee**

The proposal revises the definition of covered employee to include both the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer. Further, an individual is a covered employee if the individual holds one of these positions at any time during the taxable year. The proposal also defines as a covered employee the three (rather than four) most highly compensated officers for the taxable year (other than the principal executive officer or principal financial officer) who

---

<sup>441</sup> Secs. 105, 106, and 132.

<sup>442</sup> A director is considered an outside director if he or she is not a current employee of the corporation (or related entities), is not a former employee of the corporation (or related entities) who is receiving compensation for prior services (other than benefits under a qualified retirement plan), was not an officer of the corporation (or related entities) at any time, and is not currently receiving compensation for personal services in any capacity (*e.g.*, for services as a consultant) other than as a director.

are required to be reported on the company's proxy statement for the taxable year (or who would be required to be reported on such a statement for a company not required to make such a report to shareholders).

In addition, if an individual is a covered employee with respect to a corporation for a taxable year beginning after December 31, 2016, the individual remains a covered employee for all future years. Thus, an individual remains a covered employee with respect to compensation otherwise deductible in subsequent years, including years during which the individual is no longer employed by the corporation (including years after the individual has died). Compensation does not fail to be compensation with respect to a covered employee and thus subject to the deduction limit for a taxable year merely because the compensation is includible in the income of, or paid to, another individual, such as compensation paid to a beneficiary after the employee's death, or to a former spouse pursuant to a domestic relations order.

### **Definition of publicly held corporation**

The proposal extends the applicability of section 162(m) to include all domestic publicly traded corporations and all foreign companies publicly traded through ADRs. The proposed definition may include certain additional corporations that are not publicly traded, such as large private C or S corporations.

### **Performance-based compensation and commissions exceptions**

The proposal eliminates the exceptions for commissions and performance-based compensation from the definition of compensation subject to the deduction limit. Thus, such compensation is taken into account in determining the amount of compensation with respect to a covered employee for a taxable year that exceeds \$1 million and is thus not deductible under section 162.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **3. Excise tax on excess tax-exempt organization executive compensation**

### **Present Law**

Taxable employers and other service recipients are generally allowed a deduction for reasonable compensation expenses.<sup>443</sup> However, in some cases, compensation in excess of specific levels is not deductible.

In the case of a publicly held corporation, subject to certain exceptions, the deduction for a taxable year for compensation of the corporation's principal executive officer or for any of the

---

<sup>443</sup> Sec. 162(a)(1).

corporation's three most highly compensated officers other than the principal executive officer is limited to \$1 million (" \$1 million limit on deductible compensation").<sup>444</sup>

A "parachute payment" (generally a payment of compensation that is contingent on a change in corporate ownership or control) made to an officer, shareholder or highly compensated individual is generally not deductible if the aggregate present value of all such payments to an individual equals or exceeds three times the individual's base amount (an "excess parachute payment").<sup>445</sup> An individual's base amount is the average annual compensation includible in the individual's gross income for the five taxable years ending before the date the change in ownership or control occurs. Certain amounts are not considered parachute payments, including payments under a qualified retirement plan, a simplified employee pension plan, or a simple retirement account.<sup>446</sup>

These deduction limits generally do not affect a tax-exempt organization.

### **Description of Proposal**

Under the proposal, an employer is liable for an excise tax equal to 20 percent of the sum of the (1) remuneration (other than an excess parachute payment) in excess of \$1 million paid to a covered employee by an applicable tax-exempt organization for a taxable year, and (2) any excess parachute payment (under a new definition for this purpose that relates solely to separation pay) paid by the applicable tax-exempt organization to a covered employee. Accordingly, the excise tax applies as a result of an excess parachute payment, even if the covered employee's remuneration does not exceed \$1 million.

For purposes of the proposal, a covered employee is an employee (including any former employee) of an applicable tax-exempt organization if the employee is one of the five highest compensated employees of the organization for the taxable year or was a covered employee of the organization (or a predecessor) for any preceding taxable year beginning after December 31, 2016. An "applicable tax-exempt organization" is an organization exempt from tax under section 501(a), an exempt farmers' cooperative,<sup>447</sup> a Federal, State or local governmental entity with excludable income,<sup>448</sup> or a political organization.<sup>449</sup>

---

<sup>444</sup> Sec. 162(m)(1). Under section 162(m)(6), limits apply to deductions for compensation of individuals performing services for certain health insurance providers.

<sup>445</sup> Sec. 280G.

<sup>446</sup> Secs. 401(a), 403(a), 408(k), and 408(p).

<sup>447</sup> Sec. 521(b).

<sup>448</sup> Sec. 115(1).

<sup>449</sup> Sec. 527(e)(1).

Remuneration means wages as defined for income tax withholding purposes,<sup>450</sup> but does not include any designated Roth contribution.<sup>451</sup> Remuneration of a covered employee includes any remuneration paid with respect to employment of the covered employee by any person or governmental entity related to the applicable tax-exempt organization. A person or governmental entity is treated as related to an applicable tax-exempt organization if the person or governmental entity (1) controls, or is controlled by, the organization, (2) is controlled by one or more persons that control the organization, (3) is a supported organization<sup>452</sup> during the taxable year with respect to the organization, (4) is a supporting organization<sup>453</sup> during the taxable year with respect to the organization, or (5) in the case of a voluntary employees' beneficiary association ("VEBA"),<sup>454</sup> establishes, maintains, or makes contributions to the VEBA. However, remuneration of a covered employee that is not deductible by reason of the \$1 million limit on deductible compensation is not taken into account for purposes of the proposal.

Under the proposal, an excess parachute payment is the amount by which any parachute payment exceeds the portion of the base amount allocated to the payment. A parachute payment is a payment in the nature of compensation to (or for the benefit of a covered employee) if the payment is contingent on the employee's separation from employment and the aggregate present value of all such payments is three times or more the base amount. The base amount is the average annual compensation includible in the covered employee's gross income for the five taxable years ending before the date of the employee's separation from employment. Parachute payments do not include payments under a qualified retirement plan, a simplified employee pension plan, a simple retirement account, a tax-deferred annuity,<sup>455</sup> or an eligible deferred compensation plan of a State or local government employer.<sup>456</sup>

The employer of a covered employee is liable for the excise tax. If remuneration of a covered employee from more than one employer is taken into account in determining the excise tax, each employer is liable for the tax in an amount that bears the same ratio to the total tax as the remuneration paid by that employer bears to the remuneration paid by all employers to the covered employee.

---

<sup>450</sup> Sec. 3401(a).

<sup>451</sup> Under section 402A(c), a designated Roth contribution is an elective deferral (that is, a contribution to a tax-favored employer-sponsored retirement plan made at the election of an employee) that the employee designates as not being excludable from income.

<sup>452</sup> Sec. 509(f)(3).

<sup>453</sup> Sec. 509(a)(3).

<sup>454</sup> Sec. 501(c)(9).

<sup>455</sup> Sec. 403(b).

<sup>456</sup> Sec. 457(b).



**Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **I. Insurance**

### **1. Net operating losses of life insurance companies**

#### **Present Law**

A net operating loss (“NOL”) generally means the amount by which a taxpayer’s business deductions exceed its gross income. In general, an NOL may be carried back two years and carried over 20 years to offset taxable income in such years. NOLs offset taxable income in the order of the taxable years to which the NOL may be carried.<sup>457</sup>

For purposes of computing the alternative minimum tax (“AMT”), a taxpayer’s NOL deduction cannot reduce the taxpayer’s alternative minimum taxable income (“AMTI”) by more than 90 percent of the AMTI.<sup>458</sup>

In the case of a life insurance company, a deduction is allowed in the taxable year for operations loss carryovers and carrybacks, in lieu of the deduction for net operation losses allowed to other corporations.<sup>459</sup> A life insurance company is permitted to treat a loss from operations (as defined under section 810(c)) for any taxable year as an operations loss carryback to each of the three taxable years preceding the loss year and an operations loss carryover to each of the 15 taxable years following the loss year.<sup>460</sup>

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the operations loss deduction for life insurance companies and allows the NOL deduction under section 172. This provides the same treatment for losses of life insurance companies as for losses of property and casualty insurance companies and of other corporations. The proposal thus limits the companies’ NOL deduction to 90 percent of taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction), provides that carryovers to other years are adjusted to take account of this limitation and may be carried forward indefinitely. The NOL deduction of a life insurance company is determined by treating the NOL for any taxable year generally as the excess of the life insurance deductions for such taxable year over the life insurance gross income for such taxable year.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to losses arising in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

---

<sup>457</sup> Sec. 172(b)(2).

<sup>458</sup> Sec. 56(d).

<sup>459</sup> Secs. 810, 805(a)(5).

<sup>460</sup> Sec. 810(b)(1).

## **2. Repeal of small life insurance company deduction**

### **Present Law**

The small life insurance company deduction for any taxable year is 60 percent of so much of the tentative life insurance company taxable income (“LICTI”) for such taxable year as does not exceed \$3 million, reduced by 15 percent of the excess of tentative LICTI over \$3 million. The maximum deduction that can be claimed by a small company is \$1.8 million, and a company with a tentative LICTI of \$15 million or more is not entitled to any small company deduction. A small life insurance company for this purpose is one with less than \$500 million of assets.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the small life insurance company deduction.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **3. Adjustment for change in computing reserves**

### **Present Law**

#### **Change in method of accounting**

In general, a taxpayer may change its method of accounting under section 446 with the consent of the Secretary (or may be required to change its method of accounting by the Secretary). In such instances, a taxpayer generally is required to make an adjustment (a “section 481(a) adjustment”) to prevent amounts from being duplicated in, or omitted from, the calculation of the taxpayer’s income. Pursuant to IRS procedures, negative section 481(a) adjustments generally are deducted from income in the year of the change whereas positive section 481(a) adjustments generally are required to be included in income ratably over four taxable years.<sup>461</sup>

However, section 807(f) explicitly provides that changes in the basis for determining life insurance company reserves are to be taken into account ratably over 10 years.

#### **10-year spread for change in computing life insurance company reserves**

For Federal income tax purposes, a life insurance company includes in gross income any net decrease in reserves, and deducts a net increase in reserves.<sup>462</sup> Methods for determining

---

<sup>461</sup> See, e.g., Rev. Proc. 2015-13, 2015-5 I.R.B. 419, and Rev. Proc. 2017-30, 2017-18 I.R.B. 1131.

<sup>462</sup> Sec. 807.

reserves for tax purposes generally are based on reserves prescribed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners for purposes of financial reporting under State regulatory rules.

Income or loss resulting from a change in the method of computing reserves is taken into account ratably over a 10-year period.<sup>463</sup> The rule for a change in basis in computing reserves applies only if there is a change in basis in computing the Federally prescribed reserve (as distinguished from the net surrender value). Although life insurance tax reserves require the use of a Federally prescribed method, interest rate, and mortality or morbidity table, changes in other assumptions for computing statutory reserves (*e.g.*, when premiums are collected and claims are paid) may cause increases or decreases in a company's life insurance reserves that must be spread over a 10-year period. Changes in the net surrender value of a contract are not subject to the 10-year spread because, apart from its use as a minimum in determining the amount of life insurance tax reserves, the net surrender value is not a reserve but a current liability.

If for any taxable year the taxpayer is not a life insurance company, the balance of any adjustments to reserves is taken into account for the preceding taxable year.

### **Description of Proposal**

Income or loss resulting from a change in method of computing life insurance company reserves is taken into account consistent with IRS procedures, generally ratably over a four-year period, instead of over a 10-year period.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **4. Repeal of special rule for distributions to shareholders from pre-1984 policyholders surplus account**

### **Present and Prior Law**

Under the law in effect from 1959 through 1983, a life insurance company was subject to a three-phase taxable income computation under Federal tax law. Under the three-phase system, a company was taxed on the lesser of its gain from operations or its taxable investment income (Phase I) and, if its gain from operations exceeded its taxable investment income, 50 percent of such excess (Phase II). Federal income tax on the other 50 percent of the gain from operations was deferred, and was accounted for as part of a policyholder's surplus account and, subject to certain limitations, taxed only when distributed to stockholders or upon corporate dissolution (Phase III). To determine whether amounts had been distributed, a company maintained a shareholders surplus account, which generally included the company's previously taxed income that would be available for distribution to shareholders. Distributions to shareholders were

---

<sup>463</sup> Sec. 807(f).

treated as being first out of the shareholders surplus account, then out of the policyholders surplus account, and finally out of other accounts.

The Deficit Reduction Act of 1984<sup>464</sup> included provisions that, for 1984 and later years, eliminated further deferral of tax on amounts (described above) that previously would have been deferred under the three-phase system. Although for taxable years after 1983, life insurance companies may not enlarge their policyholders surplus account, the companies are not taxed on previously deferred amounts unless the amounts are treated as distributed to shareholders or subtracted from the policyholders surplus account.<sup>465</sup>

Any direct or indirect distribution to shareholders from an existing policyholders surplus account of a stock life insurance company is subject to tax at the corporate rate in the taxable year of the distribution. Present law (like prior law) provides that any distribution to shareholders is treated as made (1) first out of the shareholders surplus account, to the extent thereof, (2) then out of the policyholders surplus account, to the extent thereof, and (3) finally, out of other accounts.

For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2004, and before January 1, 2007, the application of the rules imposing income tax on distributions to shareholders from the policyholders surplus account of a life insurance company were suspended. Distributions in those years were treated as first made out of the policyholders surplus account, to the extent thereof, and then out of the shareholders surplus account, and lastly out of other accounts.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals section 815, the rules imposing income tax on distributions to shareholders from the policyholders surplus account of a stock life insurance company.

In the case of any stock life insurance company with an existing policyholders surplus account (as defined in section 815 before its repeal), tax is imposed on the balance of the account as of December 31, 2017. A life insurance company is required to pay tax on the balance of the account ratably over the first eight taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017. Specifically, the tax imposed on a life insurance company is the tax on the sum of life insurance company taxable income for the taxable year (but not less than zero) plus 1/8 of the balance of the existing policyholders surplus account as of December 31, 2017. Thus, life insurance company losses are not allowed to offset the amount of the policyholders surplus account balance subject to tax.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

---

<sup>464</sup> Pub. L. No. 98-369.

<sup>465</sup> Sec. 815.

## **5. Modification of proration rules for property and casualty insurance companies**

### **Present Law**

The taxable income of a property and casualty insurance company is determined as the sum of its gross income from underwriting income and investment income (as well as gains and other income items), reduced by allowable deductions.

A proration rule applies to property and casualty insurance companies. In calculating the deductible amount of its reserve for losses incurred, a property and casualty insurance company must reduce the amount of losses incurred by 15 percent of (1) the insurer's tax-exempt interest, (2) the deductible portion of dividends received (with special rules for dividends from affiliates), and (3) the increase for the taxable year in the cash value of life insurance, endowment, or annuity contracts the company owns.<sup>466</sup> This proration rule reflects the fact that reserves are generally funded in part from tax-exempt interest, from deductible dividends, and from other untaxed amounts.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal replaces the 15-percent reduction under present law with a reduction equal to 5.25 percent divided by the top corporate tax rate. For 2018, the top corporate tax rate is 35 percent, and the percentage reduction is 15 percent. For 2019 and thereafter, the corporate tax rate is 20 percent, and the percentage reduction is 26.25 percent under the proration rule for property and casualty insurance companies. The proration percentage will be automatically adjusted in the future if the top corporate tax rate is changed, so that the product of the proration percentage and the top corporate tax rate always equals 5.25 percent.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **6. Repeal of special estimated tax payments**

### **Present Law**

#### **Allowance of additional deduction and establishment of special loss discount account**

Present law allows an insurance company required to discount its reserves an additional deduction that is not to exceed the excess of (1) the amount of the undiscounted unpaid losses over (2) the amount of the related discounted unpaid losses, to the extent the amount was not deducted in a preceding taxable year.<sup>467</sup> The provision imposes the requirement that a special loss discount account be established and maintained, and that special estimated tax payments be made. Unused amounts of special estimated tax payments are treated as a section 6655 estimated

---

<sup>466</sup> Sec. 832(b)(5).

<sup>467</sup> Sec. 847.

tax payment for the 16<sup>th</sup> year after the year for which the special estimated tax payment was made.

The total payments by a taxpayer, including section 6655 estimated tax payments and other tax payments, together with special estimated tax payments made under this provision, are generally the same as the total tax payments that the taxpayer would make if the taxpayer did not elect to have this provision apply, except to the extent amounts can be refunded under the provision in the 16<sup>th</sup> year.

### **Calculation of special estimated tax payments based on tax benefit attributable to deduction**

More specifically, present law imposes a requirement that the taxpayer make special estimated tax payments in an amount equal to the tax benefit attributable to the additional deduction allowed under the provision. If amounts are included in gross income as a result of a reduction in the taxpayer's special loss discount account or the liquidation or termination of the taxpayer's insurance business, and an additional tax is due for any year as a result of the inclusion, then an amount of the special estimated tax payments equal to such additional tax is applied against such additional tax. If there is an adjustment reducing the amount of additional tax against which the special estimated tax payment was applied, then in lieu of any credit or refund for the reduction, a special estimated tax payment is treated as made in an amount equal to the amount that would otherwise be allowable as a credit or refund.

The amount of the tax benefit attributable to the deduction is to be determined (under Treasury regulations (which have not been promulgated)) by taking into account tax benefits that would arise from the carryback of any net operating loss for the year as well as current year benefits. In addition, tax benefits for the current and carryback years are to take into account the benefit of filing a consolidated return with another insurance company without regard to the consolidation limitations imposed by section 1503(c).

The taxpayer's estimated tax payments under section 6655 are to be determined without regard to the additional deduction allowed under this provision and the special estimated tax payments. Legislative history<sup>468</sup> indicates that it is intended that the taxpayer may apply the amount of an overpayment of any section 6655 estimated tax payments for the taxable year against the amount of the special estimated tax payment required under this provision. The special estimated tax payments under this provision are not treated as estimated tax payments for purposes of section 6655 (*e.g.*, for purposes of calculating penalties or interest on underpayments of estimated tax) when such special estimated tax payments are made.

### **Refundable amount**

To the extent that a special estimated tax payment is not used to offset additional tax due for any of the first 15 taxable years beginning after the year for which the payment was made, such special estimated tax payment is treated as an estimated tax payment made under

---

<sup>468</sup> See H.R. Rep. No. 100-1104, Conference Report to accompany H.R. 4333, the Technical and Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1988, October 21, 1988, p. 174.

section 6655 for the 16<sup>th</sup> year after the year for which the special estimated tax payment was made. If the amount of such deemed section 6655 payment, together with the taxpayer's other payments credited against tax liability for such 16<sup>th</sup> year, exceeds the tax liability for such year, then the excess (up to the amount of the deemed section 6655 payment) may be refunded to the taxpayer to the same extent provided under present law with respect to overpayments of tax.

### **Regulatory authority**

In addition to the regulatory authority to adjust the amount of special estimated tax payments in the event of a change in the corporate tax rate, authority is provided to Treasury to prescribe regulations necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of the provision.

Such regulations include those providing for the separate application of the provision with respect to each accident year. Separate application of the provision with respect to each accident year (*i.e.*, applying a vintaging methodology) may be appropriate under regulations to determine the amount of tax liability for any taxable year against which special estimated tax payments are applied, and to determine the amount (if any) of special estimated tax payments remaining after the 15<sup>th</sup> year which may be available to be refunded to the taxpayer.

Regulatory authority is also provided to make such adjustments in the application of the provision as may be necessary to take into account the corporate alternative minimum tax. Under this regulatory authority, rules similar to those applicable in the case of a change in the corporate tax rate are intended to apply to determine the amount of special estimated tax payments that may be applied against tax calculated at the corporate alternative minimum tax rate. The special estimated tax payments are not treated as payments of regular tax for purposes of determining the taxpayer's alternative minimum tax liability.

Regulations have not been promulgated under section 847.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals section 847. Thus, the election to apply section 847, the additional deduction, special loss discount account, special estimated tax payment, and refundable amount rules of present law are eliminated.

The entire balance of an existing account is included in income of the taxpayer for the first taxable year beginning after 2017, and the entire amount of existing special estimated tax payments are applied against the amount of additional tax attributable to this inclusion. Any special estimated tax payments in excess of this amount are treated as estimated tax payments under section 6655.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.



## **7. Capitalization of certain policy acquisition expenses**

### **Present Law**

In the case of an insurance company, specified policy acquisition expenses for any taxable year are required to be capitalized, and generally are amortized over the 120-month period beginning with the first month in the second half of the taxable year.<sup>469</sup>

A special rule provides for 60-month amortization of the first \$5 million of specified policy acquisition expenses with a phase-out. The phase-out reduces the amount amortized over 60 months by the excess of the insurance company's specified policy acquisition expenses for the taxable year over \$10 million.

Specified policy acquisition expenses are determined as that portion of the insurance company's general deductions for the taxable year that does not exceed a specific percentage of the net premiums for the taxable year on each of three categories of insurance contracts. For annuity contracts, the percentage is 1.75; for group life insurance contracts, the percentage is 2.05; and for all other specified insurance contracts, the percentage is 7.7.

With certain exceptions, a specified insurance contract is any life insurance, annuity, or noncancellable accident and health insurance contract or combination thereof. A group life insurance contract is any life insurance contract that covers a group of individuals defined by reference to employment relationship, membership in an organization, or similar factor, the premiums for which are determined on a group basis, and the proceeds of which are payable to (or for the benefit of) persons other than the employer of the insured, an organization to which the insured belongs, or other similar person.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal extends the amortization period for specified policy acquisition expenses from a 120-month period to the 600-month period beginning with the first month in the second half of the taxable year. The proposal does not change the special rule providing for 60-month amortization of the first \$5 million of specified policy acquisition expenses (with phaseout). The proposal provides that for annuity contracts, the percentage is 3.17; for group life insurance contracts, the percentage is 3.72; and for all other specified insurance contracts, the percentage is 13.97.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

---

<sup>469</sup> Sec. 848.

## **8. Tax reporting for life settlement transactions, clarification of tax basis of life insurance contracts, and exception to transfer for valuable consideration rules**

### **Present Law**

An exclusion from Federal income tax is provided for amounts received under a life insurance contract paid by reason of the death of the insured.<sup>470</sup>

Under rules known as the transfer for value rules, if a life insurance contract is sold or otherwise transferred for valuable consideration, the amount paid by reason of the death of the insured that is excludable generally is limited.<sup>471</sup> Under the limitation, the excludable amount may not exceed the sum of (1) the actual value of the consideration, and (2) the premiums or other amounts subsequently paid by the transferee of the contract. Thus, for example, if a person buys a life insurance contract, and the consideration he pays combined with his subsequent premium payments on the contract are less than the amount of the death benefit he later receives under the contract, then the difference is includable in the buyer's income.

Exceptions are provided to the limitation on the excludable amount. The limitation on the excludable amount does not apply if (1) the transferee's basis in the contract is determined in whole or in part by reference to the transferor's basis in the contract,<sup>472</sup> or (2) the transfer is to the insured, to a partner of the insured, to a partnership in which the insured is a partner, or to a corporation in which the insured is a shareholder or officer.<sup>473</sup>

IRS guidance sets forth more details of the tax treatment of a life insurance policyholder who sells or surrenders the life insurance contract and the tax treatment of other sellers and of

---

<sup>470</sup> Sec. 101(a)(1). In the case of certain accelerated death benefits and viatical settlements, special rules treat certain amounts as amounts paid by reason of the death of an insured (that is, generally, excludable from income). Sec. 101(g). The rules relating to accelerated death benefits provide that amounts treated as paid by reason of the death of the insured include any amount received under a life insurance contract on the life of an insured who is a terminally ill individual, or who is a chronically ill individual (provided certain requirements are met). For this purpose, a terminally ill individual is one who has been certified by a physician as having an illness or physical condition which can reasonably be expected to result in death in 24 months or less after the date of the certification. A chronically ill individual is one who has been certified by a licensed health care practitioner within the preceding 12-month period as meeting certain ability-related requirements. In the case of a viatical settlement, if any portion of the death benefit under a life insurance contract on the life of an insured who is terminally ill or chronically ill is sold to a viatical settlement provider, the amount paid for the sale or assignment of that portion is treated as an amount paid under the life insurance contract by reason of the death of the insured (that is, generally, excludable from income). For this purpose, a viatical settlement provider is a person regularly engaged in the trade or business of purchasing, or taking assignments of, life insurance contracts on the lives of terminally ill or chronically ill individuals (provided certain requirements are met).

<sup>471</sup> Sec. 101(a)(2).

<sup>472</sup> Sec. 101(a)(2)(A).

<sup>473</sup> Sec. 101(a)(2)(B).

buyers of life insurance contracts. The guidance relates to the character of taxable amounts (ordinary or capital) and to the taxpayer's basis in the life insurance contract.

In Revenue Ruling 2009-13,<sup>474</sup> the IRS ruled that income recognized under section 72(e) on surrender to the life insurance company of a life insurance contract with cash value is ordinary income. In the case of sale of a cash value life insurance contract, the IRS ruled that the insured's (seller's) basis is reduced by the cost of insurance, and the gain on sale of the contract is ordinary income to the extent of the amount that would be recognized as ordinary income if the contract were surrendered (the "inside buildup"), and any excess is long-term capital gain. Gain on the sale of a term life insurance contract (without cash surrender value) is long-term capital gain under the ruling.

In Revenue Ruling 2009-14,<sup>475</sup> the IRS ruled that under the transfer for value rules, a portion of the death benefit received by a buyer of a life insurance contract on the death of the insured is includable as ordinary income. The portion is the excess of the death benefit over the consideration and other amounts (*e.g.*, premiums) paid for the contract. Upon sale of the contract by the purchaser of the contract, the gain is long-term capital gain, and in determining the gain, the basis of the contract is not reduced by the cost of insurance.

### **Description of Proposal**

#### **In general**

The provision imposes reporting requirements in the case of the purchase of an existing life insurance contract in a reportable policy sale and imposes reporting requirements on the payor in the case of the payment of reportable death benefits. The provision sets forth rules for determining the basis of a life insurance or annuity contract. Lastly, the provision modifies the transfer for value rules in a transfer of an interest in a life insurance contract in a reportable policy sale.

#### **Reporting requirements for acquisitions of life insurance contracts**

##### **Reporting upon acquisition of life insurance contract**

The reporting requirement applies to every person who acquires a life insurance contract, or any interest in a life insurance contract, in a reportable policy sale during the taxable year. A reportable policy sale means the acquisition of an interest in a life insurance contract, directly or indirectly, if the acquirer has no substantial family, business, or financial relationship with the insured (apart from the acquirer's interest in the life insurance contract). An indirect acquisition includes the acquisition of an interest in a partnership, trust, or other entity that holds an interest in the life insurance contract.

Under the reporting requirement, the buyer reports information about the purchase to the IRS, to the insurance company that issued the contract, and to the seller. The information

---

<sup>474</sup> 2009-21 I.R.B. 1029.

<sup>475</sup> 2009-21 I.R.B. 1031.

reported by the buyer about the purchase is (1) the buyer's name, address, and taxpayer identification number ("TIN"), (2) the name, address, and TIN of each recipient of payment in the reportable policy sale, (3) the date of the sale, and (4) the amount of each payment. The statement the buyer provides to any issuer of a life insurance contract is not required to include the amount of the payment or payments for the purchase of the contract.

#### Reporting of seller's basis in the life insurance contract

On receipt of a report described above, or on any notice of the transfer of a life insurance contract to a foreign person, the issuer is required to report to the IRS and to the seller (1) the basis of the contract (i.e., the investment in the contract within the meaning of section 72(e)(6)), (2) the name, address, and TIN of the seller or the transferor to a foreign person, and (3) the policy number of the contract. Notice of the transfer of a life insurance contract to a foreign person is intended to include any sort of notice, including information provided for nontax purposes such as change of address notices for purposes of sending statements or for other purposes, or information relating to loans, premiums, or death benefits with respect to the contract.

#### Reporting with respect to reportable death benefits

When a reportable death benefit is paid under a life insurance contract, the payor insurance company is required to report information about the payment to the IRS and to the payee. Under this reporting requirement, the payor reports (1) the gross amount of the payment; (2) the taxpayer identification number of the payee; and (3) the payor's estimate of the buyer's basis in the contract. A reportable death benefit means an amount paid by reason of the death of the insured under a life insurance contract that has been transferred in a reportable policy sale.

For purposes of these reporting requirements, a payment means the amount of cash and the fair market value of any consideration transferred in a reportable policy sale.

#### Determination of basis

The provision provides that in determining the basis of a life insurance or annuity contract, no adjustment is made for mortality, expense, or other reasonable charges incurred under the contract (known as "cost of insurance"). This reverses the position of the IRS in Revenue Ruling 2009-13 that on sale of a cash value life insurance contract, the insured's (seller's) basis is reduced by the cost of insurance.

#### Scope of transfer for value rules

The provision provides that the exceptions to the transfer for value rules do not apply in the case of a transfer of a life insurance contract, or any interest in a life insurance contract, in a reportable policy sale. Thus, some portion of the death benefit ultimately payable under such a contract may be includable in income.

### **Effective Date**

Under the provision, the reporting requirement is effective for reportable policy sales occurring after December 31, 2017, and reportable death benefits paid after December 31, 2017. The clarification of the basis rules for life insurance and annuity contracts is effective for transactions entered into after August 25, 2009. The modification of exception to the transfer for value rules is effective for transfers occurring after December 31, 2017.

## J. Partnerships

### 1. Tax gain on the sale of a partnership interest on look-through basis

#### Present Law

##### In general

A partnership generally is not treated as a taxable entity, but rather, income of the partnership is taken into account on the tax returns of the partners. The character (as capital or ordinary) of partnership items passes through to the partners as if the items were realized directly by the partners.<sup>476</sup> A partner holding a partnership interest includes in income its distributive share (whether or not actually distributed) of partnership items of income and gain, including capital gain eligible for the lower tax rates, and deducts its distributive share of partnership items of deduction and loss. A partner's basis in the partnership interest is increased by any amount of gain and decreased by any amount of losses thus included. These basis adjustments prevent double taxation of partnership income to the partner. Money distributed to the partner by the partnership is taxed to the extent the amount exceeds the partner's basis in the partnership interest.

Gain or loss from the sale or exchange of a partnership interest generally is treated as gain or loss from the sale or exchange of a capital asset.<sup>477</sup> However, the amount of money and the fair market value of property received in the exchange that represent the partner's share of certain ordinary income-producing assets of the partnership give rise to ordinary income rather than capital gain.<sup>478</sup> In general, a partnership does not adjust the basis of partnership property following the transfer of a partnership interest unless either the partnership has made a one-time election to do so,<sup>479</sup> or the partnership has a substantial built-in loss immediately after the transfer.<sup>480</sup> If an election is in effect or the partnership has a substantial built-in loss immediately after the transfer, adjustments are made with respect to the transferee partner. These adjustments are to account for the difference between the transferee partner's proportionate share of the adjusted basis of the partnership property and the transferee partner's basis in its partnership interest.<sup>481</sup> The effect of the adjustments on the basis of partnership property is to approximate the result of a direct purchase of the property by the transferee partner.

---

<sup>476</sup> Sec. 702.

<sup>477</sup> Sec. 741; *Pollack v. Commissioner*, 69 T.C. 142 (1977).

<sup>478</sup> Sec. 751(a). These ordinary income-producing assets are unrealized receivables of the partnership or inventory items of the partnership ("751 assets").

<sup>479</sup> Sec. 754.

<sup>480</sup> Sec. 743(a).

<sup>481</sup> Sec. 743(b).

## **Source of gain or loss on transfer of a partnership interest**

A foreign person that is engaged in a trade or business in the United States is taxed on income that is “effectively connected” with the conduct of that trade or business (“effectively connected gain or loss”).<sup>482</sup> Partners in a partnership are treated as engaged in the conduct of a trade or business within the United States if the partnership is so engaged.<sup>483</sup> Any gross income derived by the foreign person that is not effectively connected with the person’s U.S. business is not taken into account in determining the rates of U.S. tax applicable to the person’s income from the business.<sup>484</sup>

Among the factors taken into account in determining whether income, gain, or loss is effectively connected gain or loss are the extent to which the income, gain, or loss is derived from assets used in or held for use in the conduct of the U.S. trade or business and whether the activities of the trade or business were a material factor in the realization of the income, gain, or loss (the “asset use” and “business activities” tests).<sup>485</sup> In determining whether the asset use or business activities tests are met, due regard is given to whether such assets or such income, gain, or loss were accounted for through such trade or business. Thus, notwithstanding the general rule that source of gain or loss from the sale or exchange of personal property is generally determined by the residence of the seller,<sup>486</sup> a foreign partner may have effectively connected income by reason of the asset use or business activities of the partnership in which he is an investor.

Special rules apply to treat gain or loss from disposition of U.S. real property interests as effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business.<sup>487</sup> To the extent that consideration received by the nonresident alien or foreign corporation for all or part of its interest in a partnership is attributable to a U.S. real property interest, that consideration is considered to be received from the sale or exchange in the United States of such property.<sup>488</sup> In certain

---

<sup>482</sup> Secs. 871(b), 864(c), 882.

<sup>483</sup> Sec. 875.

<sup>484</sup> Secs. 871(b)(2), and 882(a)(2). Non-business income received by foreign persons from U.S. sources is generally subject to tax on a gross basis at a rate of 30 percent, and is collected by withholding at the source of the payment. The income of non-resident aliens or foreign corporations that is subject to tax at a rate of 30-percent is fixed, determinable, annual or periodical income that is not effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business.

<sup>485</sup> Sec. 864(c)(2).

<sup>486</sup> Sec. 865(a).

<sup>487</sup> Sec. 897(a), (g).

<sup>488</sup> Sec. 897(g).

circumstances, gain attributable to sales of U.S. real property interests may be subject to withholding tax of ten percent of the amount realized on the transfer.<sup>489</sup>

Under a 1991 revenue ruling, in determining the source of gain or loss from the sale or exchange of an interest in a foreign partnership, the IRS applied the asset-use test and business activities test at the partnership level to determine the extent to which income derived from the sale or exchange is effectively connected with that U.S. business.<sup>490</sup> Under the ruling, if there is unrealized gain or loss in partnership assets that would be treated as effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business if those assets were sold by the partnership, some or all of the foreign person's gain or loss from the sale or exchange of a partnership interest may be treated as effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business. However, a 2017 Tax Court case rejects the logic of the ruling and instead holds that, generally, gain or loss on sale or exchange by a foreign person of an interest in a partnership that is engaged in a U.S. trade or business is foreign-source.<sup>491</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

Under the proposal, gain or loss from the sale or exchange of a partnership interest is effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business to the extent that the transferor would have had effectively connected gain or loss had the partnership sold all of its assets at fair market value as of the date of the sale or exchange. The proposal requires that any gain or loss from the hypothetical asset sale by the partnership be allocated to interests in the partnership in the same manner as nonseparately stated income and loss.

The proposal also requires the transferee of a partnership interest to withhold 10 percent of the amount realized on the sale or exchange of a partnership interest unless the transferor certifies that the transferor is not a nonresident alien individual or foreign corporation. If the transferee fails to withhold the correct amount, the partnership is required to deduct and withhold from distributions to the transferee partner an amount equal to the amount the transferee failed to withhold.

The proposal provides the Secretary of the Treasury with specific regulatory authority to address coordination with the nonrecognition provisions of the Code.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for sales and exchanges after December 31, 2017.

---

<sup>489</sup> Sec. 1445(e)(5). Temp. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1445-11T(b),(d).

<sup>490</sup> Rev. Rul. 91-32, 1991-1 C.B. 107.

<sup>491</sup> See *Grecian Magnesite Mining v. Commissioner*, 149 T.C. No. 3 (July 13, 2017).



## **2. Modification of the definition of substantial built-in loss in the case of transfer of partnership interest**

### **Present Law**

In general, a partnership does not adjust the basis of partnership property following the transfer of a partnership interest unless either the partnership has made a one-time election under section 754 to make basis adjustments, or the partnership has a substantial built-in loss immediately after the transfer.<sup>492</sup>

If an election is in effect, or if the partnership has a substantial built-in loss immediately after the transfer, adjustments are made with respect to the transferee partner. These adjustments are to account for the difference between the transferee partner's proportionate share of the adjusted basis of the partnership property and the transferee's basis in its partnership interest.<sup>493</sup> The adjustments are intended to adjust the basis of partnership property to approximate the result of a direct purchase of the property by the transferee partner.

Under the provision, a substantial built-in loss exists if the partnership's adjusted basis in its property exceeds by more than \$250,000 the fair market value of the partnership property.<sup>494</sup> Certain securitization partnerships and electing investment partnerships are not treated as having a substantial built-in loss in certain instances, and thus are not required to make basis adjustments to partnership property.<sup>495</sup> For electing investment partnerships, in lieu of the partnership basis adjustments, a partner-level loss limitation rule applies.<sup>496</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal modifies the definition of a substantial built-in loss for purposes of section 743(d), affecting transfers of partnership interests. Under the proposal, in addition to the present-law definition, a substantial built-in loss also exists if the transferee would be allocated a net loss in excess of \$250,000 upon a hypothetical disposition by the partnership of all partnership's assets in a fully taxable transaction for cash equal to the assets' fair market value, immediately after the transfer of the partnership interest.

For example, a partnership of three taxable partners (partners A, B, and C) has not made an election pursuant to section 754. The partnership has two assets, one of which, Asset X, has a built-in gain of \$1 million, while the other asset, Asset Y, has a built-in loss of \$900,000.

---

<sup>492</sup> Sec. 743(a).

<sup>493</sup> Sec. 743(b).

<sup>494</sup> Sec. 743(d).

<sup>495</sup> See sec. 743(e) (alternative rules for electing investment partnerships) and sec. 743(f) (exception for securitization partnerships).

<sup>496</sup> Unlike in the case of an electing investment partnership, the partner-level loss limitation rule does not apply for a securitization partnership.

Pursuant to the partnership agreement, any gain on sale or exchange of Asset X is specially allocated to partner A. The three partners share equally in all other partnership items, including in the built-in loss in Asset Y. In this case, each of partner B and partner C has a net built-in loss of \$300,000 (one third of the loss attributable to asset Y) allocable to his partnership interest. Nevertheless, the partnership does not have an overall built-in loss, but a net built-in gain of \$100,000 (\$1 million minus \$900,000). Partner C sells his partnership interest to another person, D, for \$33,333. Under the proposal, the test for a substantial built-in loss applies both at the partnership level and at the transferee partner level. If the partnership were to sell all its assets for cash at their fair market value immediately after the transfer to D, D would be allocated a loss of \$300,000 (one third of the built-in loss of \$900,000 in Asset Y). The partnership does not have a substantial built-in loss, but a substantial built-in loss exists under the partner-level test, and the partnership adjusts the basis of its assets accordingly with respect to D.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to transfers of partnership interests after December 31, 2017.

### **3. Charitable contributions and foreign taxes taken into account in determining limitation on allowance of partner's share of loss**

#### **Present Law**

A partner's distributive share of partnership loss (including capital loss) is allowed only to the extent of the adjusted basis (before reduction by current year's losses) of the partner's interest in the partnership at the end of the partnership taxable year in which the loss occurred. Any disallowed loss is allowable as a deduction at the end of the first succeeding partnership taxable year, and subsequent taxable years, to the extent that the partner's adjusted basis for its partnership interest at the end of any such year exceeds zero (before reduction by the loss for the year).<sup>497</sup>

A partner's basis in its partnership interest is increased by its distributive share of income (including tax exempt income) and is decreased (but not below zero) by distributions by the partnership and its distributive share of partnership losses and expenditures of the partnership not deductible in computing partnership taxable income and not properly chargeable to capital account.<sup>498</sup> In the case of a charitable contribution, a partner's basis is reduced by the partner's distributive share of the adjusted basis of the contributed property.<sup>499</sup>

A partnership computes its taxable income in the same manner as an individual with certain exceptions. The exceptions provide, in part, that the deductions for foreign taxes and

---

<sup>497</sup> Sec. 704(d) and Treas. Reg. sec. 1.704-1(d)(1).

<sup>498</sup> Sec. 705(a).

<sup>499</sup> Rev. Rul. 96-11, 1996-1 C. B. 140.

charitable contributions are not allowed to the partnership.<sup>500</sup> Instead, a partner takes into account its distributive share of the foreign taxes paid by the partnership and the charitable contributions made by the partnership for the taxable year.<sup>501</sup>

In applying the basis limitation on partner losses, Treasury regulations do not take into account the partner's share of partnership charitable contributions and foreign taxes paid or accrued.<sup>502</sup> The IRS has taken the position in a private letter ruling that the basis limitation on partner losses does not apply to limit the partner's deduction for its share of the partnership's charitable contributions.<sup>503</sup> While the regulations relating to the loss limitation do not mention the foreign tax credit, a taxpayer may choose the foreign tax credit in lieu of deducting foreign taxes.<sup>504</sup>

By contrast, under S corporation rules limiting the losses and deductions which may be taken into account by a shareholder of an S corporation to the shareholder's basis in stock and debt of the corporation, the shareholder's pro rata share of charitable contributions and foreign taxes are taken into account.<sup>505</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal modifies the basis limitation on partner losses to provide that a partner's distributive share of items that are not deductible in computing the partnership's taxable income, and not properly chargeable to capital account, are allowed only to the extent of the partner's

---

<sup>500</sup> Sec. 703(a)(2)(B) and (C). In addition, section 703(a)(2) provides that other deductions are not allowed to the partnership, notwithstanding that the partnership's taxable income is computed in the same manner as an individual's taxable income, specifically: personal exemptions, net operating loss deductions, certain itemized deductions for individuals, or depletion.

<sup>501</sup> Sec. 702.

<sup>502</sup> The regulation provides that "[i]f the partner's distributive share of the aggregate of items of loss specified in section 702(a)(1), (2), (3), (8) [now (7)], and (9) [now (8)] exceeds the basis of the partner's interest computed under the preceding sentence, the limitation on losses under section 704(d) must be allocated to his distributive share of each such loss." The regulation does not refer to section 702(a)(4) (charitable contributions) and 702(a)(6) (foreign taxes paid or accrued). Treas. Reg. sec. 1.704-1(d)(2).

<sup>503</sup> Priv. Ltr. Rul. 8405084. And see William S. McKee, William F. Nelson and Robert L. Whitmire, *Federal Taxation of Partnerships and Partners*, WG&L, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition (2011), paragraph 11.05[1][b], pp. 11-214 (noting that the "failure to include charitable contributions in the § 704(d) limitation is an apparent technical flaw in the statute. Because of it, a zero-basis partner may reap the benefits of a partnership charitable contribution without an offsetting decrease in the basis of his interest, whereas a fellow partner who happens to have a positive basis may do so only at the cost of a basis decrease.").

<sup>504</sup> Sec. 901.

<sup>505</sup> Sec. 1366(d) and sec. 1366(a)(1). In connection with the application of the section 1366(d) limitation to charitable contributions, section 1366(d)(4) provides a special rule prorating the amount of appreciation not subject to the limitation in the case of charitable contributions of appreciated property by the S corporation. Under a related rule, the shareholder's basis in his interest is decreased by the basis (rather than the fair market value) of appreciated property by reason of a charitable contribution of the property by the S corporation (temporarily through 2013) (sec. 1367(a)(2)).

adjusted basis in its partnership interest at the end of the partnership taxable year in which the expenditure occurs. Thus, the basis limitation on partner losses applies to a partner's distributive share of charitable contributions and foreign taxes.

**Effective Date**

The proposal applies to partnership taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **K. Determination of Worker Classification and Information Reporting Requirements**

### **Present Law**

#### **Worker classification**

##### **In general**

The classification of a worker as an employee or not as an employee (that is, a self-employed individual in most cases) is relevant for many tax purposes. These purposes include employment tax requirements, exclusions from gross income for certain types of compensation, and expense deductions. Some purposes favor employee status, while others favor self-employed status. For example, an employee may exclude employer-provided health benefits from gross income. On the other hand, a self-employed individual may deduct work-related expenses in determining adjusted gross income.

##### **Common-law test and section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978**

The largest body of tax law relating to worker classification has developed in connection with employment taxes.<sup>506</sup> Employment tax responsibility generally rests with the person who is the employer of an employee under a common-law test that has been incorporated into Treasury regulations.<sup>507</sup> Under the regulations, an employer-employee relationship generally exists if the person for whom services are performed has the right to control and direct the individual who performs the services, not only as to the result to be accomplished by the work, but also as to the details and means by which that result is accomplished. That is, an employee is subject to the will and control of the employer, not only as to what is to be done, but also as to how it is to be done. It is not necessary that the employer actually control the manner in which the services are performed, rather it is sufficient that the employer have a right to control. Whether the requisite control exists is determined on the basis of all the relevant facts and circumstances.

Various cases and administrative guidance have identified various facts or factors that are relevant in determining whether an employer-employee relationship exists. Based on an examination of cases and rulings, the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) developed a list of 20 factors that may be examined in determining whether an employer-employee relationship exists.<sup>508</sup> The degree of importance of each factor varies depending on the occupation and the factual context in which the services are performed.

---

<sup>506</sup> Employment taxes consist of taxes under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act (“FICA”), secs. 3101-3128, the Railroad Retirement Tax Act (“RTTA”), secs. 3201-3233, and the Federal Unemployment Tax Act (“FUTA”), secs. 3301-3311, and required income tax withholding, secs. 3401-3404.

<sup>507</sup> Treas. Reg. secs. 31.3121(d)-1(c)(1), 31.3306(i)-1(a), and 31.3401(c)-1.

<sup>508</sup> Rev. Rul. 87-41, 1987-1 C.B. 296. The 20 factors identified by the IRS are: (1) instructions, (2) training, (3) integration of the worker’s services into business operations, (4) services to be rendered personally, (5) hiring, supervision, and paying assistants, (6) continuing relationship, (7) set hours of work, (8) full-time services required, (9) work on service recipient’s premises, (10) required order or sequence of work, (11) oral or written

More recently, the IRS has identified three categories of evidence that may be relevant in determining whether the requisite control exists under the common-law test and has grouped illustrative factors under these three categories: (1) behavioral control; (2) financial control; and (3) relationship of the parties.<sup>509</sup> The IRS emphasizes that factors in addition to the 20 identified factors may be relevant, that the weight of the factors may vary based on the circumstances, that relevant factors may change over time, and that all facts must be examined.

Generally, individuals who follow an independent trade, business, or profession in which they offer services to the public are not employees. Courts have recognized that a highly educated or skilled worker does not require close supervision; therefore, the degree of day-to-day control over the worker's performance of services is not particularly helpful in determining the worker's status. Courts have considered other factors in these cases, tending to focus on the individual's ability to realize a profit or suffer a loss as evidenced by business investments and expenses.

Under section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1978<sup>510</sup> ("section 530"), if certain requirements are met, a taxpayer may generally treat a worker as not being an employee for employment tax purposes, regardless of the worker's actual status under the common-law test, unless the taxpayer has no reasonable basis for such treatment. For this purpose, a reasonable basis exists if the taxpayer reasonably relied on (1) past IRS audit practice with respect to the taxpayer, (2) published rulings or judicial precedent, (3) long-standing recognized practice in the industry of which the taxpayer is a member, or (4) any other reasonable basis. Relief under section 530 also requires that the taxpayer not have treated the worker as an employee for any period, and, for periods after 1978, all Federal tax returns, including information returns, must have been filed on a basis consistent with treating the worker as not being an employee. Further, the taxpayer (or a predecessor) must not have treated any worker holding a substantially similar position as an employee for purposes of employment taxes for any period beginning after 1977.

Section 530 also generally prohibits Treasury and the IRS from publishing regulations and revenue rulings with respect to the employment status of any individual for employment tax purposes. However, a service provider or service recipient may generally obtain a written

---

reports required, (12) payment by the hour, week, or month, (13) payment of business or travel expenses, (14) furnishing of tools and materials by service recipient, (15) significant investment by the worker, (16) ability to realize a profit or loss by the worker, (17) working for more than one firm at a time, (18) services available to the general public, (19) service recipient has right to discharge, and (20) worker has right to terminate relationship.

<sup>509</sup> Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, *Independent Contractor or Employee? Training Materials*, Training 3320-102 (10-96) TPDS 84238I, pp. 2-7. This document is publicly available through the IRS website.

<sup>510</sup> Pub. L. No. 95-600. The relief provided under section 530 was initially temporary to give Congress time to resolve the many complex issues regarding worker classification. However, after being extended more than once, it was made permanent and has been amended several times over the years.

determination from the IRS regarding the status of a particular worker as an employee or independent contractor for purposes of Federal employment taxes and income tax withholding.<sup>511</sup>

### Statutory employee or nonemployee status

The Code contains various provisions that prescribe treatment of a specific category or type of worker as an employee or as not being an employee. Some of these provisions apply for Federal tax purposes generally; for example, certain real estate agents and direct sellers are treated for all tax purposes as not being employees.<sup>512</sup> Others apply only for specific purposes; for example, full-time life insurance salesmen are treated as employees for social security and Medicare tax and employee benefit purposes,<sup>513</sup> and certain other salesmen are treated as employees for social security and Medicare tax purposes.<sup>514</sup>

### **Reporting requirements**

#### Returns relating to payments made of fixed or determinable income or compensation and relating to payments for services

Present law imposes a variety of information reporting requirements on participants in certain transactions.<sup>515</sup> These requirements are intended to assist taxpayers in preparing their income tax returns and to help the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) determine whether such returns are correct and complete.

The primary provision governing information reporting by payors requires an information return by every person engaged in a trade or business who makes payments aggregating \$600 or more in any taxable year to a single payee in the course of the payor’s trade or business.<sup>516</sup> Payments to corporations generally are excepted from this requirement. Payments subject to reporting include fixed or determinable income or compensation, but do not include payments for goods or certain enumerated types of payments that are subject to other specific reporting

---

<sup>511</sup> IRS Form SS-8 (Rev. 11-2006). A written determination with regard to prior employment status may be issued by the IRS. The IRS will not issue a written determination with respect to prospective employment status. Section 3.01(104) of Rev. Proc. 2017-3, 2017-1 I.R.B. 130, 136. Under section 7436, if the IRS determines that a worker is an employee for employment tax purposes of the person for whom services are performed, or that the person is not entitled to relief under section 530, the person may petition the Tax Court for a determination of whether the IRS determination is correct and the proper amount of employment tax.

<sup>512</sup> Sec. 3508.

<sup>513</sup> Secs. 3121(d)(3)(B) and 7701(a)(20).

<sup>514</sup> Sec. 3121(d)(3)(D).

<sup>515</sup> Secs. 6031 through 6060.

<sup>516</sup> The information return generally is submitted electronically as a Form 1099 or Form 1096, although certain payments to beneficiaries or employees may require use of Form 1041 or Forms W-2 and W-3, respectively. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.6041-1(a)(2).

requirements.<sup>517</sup> Detailed rules are provided for the reporting of various types of investment income, including interest, dividends, and gross proceeds from brokered transactions (such as a sale of stock) paid to U.S. persons.<sup>518</sup>

Special information reporting requirements exist for employers required to deduct and withhold tax from employees' income.<sup>519</sup> In addition, any service recipient engaged in a trade or business and paying for services is required to make a return according to regulations when the aggregate of payments is \$600 or more.<sup>520</sup>

The payor of amounts described above is required to provide the recipient of the payment with an annual statement showing the aggregate payments made and contact information for the payor.<sup>521</sup> The statement must be supplied to taxpayers by the payors by January 31 of the following calendar year. Payors generally must file the information return with the IRS on or before January 31 of the year following the calendar year to which such returns relate.<sup>522</sup>

#### Returns relating to payments made in settlement of third party network transactions

Any payment settlement entity making payment to a participating payee in settlement of reportable payment transactions must report annually to the IRS and to the participating payee the gross amount of such reportable payment transactions, as well as the name, address, and TIN of the participating payees. A "reportable payment transaction" means any payment card transaction and any third party network transaction.

A "payment settlement entity" means, in the case of a payment card transaction, a merchant acquiring entity and, in the case of a third party network transaction, a third party settlement organization. A "participating payee" means, in the case of a third party network transaction, any person who accepts payment from a third party settlement organization in settlement of such transaction.

For purposes of the reporting requirement, the term "third party network transaction" means any transaction which is settled through a third party payment network. A "third party payment network" is defined as any agreement or arrangement (1) which involves the establishment of accounts with a central organization by a substantial number of persons (*i.e.*,

---

<sup>517</sup> Sec. 6041(a) requires reporting as to fixed or determinable gains, profits, and income (other than payments to which secs. 6042(a)(1), 6044(a)(1), 6047(c), 6049(a), or 6050N(a) applies and other than payments with respect to which a statement is required under authority of section 6042(a), 6044(a)(2) or 6045). These payments excepted from section 6041(a) include most interest, royalties, and dividends.

<sup>518</sup> Secs. 6042 (dividends), 6045 (broker reporting) and 6049 (interest) and the Treasury regulations thereunder.

<sup>519</sup> Sec. 6051(a).

<sup>520</sup> Sec. 6041A.

<sup>521</sup> Secs. 6041(d), 6041A(e).

<sup>522</sup> Sec. 6071(c).



more than 50) who are unrelated to such organization, provide goods or services, and have agreed to settle transactions for the provision of such goods or services pursuant to such agreement or arrangement; (2) which provides for standards and mechanisms for settling such transactions; and (3) which guarantees persons providing goods or services pursuant to such agreement or arrangement that such persons will be paid for providing such goods or services. In the case of a third party network transaction, the payment settlement entity is the third party settlement organization, which is defined as the central organization which has the contractual obligation to make payment to participating payees of third party network transactions. Thus, an organization generally is required to report if it provides a network enabling buyers to transfer funds to sellers who have established accounts with the organization and have a contractual obligation to accept payment through the network. However, an organization operating a network which merely processes electronic payments (such as wire transfers, electronic checks, and direct deposit payments) between buyers and sellers, but does not have contractual agreements with sellers to use such network, is not required to report under the provision. Similarly, an agreement to transfer funds between two demand deposit accounts will not, by itself, constitute a third party network transaction.

A third party payment network does not include any agreement or arrangement which provides for the issuance of payment cards. In addition, a third party settlement organization is not required to report unless the aggregate value of third party network transactions for the year exceeds \$20,000 and the aggregate number of such transactions exceeds 200.<sup>523</sup> If a payment of funds is made to a third party settlement organization by means of a payment card (*e.g.*, as part of a transaction that is a payment card transaction), the \$20,000 and 200 transaction *de minimis* rule continues to apply to any reporting obligation with respect to payment of such funds to a participating payee by the third party settlement organization made as part of a third party network transaction.

### **Description of Proposal**

#### **Worker classification safe harbor**

##### **In general**

The proposal provides a safe harbor under which, for all Code purposes (and notwithstanding any Code provision to the contrary), if certain requirements are met with respect to service performed by a service provider, with respect to such service: (1) the service provider is not treated as an employee, (2) the service recipient is not treated as an employer, (3) a payor is not treated as an employer, and (4) the compensation paid or received for the service is not treated as paid or received with respect to employment.<sup>524</sup>

---

<sup>523</sup> Sec. 6050W(e).

<sup>524</sup> The proposal also amends the direct seller rules under section 3508 to include a person engaged in the trade or business of selling, or soliciting the sale of, promotional products from other than a permanent retail establishment. For this purpose, a promotional product is a tangible item with permanently marked promotional words, symbols, or art of the purchaser.

For purposes of the proposal, a service provider is any qualified person who performs service for another person, and a qualified person is any natural person or any entity if any of the services performed for another person are performed by one or more natural persons who directly own interests in such entity. The service recipient is the person for whom the service provider performs service. A payor is a person (including the service recipient) that pays the service provider for performing the service, or any marketplace platform which is any person who operates a digital website or mobile application that facilitates the provision of goods or services by providers to recipients, who enters into an agreement with each provider that such provider will not be treated as an employee with respect to such goods and services, who provides standards and mechanisms for settling such transactions, and guarantees each service provider of payment for transactions.<sup>525</sup>

The proposal does not apply with respect to any service provided by a service provider to a service recipient or payor if the service provider owns any interest in the service recipient or payor, with the exception of a service recipient or payor, the stock of which is regularly traded on an established securities market.

Under the proposal, notwithstanding section 530 of the Revenue Act of 1979, the Secretary of the Treasury is directed to issue such regulations as the Secretary determines are necessary to carry out the purposes of the proposal. Nothing in the proposal is to be construed as limiting the ability or right of a service provider, service recipient, or payor to apply any other Code provision, section 530, or any common law rules for determining whether an individual is an employee, or as establishing a prerequisite for the application of any of those areas of law.

#### Service provider requirements

In order for this treatment to apply to service, in connection with performing the service, the service provider generally must (1) incur expenses which are deductible as trade or business expenses and a significant portion of which are reimbursed; (2) agree to perform the service for a particular amount of time, to achieve a specific result, or to complete a specific task; (3) have a significant investment in assets or training applicable to the service performed, not be required to perform services exclusively for the service recipient, have not performed substantially the same services for the service recipient or payor as an employee during the one-year period ending with the date of commencement of services under a contract meeting the requirements described below, or not be compensated on a basis which is tied primarily to the number of hours actually worked. Alternatively, in the case of a service provider engaged in the trade or business of selling (or soliciting the sale of) goods or services, the service provider must be compensated primarily on a commission basis, and substantially all the compensation for the service must be directly related to sales of goods or services rather than to the number of hours worked. In addition, any service provider must have a principal place of business, must not primarily provide the service in the service recipient's place of business, must pay a fair market rent for use of the service recipient's place of business, or must provide the service primarily using equipment supplied by the service provider.

---

<sup>525</sup> The proposal amends section 7436 to allow a petition to be filed by a service recipient, a payor, or a service provider whom the IRS has determined should have been treated as an employee.

### Contract and reporting requirements

The service performed by the service provider must be pursuant to a written contract between the service provider and the service recipient (or the payor, if applicable) that meets certain requirements. First, the contract must include the service provider's name, taxpayer identification number, and address; a statement that the service provider will not be treated as an employee for purposes of the Code with respect to the service provided pursuant to the contract; a statement that the service recipient (or the payor) will, consistent with Code requirements, withhold on and report to the IRS the compensation payable pursuant to the contract; a statement that the service provider is responsible for the payment of Federal, State, and local taxes, including self-employment taxes, on compensation payable pursuant to the contract; and a statement that the contract is intended to be a contract meeting the applicable requirements. The contract does not fail to meet these requirements merely because the service provider's name, taxpayer identification number, and address are collected at the time of payment for the services and not in advance, or because the contract provides for an agent of the service recipient or payor to fulfill the responsibilities of the service recipient or payor. Second, the term of the contract generally must not exceed two years; however, a contract can be renewed in writing one or more times if the term of each renewal does not exceed two years and if the required information in the contract is updated in connection with the renewal. Third, the contract or renewal must be signed, including electronically, by both the service recipient or payor and the service provider no later than the date on which aggregate payments made by the service recipient to the service provider exceed \$1000.

If, for a taxable year, the service recipient or payor fails to meet the reporting requirements applicable with respect to any service provider ("applicable" reporting requirements),<sup>526</sup> the safe harbor does not apply for purposes of making any determination with respect to the tax liability of the service recipient or payor with respect to such service provider for the year (unless the failure is due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect).

### Prospective application of reclassification

In the case of a determination by the IRS that a service recipient or a payor should have treated a service provider as an employee, if certain requirements are met, the determination will not be effective earlier than the "notice date." In order for this rule to apply, the service recipient or payor must have entered into a written contract with the service provider that meets the requirements described above, for all relevant taxable years the service recipient or the payor must have satisfied the applicable withholding and reporting requirements with respect to the

---

<sup>526</sup> The applicable reporting requirements relate to, under section 6041(a), a person engaged in a trade or business that makes payments of \$600 or more (\$1,000 or more under the proposal, as discussed below) to another person, under section 6041A(a), a service recipient engaged in a trade or business that pays \$600 or more (\$1,000 or more under the proposal, as discussed below) in remuneration to another person for services, or under section 6050W(a), a marketplace platform settling payments above the minimum threshold with a provider of goods or services engaging in third party network transactions. Under the proposal, reporting by a service recipient or payor required under section 6041 or 6041A with respect to compensation paid under the proposal must include the aggregate amount of such compensation paid to each person whose name is required to be included on the report, the aggregate amount of income tax withheld from the compensation (as discussed below), and an indication of whether a copy of the contract required under the proposal is on file with the service recipient or payor.

service provider (unless the failure to satisfy the requirements is due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect), the service recipient or payor must have collected and paid over all applicable employment taxes for all relevant taxable years with respect to the service provider (unless the failure to satisfy the requirements is due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect), and the service recipient or the payor must demonstrate a reasonable basis for determining that the service provider is not an employee under the safe harbor and that the determination was made in good faith.

Similarly, with respect to the service provider, a determination that the service provider should have been treated as an employee will not be effective earlier than the notice date if the service provider entered into a written contract with the service recipient or payor that meets the requirements described above, for all relevant taxable years the service provider satisfied applicable income tax and self-employment tax return requirements<sup>527</sup> with respect to the service recipient or payor (unless the failure to satisfy the requirements is due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect), and the service provider demonstrates a reasonable basis for determining that the service provider is not an employee under the safe harbor and that the determination was made in good faith.

For this purpose, the “notice date” is the 30th day after the earlier of (1) the date on which the first letter of proposed deficiency that allows the service provider, service recipient, or payor an opportunity for administrative review in the IRS Office of Appeals is sent, (2) the date on which a deficiency notice is sent, or (3) the date on which a notice of determination that a service provider is an employee is sent.

#### Withholding requirements

The proposal imposes an income tax withholding requirement with respect to compensation paid pursuant to a contract between a service provider and a service recipient (or payer) that meets the requirements described above. For this purpose, a payment of such compensation is treated as a payment of wages by an employer to an employee. However, the amount required to be withheld is five percent of the compensation and only on compensation up to \$20,000 paid pursuant to the contract.<sup>528</sup>

#### **Reporting requirements**

##### Returns relating to payments made of fixed or determinable income a compensation and relating to payments for services

This proposal increases the reporting threshold for two categories of reportable payments from aggregate payments of \$600 or more to \$1000 or more. The first category is payments of fixed or determinable income or compensation not including payments for goods or certain

---

<sup>527</sup> Secs. 6012(a) and 6017.

<sup>528</sup> The proposal also amends the voluntary withholding rules under section 3402(p) to provide that a voluntary withholding agreement is not taken into account in determining whether any party to the agreement is an employee or an employer for Code purposes.

enumerated types of payments subject to other reporting requirements. The second category is payments for services received from any service recipient engaged in a trade or business paying for such services.

#### Returns relating to payments made in settlement of third party network transactions

This proposal defines a marketplace platform as a person which is a central organization and who operates a website, mobile application, or similar system through which users may transact for the provision of goods or services to recipients and through which the organization settles such transactions and guarantees payments to providers. In the agreement with each provider, the central organization classified as a marketplace platform must state that the provider will not be treated as an employee with respect to their provision of goods or services.

This proposal also changes the *de minimis* rule for aggregate third party network transactions to a participating payee below which a third party settlement organization is not required to report. A third party settlement organization is generally required to report third party network transactions with any participating payee that exceed a minimum threshold of \$1,000 in aggregate payments, regardless of the aggregate number of such transactions.

Certain third party settlement organizations may instead elect to report once third party network transactions with a participating payee either exceed \$5,000 or exceed 50 in number, whichever occurs first. Third party settlement organizations that are marketplace platforms may opt to report using the higher threshold if substantially all of the participating payees to which it makes reportable payments are primarily engaged in the sale of goods on such platform. Third party settlement organizations that are not marketplace platforms may opt to report using the higher threshold third party network transactions with participating payees who are primarily engaged in the sale of goods in these transactions.

#### Effective Date

##### Worker classification

The proposal is generally effective for services performed after December 31, 2017, and amounts paid for such services after such date. However, a contract, and a service recipient or payor, will not be treated as failing to meet the requirements under the proposal with respect to compensation paid to a service provider before 180 days after the date of enactment of the proposal.

##### Reporting requirements

The proposal applies to payments made after December 31, 2018.

## L. Tax-Exempt Organizations

### 1. Excise tax based on investment income of private colleges and universities

#### Present Law

##### Public charities and private foundations

An organization qualifying for tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3) is further classified as either a public charity or a private foundation. An organization may qualify as a public charity in several ways.<sup>529</sup> Certain organizations are classified as public charities *per se*, regardless of their sources of support. These include churches, certain schools, hospitals and other medical organizations, certain organizations providing assistance to colleges and universities, and governmental units.<sup>530</sup> Other organizations qualify as public charities because they are broadly publicly supported. First, a charity may qualify as publicly supported if at least one-third of its total support is from gifts, grants or other contributions from governmental units or the general public.<sup>531</sup> Alternatively, it may qualify as publicly supported if it receives more than one-third of its total support from a combination of gifts, grants, and contributions from governmental units and the public plus revenue arising from activities related to its exempt purposes (*e.g.*, fee for service income). In addition, this category of public charity must not rely excessively on endowment income as a source of support.<sup>532</sup> A supporting organization, *i.e.*, an organization that provides support to another section 501(c)(3) entity that is not a private foundation and meets the requirements of the Code, also is classified as a public charity.<sup>533</sup>

---

<sup>529</sup> The Code does not expressly define the term “public charity,” but rather provides exceptions to those entities that are treated as private foundations.

<sup>530</sup> Sec. 509(a)(1) (referring to sections 170(b)(1)(A)(i) through (iv) for a description of these organizations).

<sup>531</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.170A-9(f)(2). Failing this mechanical test, the organization may qualify as a public charity if it passes a “facts and circumstances” test. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.170A-9(f)(3).

<sup>532</sup> To meet this requirement, the organization must normally receive more than one-third of its support from a combination of (1) gifts, grants, contributions, or membership fees and (2) certain gross receipts from admissions, sales of merchandise, performance of services, and furnishing of facilities in connection with activities that are related to the organization’s exempt purposes. Sec. 509(a)(2)(A). In addition, the organization must not normally receive more than one-third of its public support in each taxable year from the sum of (1) gross investment income and (2) the excess of unrelated business taxable income as determined under section 512 over the amount of unrelated business income tax imposed by section 511. Sec. 509(a)(2)(B).

<sup>533</sup> Sec. 509(a)(3). Supporting organizations are further classified as Type I, II, or III depending on the relationship they have with the organizations they support. Supporting organizations must support public charities listed in one of the other categories (*i.e.*, *per se* public charities, broadly supported public charities, or revenue generating public charities), and they are not permitted to support other supporting organizations or testing for public safety organizations.

A section 501(c)(3) organization that does not fit within any of the above categories is a private foundation. In general, private foundations receive funding from a limited number of sources (*e.g.*, an individual, a family, or a corporation).

The deduction for charitable contributions to private foundations is in some instances less generous than the deduction for charitable contributions to public charities. In addition, private foundations are subject to a number of operational rules and restrictions that do not apply to public charities.<sup>534</sup>

### **Excise tax on investment income of private foundations**

Under section 4940(a), private foundations that are recognized as exempt from Federal income tax under section 501(a) (other than exempt operating foundations)<sup>535</sup> are subject to a two-percent excise tax on their net investment income. Net investment income generally includes interest, dividends, rents, royalties (and income from similar sources), and capital gain net income, and is reduced by expenses incurred to earn this income. The two-percent rate of tax is reduced to one-percent in any year in which a foundation exceeds the average historical level of its charitable distributions. Specifically, the excise tax rate is reduced if the foundation's qualifying distributions (generally, amounts paid to accomplish exempt purposes)<sup>536</sup> equal or exceed the sum of (1) the amount of the foundation's assets for the taxable year multiplied by the average percentage of the foundation's qualifying distributions over the five taxable years immediately preceding the taxable year in question, and (2) one percent of the net investment income of the foundation for the taxable year.<sup>537</sup> In addition, the foundation cannot have been

---

Organizations organized and operated exclusively for testing for public safety also are classified as public charities. Sec. 509(a)(4). Such organizations, however, are not eligible to receive deductible charitable contributions under section 170.

<sup>534</sup> Unlike public charities, private foundations are subject to tax on their net investment income at a rate of two percent (one percent in some cases). Sec. 4940. Private foundations also are subject to more restrictions on their activities than are public charities. For example, private foundations are prohibited from engaging in self-dealing transactions (sec. 4941), are required to make a minimum amount of charitable distributions each year, (sec. 4942), are limited in the extent to which they may control a business (sec. 4943), may not make speculative investments (sec. 4944), and may not make certain expenditures (sec. 4945). Violations of these rules result in excise taxes on the foundation and, in some cases, may result in excise taxes on the managers of the foundation.

<sup>535</sup> Exempt operating foundations are exempt from the section 4940 tax. Sec. 4940(d)(1). Exempt operating foundations generally include organizations such as museums or libraries that devote their assets to operating charitable programs but have difficulty meeting the "public support" tests necessary not to be classified as a private foundation. To be an exempt operating foundation, an organization must: (1) be an operating foundation (as defined in section 4942(j)(3)); (2) be publicly supported for at least 10 taxable years; (3) have a governing body no more than 25 percent of whom are disqualified persons and that is broadly representative of the general public; and (4) have no officers who are disqualified persons. Sec. 4940(d)(2).

<sup>536</sup> Sec. 4942(g).

<sup>537</sup> Sec. 4940(e).

subject to tax in any of the five preceding years for failure to meet minimum qualifying distribution requirements in section 4942.

Private foundations that are not exempt from tax under section 501(a), such as certain charitable trusts, are subject to an excise tax under section 4940(b). The tax is equal to the excess of the sum of the excise tax that would have been imposed under section 4940(a) if the foundation were tax exempt and the amount of the tax on unrelated business income that would have been imposed if the foundation were tax exempt, over the income tax imposed on the foundation under subtitle A of the Code.

Private foundations are required to make a minimum amount of qualifying distributions each year to avoid tax under section 4942. The minimum amount of qualifying distributions a foundation has to make to avoid tax under section 4942 is reduced by the amount of section 4940 excise taxes paid.<sup>538</sup>

### **Private colleges and universities**

Private colleges and universities generally are treated as public charities rather than private foundations<sup>539</sup> and thus are not subject to the private foundation excise tax on net investment income.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal imposes an excise tax on an applicable educational institution for each taxable year equal to 1.4 percent of the net investment income of the institution for the taxable year. Net investment income is determined using rules similar to the rules of section 4940(c) (relating to the net investment income of a private foundation).

For purposes of the proposal, an applicable educational institution is an institution: (1) that has at least 500 tuition-paying students during the preceding taxable year; (2) that is an eligible education institution as described in section 25A of the Code<sup>540</sup>; (3) that is not described in the first section of section 511(a)(2)(B) of the Code (generally describing State colleges and universities); and (4) the aggregate fair market value of the assets of which at the end of the preceding taxable year (other than those assets which are used directly in carrying out the institution's exempt purpose<sup>541</sup>) is at least \$250,000 per student. For these purposes, the number

---

<sup>538</sup> Sec. 4942(d)(2).

<sup>539</sup> Secs. 509(a)(1) and 170(b)(1)(A)(ii).

<sup>540</sup> Section 25A defines an eligible educational institution as an institution (1) which is described in section 481 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. sec. 1088), as in effect on August 5, 1977, and (2) which is eligible to participate in a program under title IV of such Act.

<sup>541</sup> Assets used directly in carrying out the institution's exempt purpose include, for example, classroom buildings and physical facilities used for educational activities and office equipment or other administrative assets used by employees of the institution in carrying out exempt activities, among other assets.



of students of an institution is based on the daily average number of full-time students attending the institution, with part-time students being taken into account on a full-time student equivalent basis.

For purposes of determining whether an institution meets the asset-per-student threshold and determining net investment income, assets and net investment income include amounts with respect to an organization that is related to the institution. An organization is treated as related to the institution for this purpose if the organization: (1) controls, or is controlled by, the institution; (2) is controlled by one or more persons that control the institution; or (3) is a supported organization<sup>542</sup> or a supporting organization<sup>543</sup> during the taxable year with respect to the institution.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **2. Name and logo royalties treated as unrelated business taxable income**

### **Present Law**

#### **Tax exemption for certain organizations**

Section 501(a) exempts certain organizations from Federal income tax. Such organizations include: (1) tax-exempt organizations described in section 501(c) (including among others section 501(c)(3) charitable organizations and section 501(c)(4) social welfare organizations); (2) religious and apostolic organizations described in section 501(d); and (3) trusts forming part of a pension, profit-sharing, or stock bonus plan of an employer described in section 401(a).

#### **Unrelated business income tax, in general**

An exempt organization generally may have revenue from four sources: contributions, gifts, and grants; trade or business income that is related to exempt activities (*e.g.*, program service revenue); investment income; and trade or business income that is not related to exempt activities. The Federal income tax exemption generally extends to the first three categories, and does not extend to an organization's unrelated trade or business income. In some cases, however, the investment income of an organization is taxed as if it were unrelated trade or business income.<sup>544</sup>

---

<sup>542</sup> Secs. 509(f)(3).

<sup>543</sup> Secs. 509(a)(3).

<sup>544</sup> This is the case for social clubs (sec. 501(c)(7)), voluntary employees' beneficiary associations (sec. 501(c)(9)), and organizations and trusts described in sections 501(c)(17) and 501(c)(20). Sec. 512(a)(3).

The unrelated business income tax (“UBIT”) generally applies to income derived from a trade or business regularly carried on by the organization that is not substantially related to the performance of the organization’s tax-exempt functions.<sup>545</sup> An organization that is subject to UBIT and that has \$1,000 or more of gross unrelated business taxable income must report that income on Form 990-T (Exempt Organization Business Income Tax Return).

Most exempt organizations may operate an unrelated trade or business so long as the organization remains primarily engaged in activities that further its exempt purposes. Therefore, an organization may engage in a substantial amount of unrelated business activity without jeopardizing exempt status. A section 501(c)(3) (charitable) organization, however, may not operate an unrelated trade or business as a substantial part of its activities.<sup>546</sup> Therefore, the unrelated trade or business activity of a section 501(c)(3) organization must be insubstantial.

### **Organizations subject to tax on unrelated business income**

Most exempt organizations are subject to the tax on unrelated business income. Specifically, organizations subject to the unrelated business income tax generally include: (1) organizations exempt from tax under section 501(a), including organizations described in section 501(c) (except for U.S. instrumentalities and certain charitable trusts);<sup>547</sup> (2) qualified pension, profit-sharing, and stock bonus plans described in section 401(a);<sup>548</sup> and (3) certain State colleges and universities.<sup>549</sup>

### **Exclusions from unrelated business taxable income**

Certain types of income are specifically exempt from unrelated business taxable income, such as dividends, interest, royalties, and certain rents,<sup>550</sup> unless derived from debt-financed property or from certain 50-percent controlled subsidiaries.<sup>551</sup> Other exemptions from UBIT are provided for activities in which substantially all the work is performed by volunteers, for income from the sale of donated goods, and for certain activities carried on for the convenience of members, students, patients, officers, or employees of a charitable organization. In addition, special UBIT provisions exempt from tax activities of trade shows and State fairs, income from bingo games, and income from the distribution of low-cost items incidental to the solicitation of charitable contributions. Organizations liable for tax on unrelated business taxable income may

---

<sup>545</sup> Secs. 511-514.

<sup>546</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.501(c)(3)-1(e).

<sup>547</sup> Sec. 511(a)(2)(A).

<sup>548</sup> Sec. 511(a)(2)(A).

<sup>549</sup> Sec. 511(a)(2)(B).

<sup>550</sup> Secs. 511-514.

<sup>551</sup> Sec. 512(b)(13).

be liable for alternative minimum tax determined after taking into account adjustments and tax preference items.

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal modifies the UBIT treatment of the licensing of an organization's name or logo generally to subject royalty income derived from such a license to UBIT. Specifically, the proposal amends section 513 (regarding unrelated trades or businesses) to provide that any sale or licensing by an organization of any name or logo of the organization (including any trademark or copyright related to a name or logo) is treated as an unrelated trade or business that is regularly carried on by the organization. In addition, the proposal amends section 512 (regarding unrelated business taxable income) to provide that income derived from any such licensing of a name or logo of the organization is included in the organization's gross unrelated business taxable income, notwithstanding the provisions of section 512 that otherwise exclude certain types of passive income (including royalties) from unrelated business taxable income.<sup>552</sup>

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **3. Unrelated business taxable income separately computed for each trade or business**

### **Present Law**

#### **Tax exemption for certain organizations**

Section 501(a) exempts certain organizations from Federal income tax. Such organizations include: (1) tax-exempt organizations described in section 501(c) (including among others section 501(c)(3) charitable organizations and section 501(c)(4) social welfare organizations); (2) religious and apostolic organizations described in section 501(d); and (3) trusts forming part of a pension, profit-sharing, or stock bonus plan of an employer described in section 401(a).

#### **Unrelated business income tax, in general**

An exempt organization generally may have revenue from four sources: contributions, gifts, and grants; trade or business income that is related to exempt activities (*e.g.*, program service revenue); investment income; and trade or business income that is not related to exempt activities. The Federal income tax exemption generally extends to the first three categories, and does not extend to an organization's unrelated trade or business income. In some cases,

---

<sup>552</sup> Specifically, the proposal references sections 512(b)(1), (2), (3) and (5).

however, the investment income of an organization is taxed as if it were unrelated trade or business income.<sup>553</sup>

The unrelated business income tax (“UBIT”) generally applies to income derived from a trade or business regularly carried on by the organization that is not substantially related to the performance of the organization’s tax-exempt functions.<sup>554</sup> An organization that is subject to UBIT and that has \$1,000 or more of gross unrelated business taxable income must report that income on Form 990-T (Exempt Organization Business Income Tax Return).

Most exempt organizations may operate an unrelated trade or business so long as the organization remains primarily engaged in activities that further its exempt purposes. Therefore, an organization may engage in a substantial amount of unrelated business activity without jeopardizing exempt status. A section 501(c)(3) (charitable) organization, however, may not operate an unrelated trade or business as a substantial part of its activities.<sup>555</sup> Therefore, the unrelated trade or business activity of a section 501(c)(3) organization must be insubstantial.

### **Organizations subject to tax on unrelated business income**

Most exempt organizations are subject to the tax on unrelated business income. Specifically, organizations subject to the unrelated business income tax generally include: (1) organizations exempt from tax under section 501(a), including organizations described in section 501(c) (except for U.S. instrumentalities and certain charitable trusts);<sup>556</sup> (2) qualified pension, profit-sharing, and stock bonus plans described in section 401(a);<sup>557</sup> and (3) certain State colleges and universities.<sup>558</sup>

### **Exclusions from unrelated business taxable income**

Certain types of income are specifically exempt from unrelated business taxable income, such as dividends, interest, royalties, and certain rents,<sup>559</sup> unless derived from debt-financed property or from certain 50-percent controlled subsidiaries.<sup>560</sup> Other exemptions from UBIT are provided for activities in which substantially all the work is performed by volunteers, for income

---

<sup>553</sup> This is the case for social clubs (sec. 501(c)(7)), voluntary employees’ beneficiary associations (sec. 501(c)(9)), and organizations and trusts described in sections 501(c)(17) and 501(c)(20). Sec. 512(a)(3).

<sup>554</sup> Secs. 511-514.

<sup>555</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.501(c)(3)-1(e).

<sup>556</sup> Sec. 511(a)(2)(A).

<sup>557</sup> Sec. 511(a)(2)(A).

<sup>558</sup> Sec. 511(a)(2)(B).

<sup>559</sup> Secs. 511-514.

<sup>560</sup> Sec. 512(b)(13).

from the sale of donated goods, and for certain activities carried on for the convenience of members, students, patients, officers, or employees of a charitable organization. In addition, special UBIT provisions exempt from tax activities of trade shows and State fairs, income from bingo games, and income from the distribution of low-cost items incidental to the solicitation of charitable contributions. Organizations liable for tax on unrelated business taxable income may be liable for alternative minimum tax determined after taking into account adjustments and tax preference items.

### **Specific deduction against unrelated business taxable income**

In computing unrelated business taxable income, an exempt organization may take a specific deduction of \$1,000. This specific deduction may not be used to create a net operating loss that will be carried back or forward to another year.<sup>561</sup>

In the case of a diocese, province or religious order, or a convention or association of churches, a specific deduction is allowed with respect to each parish, individual church, district, or other local unit. The specific deduction is equal to the lower of \$1,000 or the gross income derived from any unrelated trade or business regularly carried on by the local unit.<sup>562</sup>

### **Operation of multiple unrelated trades or businesses**

An organization determines its unrelated business taxable income by subtracting from its gross unrelated business income deductions directly connected with the unrelated trade or business.<sup>563</sup> Under regulations, in determining unrelated business taxable income, an organization that operates multiple unrelated trades or businesses aggregates income from all such activities and subtracts from the aggregate gross income the aggregate of deductions.<sup>564</sup> As a result, an organization may use a deduction from one unrelated trade or business to offset income from another, thereby reducing total unrelated business taxable income.

### **Description of Proposal**

For an organization with more than one unrelated trade or business, the proposal requires that unrelated business taxable income first be computed separately with respect to each trade or business and without regard to the specific deduction generally allowed under section 512(b)(12). The organization's unrelated business taxable income for a taxable year is the sum of the amounts (not less than zero) computed for each separate unrelated trade or business, less the specific deduction allowed under section 512(b)(12). A net operating loss deduction is allowed only with respect to a trade or business from which the loss arose.

---

<sup>561</sup> Sec. 512(b)(12).

<sup>562</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>563</sup> Sec. 512(a).

<sup>564</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.512(a)-1(a).

The result of the proposal is that a deduction from one trade or business for a taxable year may not be used to offset income from a different unrelated trade or business for the same taxable year. The proposal generally does not, however, prevent an organization from using a deduction from one taxable year to offset income from the same unrelated trade or business activity in another taxable year, where appropriate.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

#### **4. Repeal of tax-exempt status for professional sports leagues**

##### **Present Law**

##### **Tax exemption for section 501(c)(6) organizations**

Section 501(c)(6) provides tax exempt status for business leagues and certain other organizations not organized for profit, no part of the net earnings of which inures to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual. A business league is an association of persons having some common business interest, the purpose of which is to promote such common interest and not to engage in a regular business of a kind ordinarily carried on for profit.<sup>565</sup> Such an organization may not have as its primary activity performing “particular services” for members.<sup>566</sup> Contributions to these types of organizations are not deductible as charitable contributions; however, they may be deductible as trade or business expenses if ordinary and necessary in the conduct of the taxpayer’s business. Many organizations known as “trade associations” may qualify for exempt status under this provision.

##### **Professional sports leagues**

Since 1966, section 501(c)(6) has included language exempting from tax “professional football leagues (whether or not administering a pension fund for football players).” The Internal Revenue Service has interpreted this language as applying not only to professional football leagues, but to all professional sports leagues.<sup>567</sup>

---

<sup>565</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.501(c)(6)-1.

<sup>566</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.501(c)(6)-1.

<sup>567</sup> See General Counsel Memorandum 38179, November 29, 1979 (“We continue to believe that professional sports leagues, including football leagues, do not qualify for exemption if the ordinary standards of section 501(c)(6) are applied. However, while the answer is far from clear, we have concluded upon reflection that the specific exemption of football leagues in 1966 can be viewed as providing support for recognition of exemption of all professional sports leagues as a unique category of organizations under section 501(c)(6). Since other professional sports leagues are indistinguishable in any meaningful way from football leagues, we think it is fair to conclude that by formally blessing the exemption it knew football leagues had historically enjoyed, Congress implicitly recognized a unique historical category of exemption under section 501(c)(6). The specific enumeration of football leagues can be viewed as merely exemplary of the category thus recognized, and as necessitated only by the problem of insuring that football’s pension and merger arrangement would not endanger its exemption”).

## **Description of Proposal**

The proposal strikes from section 501(c)(6) the phrase “professional football leagues (whether or not administering a pension fund for football players).” In addition, the proposal amends section 501(c)(6) to provide that section 501(c)(6) “shall not apply to any professional sports league (whether or not administering a pension fund for players).”

## **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **5. Modification of taxes on excess benefit transactions (intermediate sanctions)**

### **Present Law**

#### **Excess benefit transactions**

The Code imposes excise taxes on excess benefit transactions between disqualified persons and charitable organizations (other than private foundations) or social welfare organizations (as described in section 501(c)(4)).<sup>568</sup> The excess benefit transaction tax commonly is referred to as “intermediate sanctions.”<sup>569</sup> An excess benefit transaction generally is a transaction in which an economic benefit is provided, directly or indirectly, by a charitable or social welfare organization to or for the use of a disqualified person if the value of the economic benefit provided exceeds the value of the consideration (including the performance of services) received for providing such benefit. The excise tax is imposed on any such excess.

#### **Disqualified persons**

Disqualified persons generally include: (1) persons who were, at any time during the five-year period ending on the date of the transaction, in a position to exercise substantial influence over the affairs of the organization (including officers and directors); (2) a member of the family of such a person; and (3) certain 35-percent or more controlled entities.<sup>570</sup>

Special rules apply with respect to charities that are sponsoring organizations of donor advised funds. For such organizations, the term “disqualified person” also includes: (1) donors and certain other persons appointed by a donor to provide advice with respect to the fund (donor advisors); (2) investment advisors; and (3) members of the family and certain 35-percent or more

---

<sup>568</sup> Sec. 4958.

<sup>569</sup> The excess benefit transaction rules were enacted in 1996 to provide a sanction short of revocation of tax exemption, an “intermediate” sanction, for abusive self-dealing transactions (*i.e.*, private inurement) between an organization insider and the organization. Prior to enactment of the excess benefit transaction rules, there was no sanction in the Code on organization insiders or disqualified persons for engaging in self-dealing transactions with respect to a public charity.

<sup>570</sup> Sec. 4958(f)(1).

controlled entities of a person described in (1) or (2).<sup>571</sup> An investment advisor is a person (other than an employee of the sponsoring organization) compensated by the organization for managing the investment of, or providing investment advice with respect to, assets maintained in donor advised funds owned by the organization.<sup>572</sup>

### **Rebuttable presumption of reasonableness**

Under the intermediate sanctions regulations, in certain cases an exempt organization may avail itself of a rebuttable presumption with respect to compensation arrangements and property transfers. Payments under a compensation arrangement are presumed to be reasonable, and a transfer of property, or the right to use property, is presumed to be at fair market value, if: (1) the arrangement or terms of transfer are approved in advance by an authorized body of the organization (as defined below) composed entirely of individuals who do not have a conflict of interest with respect to the arrangement or transfer; (2) the authorized body obtained and relied upon appropriate data as to comparability prior to making its determination; and (3) the authorized body adequately documented the basis for its determination concurrently with making that determination.<sup>573</sup> If these requirements are satisfied, the IRS may overcome the presumption of reasonableness if it develops sufficient contrary evidence to rebut the probative value of the comparability data relied upon by the authorized body.<sup>574</sup>

An authorized body is defined as: (1) the governing body of the organization; (2) a committee of the governing body, which may be composed of any individuals permitted under State law to serve on such a committee, to the extent that the committee is permitted by State law to act on behalf of the governing body; or (3) to the extent permitted by State law, other parties authorized by the governing body of the organization to act on its behalf by following procedures specified by the governing body in approving compensation arrangements or property transfers.<sup>575</sup>

In general, an authorized body has appropriate data as to comparability if, given the knowledge and expertise of its members, it has information sufficient to determine whether the arrangement is reasonable in its entirety or the transfer is at fair market value.<sup>576</sup> In the case of compensation, relevant information includes, but is not limited to, compensation levels paid by similarly situated organizations, both taxable and tax-exempt, for functionally comparable positions; the availability of similar services in the geographic area of the applicable tax-exempt organization; current compensation surveys compiled by independent firms; and actual written

---

<sup>571</sup> Secs. 4958(f)(1)(E) and (F).

<sup>572</sup> Sec. 4958(f)(8).

<sup>573</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-6(a). See also H. Rep. No. 506, 104<sup>th</sup> Congress, 2d Sess. 1996, pp. 53, 56-7.

<sup>574</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-6(b).

<sup>575</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-6(c)(1)(i).

<sup>576</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-6(c)(2)(i).



offers from similar institutions competing for the services of the disqualified person. In the case of property, relevant information includes, but is not limited to, current independent appraisals of the value of all property to be transferred, and offers received as part of an open and competitive bidding process. For organizations with annual gross receipts (including contributions) of less than \$1 million, the authorized body is considered to have appropriate data as to comparability if it has data on compensation paid by three comparable organizations in the same or similar communities for similar services. There is no inference with respect to whether circumstances falling outside this safe harbor will meet the requirement with respect to the collection of appropriate data.<sup>577</sup>

In general, for a decision to be documented adequately, the written or electronic records of the authorized body must note: (1) the terms of the transaction that was approved and the date it was approved; (2) the members of the authorized body who were present during debate on the transaction that was approved and those who voted on it; (3) the comparability data obtained and relied upon by the authorized body and how the data was obtained; and (4) any actions taken with respect to consideration of the transaction by anyone who is otherwise a member of the authorized body but who had a conflict of interest with respect to the transaction.<sup>578</sup>

### **Amount of the excise tax**

The excess benefit tax is imposed on the disqualified person and, in certain cases, on the organization's managers, but is not imposed on the exempt organization.

An initial tax of 25 percent of the excess benefit amount is imposed on the disqualified person that receives the excess benefit. An additional tax on the disqualified person of 200 percent of the excess benefit applies if the violation is not corrected. A tax of 10 percent of the excess benefit (not to exceed \$20,000 with respect to any excess benefit transaction) is imposed on an organization manager who knowingly participated in the excess benefit transaction, if the manager's participation was willful and not due to reasonable cause, and if the initial tax was imposed on the disqualified person.<sup>579</sup> If more than one person is liable for the tax on disqualified persons or on management, all such persons are jointly and severally liable for the tax.<sup>580</sup>

### **Standard for knowing violations**

A manager participates in a transaction knowingly only if the manager: (1) has actual knowledge of sufficient facts indicating that, based solely upon those facts, such transaction would be an excess benefit transaction; (2) is aware that such a transaction under these

---

<sup>577</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-6(c)(2)(ii).

<sup>578</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-6(c)(3).

<sup>579</sup> Sec. 4958(d)(2). Taxes imposed may be abated if certain conditions are met. Secs. 4961 and 4962.

<sup>580</sup> Sec. 4958(d)(1).

circumstances may violate the provisions of Federal tax law governing excess benefit transactions; and (3) negligently fails to make reasonable attempts to ascertain whether the transaction is an excess benefit transaction, or the manager is in fact aware that it is such a transaction.<sup>581</sup> The burden of proof in a Tax Court proceeding as to whether an organization manager (or foundation manager) acted knowingly is on the Secretary.<sup>582</sup>

Knowing does not mean having a reason to know.<sup>583</sup> However, evidence tending to show that an organization manager has reason to know of a particular fact or particular rule is relevant in determining whether the manager had actual knowledge of such a fact or rule. Thus, for example, evidence tending to show that a manager has reason to know of sufficient facts indicating that, based solely upon such facts, a transaction would be an excess benefit transaction is relevant in determining whether the manager has actual knowledge of such facts.<sup>584</sup>

Participation by an organization manager is willful if it is voluntary, conscious, and intentional. No motive to avoid the restrictions of the law or the incurrance of any tax is necessary to make the participation willful. Participation by an organization manager is not willful if the manager does not know that the transaction in which the manager is participating is an excess benefit transaction.<sup>585</sup> An organization manager's participation is due to reasonable cause if the manager has exercised responsibility on behalf of the organization with ordinary business care and prudence.<sup>586</sup>

### **Special rules**

An organization manager's reliance on professional advice generally means that the manager has not knowingly participated in an excess benefit transaction. Under Treasury regulations, an organization manager's participation in a transaction ordinarily is not considered knowing, even though the transaction subsequently is held to be an excess benefit transaction, to the extent that, after full disclosure of the factual situation to an appropriate professional, the organization manager relies on a reasoned written opinion of that professional with respect to elements of the transaction within the professional's expertise. A written opinion is considered as reasoned even though it reaches a conclusion that is subsequently determined to be incorrect so long as the opinion addresses itself to the facts and the applicable standards. A written opinion is not considered to be reasoned if it does nothing more than recite the facts and express a conclusion. The absence of a written opinion of an appropriate professional with respect to a

---

<sup>581</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-1(d)(4)(i).

<sup>582</sup> Sec. 7454(b).

<sup>583</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-1(d)(4)(ii).

<sup>584</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>585</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-1(d)(5).

<sup>586</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-1(d)(6).

transaction does not, by itself, give rise to any inference that an organization manager participated in the transaction knowingly.

Appropriate professionals on whose written opinion an organization manager may rely, are: (1) legal counsel, including in-house counsel; (2) certified public accountants or accounting firms with expertise regarding the relevant tax law matters; and (3) independent valuation experts who hold themselves out to the public as appraisers or compensation consultants, perform the relevant valuations on a regular basis, are qualified to make valuations of the type of property or services involved, and include in the written opinion a certification that the three preceding requirements are met.<sup>587</sup>

An organization manager's participation in a transaction ordinarily is not considered knowing even though the transaction subsequently is held to be an excess benefit transaction, if an appropriate authorized body that approved the transaction meets the requirements of the rebuttable presumption of reasonableness with respect to the transaction.<sup>588</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

#### **Entity-level tax in the event of an excess benefit transaction**

Under the proposal, if an initial tax is imposed on a disqualified person under the intermediate sanctions rules,<sup>589</sup> the organization is subject to an excise tax equal to 10 percent of the excess benefit, unless the participation of the organization in the transaction is not willful and is due to reasonable cause. No tax on the organization is imposed if the organization: (1) establishes that the minimum standards of due diligence (described below) were met with respect to the transaction; or (2) establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary that other reasonable procedures were used to ensure that no excess benefit was provided.

#### **Eliminate rebuttable presumption and establish due diligence procedures**

The proposal eliminates the rebuttable presumption of reasonableness contained in the intermediate sanctions regulations. Under the proposal, the procedures that presently provide an organization with a presumption of reasonableness (*i.e.*, advance approval by an authorized body, reliance upon data as to comparability, and adequate and concurrent documentation) generally will establish instead that an organization has performed the minimum standards of due diligence with respect to an arrangement or transfer involving a disqualified person. Satisfaction of these minimum standards will not result in a presumption of reasonableness with respect to the transaction.

---

<sup>587</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-1(d)(4)(iii).

<sup>588</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 53.4958-1(d)(4)(iv).

<sup>589</sup> Sec. 4958(a)(1).

### **Eliminate certain special rules for knowing behavior by organization managers**

The proposal eliminates the special rule that provides that an organization manager's participation ordinarily is not "knowing" for purposes of the intermediate sanctions excise taxes if the manager relied on professional advice. Although the proposal eliminates the special rule, whether an organization manager relies on professional advice is a relevant consideration in determining the manager knowingly participated in an excess benefit transaction.

The proposal also eliminates the special regulatory rule that provides that an organization manager ordinarily does not act knowingly for purposes of the excess benefit transaction excise tax if the organization has met the requirements of the rebuttable presumption procedure.

### **Treat investment advisors and athletic coaches as disqualified persons**

The proposal modifies the definition of a disqualified person for purposes of the intermediate sanctions rules. First, a person who performs services as an athletic coach for an organization that is an eligible educational institution (within the meaning of section 25A of the Code<sup>590</sup>) is treated as a disqualified person with respect to the organization. Second, the proposal (1) expands to all organizations that are subject to the intermediate sanctions rules the present-law rule that treats investment advisors to donor advised funds as disqualified persons, and (2) modifies the definition of investment advisor for this purpose. For all applicable tax-exempt organizations (including sponsoring organizations of donor advised funds), the term investment advisor means, with respect to an organization, any person compensated by the organization, and who is primarily responsible, for managing the investment of, or providing investment advice with respect to, assets of the organization.<sup>591</sup> For a sponsoring organization of a donor advised fund, the term investment advisor also includes any person who is an investment advisor with respect to a sponsoring organization under present law, *i.e.*, a person (other than an employee of the organization) compensated by such organization for managing the investment of, or providing investment advice with respect to, assets maintained in donor advised funds owned by the sponsoring organization.

### **Application of intermediate sanctions rules to section 501(c)(5) and section 501(c)(6) organizations**

The proposal extends application of the section 4958 intermediate sanctions rules to tax-exempt organizations described in sections 501(c)(5) (labor and certain other organizations) and 501(c)(6) (business leagues and certain other organizations).

---

<sup>590</sup> Section 25A defines an eligible educational institution as an institution (1) which is described in section 481 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. sec. 1088), as in effect on August 5, 1977, and (2) which is eligible to participate in a program under title IV of such Act.

<sup>591</sup> Under the proposal, the existing rules that treat as disqualified persons certain family members and 35-percent controlled entities of investment advisors to sponsoring organizations of donor advised funds will apply more broadly to investment advisors that are disqualified persons with respect to any organization subject to the intermediate sanctions rules.

## Effective Date

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

### **6. Denial of deduction for amounts paid in exchange for college athletic seating rights**

#### Present Law

##### In general

The Internal Revenue Code allows taxpayers to reduce their income tax liability by taking deductions for contributions to certain organizations, including charities, Federal, State, local and Indian tribal governments, and certain other organizations.

To be deductible, a charitable contribution generally must meet several threshold requirements. First, the recipient of the transfer must be eligible to receive charitable contributions (*i.e.*, an organization or entity described in section 170(c)). Second, the transfer must be made with gratuitous intent and without the expectation of a benefit of substantial economic value in return. Third, the transfer must be complete and generally must be a transfer of a donor's entire interest in the contributed property (*i.e.*, not a contingent or partial interest contribution). To qualify for a current year charitable deduction, payment of the contribution must be made within the taxable year.<sup>592</sup> Fourth, the transfer must be of money or property—contributions of services are not deductible.<sup>593</sup> Finally, the transfer must be substantiated and in the proper form.

Special rules limit a taxpayer's charitable contributions in a given year to a percentage of income, and those rules, in part, turn on whether the organization receiving the contributions is a public charity or a private foundation. Other special rules determine the deductible value of contributed property for each type of property.

##### College athletic seating rights

In general, where a taxpayer receives or expects to receive a substantial return benefit for a payment to charity, the payment is not deductible as a charitable contribution. However, special rules apply to certain payments to institutions of higher education in exchange for which the payor receives the right to purchase tickets or seating at an athletic event. Specifically, the payor may treat 80 percent of a payment as a charitable contribution where: (1) the amount is paid to or for the benefit of an institution of higher education (as defined in section 3304(f)) described in section (b)(1)(A)(ii) (generally, a school with a regular faculty and curriculum and meeting certain other requirements), and (2) such amount would be allowable as a charitable deduction but for the fact that the taxpayer receives (directly or indirectly) as a result of the

---

<sup>592</sup> Sec. 170(a)(1).

<sup>593</sup> For example, the value of time spent volunteering for a charitable organization is not deductible. Incidental expenses such as mileage, supplies, or other expenses incurred while volunteering for a charitable organization, however, may be deductible.

payment the right to purchase tickets for seating at an athletic event in an athletic stadium of such institution.<sup>594</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal amends section 170(l) to provide that no charitable deduction shall be allowed for any amount described in paragraph 170(l)(2), generally, a payment to an institution of higher education in exchange for which the payor receives the right to purchase tickets or seating at an athletic event, as described in greater detail above.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for contributions made in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

---

<sup>594</sup> Sec. 170(l).

## M. Retirement Savings

### 1. Conformity of contribution limits for employer-sponsored retirement plans

#### Present Law

Account-based tax-favored employer-sponsored retirement plans include a qualified defined contribution plan, a tax-sheltered annuity plan (referred to as a “section 403(b) plan”), and an eligible deferred compensation plan of a State or local government (referred to as a “governmental section 457(b) plan”).<sup>595</sup> A qualified defined contribution plan may include a qualified cash or deferred arrangement (referred to as a “section 401(k) plan”), under which an employee elects to have contributions made to the plan (referred to as “elective deferrals”) rather than receiving the same amount as cash compensation.<sup>596</sup> Elective deferrals are generally made on a pretax basis unless designated by the employee as Roth contributions, which are made on an after-tax basis. A defined contribution plan may also provide for after-tax employee contributions and for employer nonelective contributions and matching contributions. A section 403(b) plan may also provide for these different types of contributions. Although a governmental section 457(b) plan may provide for employer contributions, these plans generally provide only for elective deferrals.

In the case of a section 401(k) plan or a section 403(b) plan, specific annual limits apply to elective deferrals by an employee and additional annual limits apply to aggregate contributions for the employee. For 2017, elective deferrals are generally limited to the lesser of (1) \$18,000 plus an additional \$6,000 catch-up contribution limit for employees at least age 50 and (2) the employee’s compensation.<sup>597</sup> If an employee participates in both a section 401(k) plan and a section 403(b) plan of the same employer,<sup>598</sup> a single limit applies to elective deferrals under both plans. However, under a special rule, in the case of employees who have completed 15 years of service, additional elective deferrals are permitted under a section 403(b) plan maintained by an educational organization, hospital, home health service agency, health and welfare service agency, church, or convention or association of churches.<sup>599</sup> In this case, the annual limit is increased by the least of (1) \$3,000, (2) \$15,000 reduced by the employee’s additional elective deferrals for previous years, and (3) \$5,000 multiplied by the employee’s years of service and reduced by the employee’s elective deferrals for previous years.

For 2017, the limit on aggregate contributions to a qualified defined contribution plan (including a section 401(k) plan) or a section 403(b) plan is the lesser of (1) \$54,000 and (2) the

---

<sup>595</sup> Secs. 401(a), 403(a), 403(b), 457(b).

<sup>596</sup> Sec. 401(k).

<sup>597</sup> Secs. 402(g) and 414(v).

<sup>598</sup> For this purpose members of a controlled group or affiliated service group are treated as a single employer.

<sup>599</sup> Sec. 402(g)(7).

employee's compensation.<sup>600</sup> Because employees generally do not receive compensation for years after they have terminated employment, contributions generally cannot be made for former employees. However, under a special rule, employer contributions to a section 403(b) plan can be made for up to five years after termination of employment.<sup>601</sup>

The limit described above on aggregate contributions to a qualified defined contribution plan applies to contributions for an employee to any defined contribution plans maintained by the same employer, defined generally to include any members of a controlled group (using an ownership standard of more than 50 percent, rather than at least 80 percent) or affiliated service group. Similarly, the limit on aggregate contributions to a section 403(b) plan applies to contributions for an employee to any section 403(b) plan maintained by the same employer, including any members of a controlled group or affiliated service group. However, contributions to a qualified defined contribution plan and to a section 403(b) plan maintained by the same employer are subject to separate limits unless the employee in the section 403(b) plan is in control of the employer maintaining the qualified defined contribution plan. This could occur, for example, if the employee in the section 403(b) plan owns a separate business that maintains a qualified defined contribution plan. In that case, a single limit applies to the contributions for the employee to the section 403(b) plan and the defined contribution plan. However, deferrals under a governmental section 457(b) plan are not taken into account in applying this limit.

In the case of a governmental section 457(b) plan, all contributions are subject to a single limit, generally for 2017, the lesser of (1) \$18,000 plus an additional \$6,000 catch-up contribution limit for employees at least age 50 and (2) the employee's compensation.<sup>602</sup> This limit is separate from the limit on elective deferrals to section 401(k) and section 403(b) plans. Thus, for example, if an employee participates in both a section 403(b) plan and a governmental section 457(b) plan of the same employer, the employee may contribute up to \$18,000 (plus \$6,000 catch-up contributions if at least age 50) to the section 403(b) plan and up to \$18,000 (plus \$6,000 catch-up contributions if at least age 50) to the section 457(b) plan. In addition, under a special rule, catch-up contributions may be made by an employee to a governmental section 457(b) for the last three years before attainment of normal retirement age. Additional contributions may be made up to the lesser of (1) two times the otherwise applicable dollar limit for the year (two times \$18,000 for 2017, or \$36,000) and (2) the employee's otherwise applicable limit for the year plus the amount by which the limit applicable to the employee for previous years exceeded the employee's deferrals for the previous years.<sup>603</sup> If a higher limit applies to an employee for a year under this special rule than under the general catch-up rule (\$6,000 for 2017), the general catch-up rule does not apply for the year.

---

<sup>600</sup> Sec. 415(c). Employee contributions to qualified defined benefit plans are also taken into account in applying this limit.

<sup>601</sup> Sec. 403(b)(3), permitting compensation received up to five years previously as compensation for the current year. In addition, under a special rule in section 415(c)(7), certain contribution amounts are permitted for church employees and foreign missionaries.

<sup>602</sup> Secs. 414(v) and 457(b)(2) and (e)(15).

<sup>603</sup> Sec. 457(b)(3).



## **Description of Proposal**

The proposal applies a single aggregate limit to contributions for an employee in a governmental section 457(b) plan and elective deferrals for the same employee under a section 401(k) plan or a 403(b) plan of the same employer. Thus, the limit for governmental section 457(b) plans is coordinated with the limit for section 401(k) and 403(b) plans in the same manner as the limits are coordinated under present law for elective deferrals to section 401(k) and section 403(b) plans.

The proposal repeals the special rules allowing additional elective deferrals and catch-up contributions under section 403(b) plans and governmental section 457(b) plans. Thus, the same limits apply to elective deferrals and catch-up contributions under section 401(k) plans, section 403(b) plans and governmental section 457(b) plans.

The proposal repeals the special rule allowing employer contributions to section 403(b) plans for up to five years after termination of employment.<sup>604</sup>

The proposal also revises application of the limit on aggregate contributions to a qualified defined contribution plan or a section 403(b) plan (that is, the lesser of (1) \$54,000 (for 2017) and (2) the employee's compensation). As revised, a single aggregate limit applies to contributions for an employee to any defined contribution plans, any section 403(b) plans, and any governmental section 457(b) plans maintained by the same employer, including any members of a controlled group or affiliated service group.<sup>605</sup>

## **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for plan years and taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **2. Application of 10-percent early withdrawal tax to governmental section 457(b) plans**

### **Present Law**

Tax-favored employer-sponsored retirement plans include a qualified retirement plan, a tax-sheltered annuity plan (referred to as a “section 403(b) plan”), and an eligible deferred compensation plan of a State or local government (referred to as a “governmental section 457(b) plan”).<sup>606</sup> A simplified employee pension (“SEP”) plan and SIMPLE IRA plan are also

---

<sup>604</sup> The proposal does not repeal the special rule in section 415(c)(7), under which certain contribution amounts are permitted for church employees and foreign missionaries.

<sup>605</sup> As under present law, employee contributions to qualified defined benefit plans are also taken into account in applying this limit.

<sup>606</sup> Secs. 401(a), 403(a), 403(b), and 457(b).

tax-favored employer-sponsored retirement plans under which the employer makes contributions to an individual retirement arrangement (“IRA”) established for each of its employees.<sup>607</sup>

In general, similar tax treatment applies to contributions to and distributions from these plans. Distributions are generally includible in income except to the extent attributable to after-tax contributions or qualified distributions from Roth accounts. In addition, unless an exception applies, a distribution from a qualified retirement plan, section 403(b) plan, or IRA (including a SEP or SIMPLE IRA) before age 59½ is subject to an additional tax (the “early withdrawal tax”).<sup>608</sup> The early withdrawal tax is equal to 10 percent of the amount of the distribution that is includible in income (25 percent in the case of certain SIMPLE IRA distributions). The early withdrawal tax does not apply to distributions from governmental section 457(b) plans.

### **Description of Proposal**

Under the proposal, unless an exception applies, the early withdrawal tax applies to a distribution from a governmental section 457(b) plan before age 59½ to the extent the distribution is includible in income.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **3. Elimination of catch-up contributions for high-wage employees**

### **Present Law**

Account-based tax-favored employer-sponsored retirement plans include a qualified defined contribution plan, a tax-sheltered annuity plan (referred to as a section 403(b) plan), and an eligible deferred compensation plan of a State or local government (referred to as a governmental section 457(b) plan).<sup>609</sup> A simplified employee pension (“SEP”) plan and SIMPLE IRA plan are also tax-favored employer-sponsored retirement plans under which the employer makes contributions to an individual retirement arrangement (“IRA”) established for each of its employees.<sup>610</sup> For purposes of these plans, a self-employed individual is treated as an employee.<sup>611</sup>

---

<sup>607</sup> Sec. 408(k) and (p).

<sup>608</sup> Sec. 72(t).

<sup>609</sup> Secs. 401(a), 403(a), 403(b), and 457(b).

<sup>610</sup> Sec. 408(k) and (p).

<sup>611</sup> Sec. 401(c)(1).

As discussed above, contributions to these plans for an employee are subject to an annual limit of the lesser of a specified dollar amount and the employee's compensation.<sup>612</sup> In the case of an employee age 50 or older, the specified dollar amount is increased by a certain amount (generally \$6,000 for 2017), allowing the employee to make additional "catch-up" contributions for the year.<sup>613</sup>

### **Description of Proposal**

Under the proposal, an employee may not make catch-up contributions for a year if the employee received wages of \$500,000 or more for the preceding year.<sup>614</sup>

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for plan years and taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

---

<sup>612</sup> For this purposes, a self-employed individual's compensation is earned income, as defined in section 401(c)(2).

<sup>613</sup> Sec. 414(v). As discussed above, under present law, additional catch-up contributions may be permitted under a section 403(b) plan or governmental section 457(b) plan.

<sup>614</sup> Catch-up contributions for a year are not permitted by a self-employed individual with earned income of more than \$500,000 for the preceding year.

## TAXATION OF FOREIGN INCOME AND FOREIGN PERSONS

### PRESENT LAW

The following discussion of present law provides an overview of general principles of taxation of cross-border activity as well as a detailed explanation of provisions in present law that are relevant to the proposals in Title IV of the bill.

#### A. General Overview of International Principles of Taxation

International law generally recognizes the right of each sovereign nation to prescribe rules to regulate conduct with a sufficient nexus to the sovereign nation. The nexus may be based on nationality of the actor, *i.e.*, a nexus between said conduct and a person (whether natural or juridical) with a connection to the sovereign nation, or it may be territorial, *i.e.*, a nexus between the conduct to be regulated and the territory where the conduct occurs.<sup>615</sup> For example, most legal systems respect limits on the extent to which their measures may be given extraterritorial effect. The broad acceptance of such norms extends to authority to regulate cross-border trade and economic dealings, including taxation.

The exercise of sovereign jurisdiction is usually based on either nationality of the person whose conduct is regulated or the territory in which the conduct or activity occurs. These concepts have been refined and, in varying combinations, adapted to form the principles for determining whether sufficient nexus with a jurisdiction exists to conclude that the jurisdiction may enforce its right to impose a tax. The elements of nexus and the nomenclature of the principles may differ based on the type of tax in question. Taxes are categorized as either direct taxes or indirect taxes. The former category generally refers to those taxes that are imposed directly on a person (“capitation tax”), property, or income from property and that cannot be shifted to another person by the taxpayer. In contrast, indirect taxes are taxes on consumption or production of goods or services, for which a taxpayer may shift responsibility to another person. Such taxes include sales or use taxes, value-added taxes, or customs duties.<sup>616</sup>

Although governments have imposed direct taxes on property and indirect taxes and duties on specific transactions since ancient times, the history of direct taxes in the form of an income tax is relatively recent.<sup>617</sup> When determining how to allocate the right to tax a particular

---

<sup>615</sup> American Law Institute, *Restatement (Third) of Foreign Relations Law of the United States*, secs. 402 and 403, (1987).

<sup>616</sup> Maria S. Cox, Fritz Neumark, et al., “Taxation” *Encyclopedia Britannica*, <https://www.britannica.com/topic/taxation/Classes-of-taxes>, accessed May 16, 2017. Whether a tax is considered a direct tax or indirect tax has varied over time, and no single definition is used. For a review of the significance of these terms in Federal tax history, see Alan O. Dixler, “Direct Taxes Under the Constitution: A Review of the Precedents,” *Tax History Project, Tax Analysts*, available at <http://www.taxhistory.org/thp/readings.nsf/ArtWeb/2B34C7FBDA41D9DA8525730800067017?OpenDocument>, accessed May 17, 2017.

<sup>617</sup> The earliest western income tax system is traceable to the British Tax Act of 1798, enacted in 1799 to raise funds needed to prosecute the Napoleonic Wars, and rescinded in 1816. See, A.M. Bardopoulos, *eCommerce*

item of income, most jurisdictions consider principles based on either source (territory or situs of the income) or residence (nationality of the taxpayer).<sup>618</sup> By contrast, when the authority to collect indirect taxes in the form of sales taxes or value added taxes is under consideration, jurisdictions analyze the taxing rights in terms of the origin principle or destination principle. The balance of this Part I.A describes the principles in more detail and how jurisdictions resolve claims of overlapping jurisdiction.

## 1. Origin and destination principles

Indirect taxes that are imposed based on the place where production of goods or services occur, irrespective of the location of the persons who own the means of production, and where the goods and services go after being produced, are examples of origin-based taxes. If, instead, authority to tax a transaction or service is dependent on the location of use or consumption of the goods or services, the tax system is an example of a destination-based tax. The most common form of a destination-based tax is the destination-based value-added tax (“VAT”). Over 160 countries have adopted a VAT,<sup>619</sup> which is generally a tax imposed and collected on the “value added” at every stage in the production and distribution of a good or service. Although there are several ways to compute the taxable base for a VAT, the amount of value added can generally be thought of as the difference between the value of sales (outputs) and purchases (inputs) of a business.<sup>620</sup> The United States does not have a VAT, nor is there a Federal sales or use tax.

---

*and the Effects of Technology on Taxation*, Law, Governance and Technology Series 22, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-15449-7\_2, (Springer 2015), at Section 2.2. “History of Tax,” pp. 23-24. See also, <http://www.parliament.uk/about/living-heritage/transformingsociety/private-lives/taxation/overview/incometax/>.

<sup>618</sup> Reuven Avi-Yonah, “International Tax as International Law,” 57 *Tax Law Review* 483 (2003-2004).

<sup>619</sup> Alan Schenk, Victor Thuronyi, and Wei Cui, *Value Added Tax: A Comparative Approach*, Cambridge University Press, 2015. Consistent with the OECD *International VAT/GST Guidelines*, *supra*, the term VAT is used to refer to all broad-based final consumption taxes, regardless of the acronym used to identify. Thus, many countries that denominate their national consumption tax as a GST (general sales tax) are included in the estimate of the number of countries with a VAT.

<sup>620</sup> Nearly all countries use the credit-invoice method of calculating value added to determine VAT liability. Under the credit-invoice method, a tax is imposed on the seller for all of its sales. The tax is calculated by applying the tax rate to the sales price of the good or service, and the amount of tax is generally disclosed on the sales invoice. A business credit is provided for all VAT levied on purchases of taxable goods and services (*i.e.*, “inputs”) used in the seller’s business. The ultimate consumer (*i.e.*, a non-business purchaser), however, does not receive a credit with respect to his or her purchases. The VAT credit for inputs prevents the imposition of multiple layers of tax with respect to the total final purchase price (*i.e.*, a “cascading” of the VAT). As a result, the net tax paid at a particular stage of production or distribution is based on the value added by that taxpayer at that stage of production or distribution. In theory, the total amount of tax paid with respect to a good or service from all levels of production and distribution should equal the sales price of the good or service to the ultimate consumer multiplied by the VAT rate.

In order to receive an input credit with respect to any purchase, a business purchaser is generally required to possess an invoice from a seller that contains the name of the purchaser and indicates the amount of tax collected by the seller on the sale of the input to the purchaser. At the end of a reporting period, a taxpayer may calculate its tax liability by subtracting the cumulative amount of tax stated on its purchase invoices from the cumulative amount of tax stated on its sales invoices.

However, the majority of the States have enacted sales or use taxes, including both origin-based taxes and destination-based taxes.<sup>621</sup>

With respect to cross-border transactions, the OECD has recommended that the destination principle be adopted for all indirect taxes, in part to conform to the treatment of such transactions for purposes of customs duties. The OECD defines the destination principle as “the principle whereby, for consumption tax purposes, internationally traded services and intangibles should be taxed according to the rules of the jurisdiction of consumption.”<sup>622</sup> A jurisdiction may determine the place of use or consumption by adopting the convention that the place of business or residence of a customer is the place of consumption. Use of such proxies are needed to determine the location of businesses that are juridical entities, which are more able than natural persons to move the location of use of goods, services or intangibles in response to imposition of tax.

## **2. Source and residence principles**

Exercise of taxing authority based on a person’s residence may be based on status as a national, resident, or domiciliary of a jurisdiction and may reach worldwide activities of such persons. As such, it is the broadest assertion of taxing authority. For individuals, the test for residence may depend upon nationality, or a physical presence test, or some combination of the two. For all other persons, determining residency may require more complex consideration of the level of activities within a jurisdiction, management, control or place of incorporation. Such rules generally reflect a policy decision about the requisite level of activity within, or contact with, a jurisdiction by a person that is sufficient to warrant assertion of taxing jurisdiction.

Source-based exercise of taxing authority taxes income from activities that occur, or property that is located, within the territory of the taxing jurisdiction. If a person conducts business or owns property in a jurisdiction, or if a transaction occurs in whole or in part in a jurisdiction, the resulting taxation may require allocation and apportionment of expenses attributable to the activity in order to ensure that only the portion of profits that have the required nexus with the territory are subject to tax. Most jurisdictions, including the United States, have rules for determining the source of items of income and expense in a broad range of categories such as compensation for services, dividends, interest, royalties and gains.

Regardless of which of these two bases of taxing authority is chosen by a jurisdiction, a jurisdiction’s determination of whether a transaction, activity or person is subject to tax requires that the jurisdiction establish the limits on its assertion of authority to tax.

---

<sup>621</sup> EY, *Worldwide VAT, GST and Sales Tax Guide 2015*, p. 1021, available at [http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLUAssets/Worldwide-VAT-GST-and-sales-tax-guide-2015/\\$FILE/Worldwide%20VAT,%20GST%20and%20Sales%20Tax%20Guide%202015.pdf](http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLUAssets/Worldwide-VAT-GST-and-sales-tax-guide-2015/$FILE/Worldwide%20VAT,%20GST%20and%20Sales%20Tax%20Guide%202015.pdf).

<sup>622</sup> See, OECD, “Recommendation of the Council on the application of value added tax/goods and services tax to the international trade in services and intangibles as approved on September 27, 2016,” [C(2016)120], appendix, page 3, reproduced in the appendix, OECD, *International VAT/GST Guidelines*, OECD Publishing, 2017.

### 3. Resolving overlapping or conflicting jurisdiction to tax

Countries have developed norms about what constitutes a reasonable regulatory action by a sovereign state that will be respected by other sovereign states. Consensus on what constitutes a reasonable limit on the extent of one state's jurisdiction helps to minimize the risk of conflicts arising as a result of extraterritorial action by a state or overlapping exercise of authority by states. Mechanisms to eliminate double taxation have developed to address those situations in which the source and residency determinations of the respective jurisdictions result in duplicative assertion of taxing authority. For example, asymmetry between different standards adopted in two countries for determining residency of persons, source of income, or other basis for taxation may result in income that is subject to taxation in both jurisdictions.

When the rules of two or more countries overlap, potential double taxation is usually mitigated by operation of bilateral tax treaties or by legislative measures permitting credit for taxes paid to another jurisdiction. The United States is a partner in numerous bilateral agreements that have as their objective the avoidance of international double taxation and the prevention of tax avoidance and evasion. Another related objective of U.S. tax treaties is the removal of the barriers to trade, capital flows, and commercial travel that may be caused by overlapping tax jurisdictions and by the burdens of complying with the tax laws of a jurisdiction when a person's contacts with, and income derived from, that jurisdiction are minimal. The United States Model Income Tax Convention ("U.S. Model Treaty of 2016") with an accompanying Preamble by the Department of Treasury, reflects the most recent comprehensive statement of U.S. negotiating position with respect to tax treaties.<sup>623</sup> Bilateral agreements are also used to permit limited mutual administrative assistance between jurisdictions.<sup>624</sup>

In addition to entering into bilateral treaties, countries have worked in multilateral organizations to develop common principles to alleviate double taxation. Those principles are generally reflected in the provisions of the Model Tax Convention on Income and on Capital of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (the "OECD Model treaty"),<sup>625</sup> a

---

<sup>623</sup> The current U.S. Model treaty was published February 17, 2016, and is available at <https://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/tax-policy/treaties/Documents/Treaty-US%20Model-2016.pdf>; the Preamble is available at <https://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/tax-policy/treaties/Documents/Preamble-US%20Model-2016.pdf>. The U.S. Model treaty is updated periodically to reflect developments in the negotiating position of the United States. Such changes include provisions that were successfully included in bilateral treaties concluded by the United States, as well as new proposed measures not yet included in a bilateral agreement.

<sup>624</sup> Although U.S. courts extend comity to foreign judgments in some instances, they are not required to recognize or assist in enforcement of foreign judgments for collection of taxes, consistent with the common law "revenue rule" in *Holman v. Johnson*, 1 Cowp. 341, 98 Eng. Rep. 1120 (K.B.1775). American Law Institute, *Restatement (Third) of Foreign Relations Law of the United States*, sec. 483, (1987). The rule retains vitality in U.S. case law. *Pasquantino v. United States*, 544 U.S. 349; 125 S. Ct. 1766; 161 L. Ed. 2d 619 (2005) (a conviction for criminal wire fraud arising from an intent to defraud Canadian tax authorities was found not to conflict "with any well-established revenue rule principle[,] and thus was not in derogation of the revenue rule). To the extent it is abrogated, it is done so in bilateral treaties, to ensure reciprocity. At present, the United States has such agreements in force with five jurisdictions: Canada; Denmark; France; Netherlands; and Sweden.

<sup>625</sup> OECD (2014), Model Tax Convention on Income and on Capital: Condensed Version 2014, OECD Publishing, 2014, available at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1787//mtc\\_cond-2014-en](http://dx.doi.org/10.1787//mtc_cond-2014-en). The multinational organization was

precursor of which was first developed by a predecessor organization in 1958, which in turn has antecedents from work by the League of Nations in the 1920s.<sup>626</sup> As a consensus document, the OECD Model treaty is intended to serve as a model for countries to use in negotiating a bilateral treaty that would settle issues of double taxation as well as to avoid inappropriate double nontaxation. The provisions have developed over time as practice with actual bilateral treaties leads to unexpected results and new issues are raised by parties to the treaties.<sup>627</sup>

#### **4. International principles as applied in the U.S. system**

Present law combines taxation of all U.S. persons on their worldwide income, whether derived in the United States or abroad, with limited deferral of taxation of income earned by foreign subsidiaries of U.S. companies and source-based taxation of the U.S.-source income of nonresident aliens and foreign entities. Under this system (sometimes described as the U.S. hybrid system), the application of the Code differs depending on whether income arises from outbound investment or inbound investment. Outbound investment refers to the foreign activities of U.S. persons, while inbound investment is investment by foreign persons in U.S. assets or activities, although certain rules are common to both inbound and outbound activities.

##### **B. Principles Common to Inbound and Outbound Taxation**

Although the U.S. tax rules differ depending on whether the activity in question is inbound or outbound, there are certain concepts that apply to both inbound and outbound investment. Such areas include the transfer pricing rules, entity classification, the rules for determination of source, and whether a corporation is foreign or domestic.

##### **1. Residence**

U.S. persons are subject to tax on their worldwide income. The Code defines U.S. person to include all U.S. citizens and residents as well as domestic entities such as partnerships, corporations, estates and certain trusts.<sup>628</sup> The term “resident” is defined only with respect to natural persons. Noncitizens who are lawfully admitted as permanent residents of the United States in accordance with immigration laws (colloquially referred to as green card holders) are

---

first established in 1961 by the United States, Canada and 18 European countries, dedicated to global development, and has since expanded to 35 members.

<sup>626</sup> “Report by the Experts on Double Taxation,” League of Nation Document E.F.S. 73\F19 (1923), a report commissioned by the League at its second assembly. See also, Lara Friedlander and Scott Wilkie, “Policy Forum: The History of Tax Treaty Provisions--And Why It Is Important to Know About It,” 54 *Canadian Tax Journal* No. 4 (2006).

<sup>627</sup> For example, the OECD initiated a multi-year study on base-erosion and profit shifting in response to concerns of multiple members. For an overview of that project, see Joint Committee on Taxation, *Background, Summary, and Implications of the OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting Project* (JCX-139-15), November 30, 2015. This document can also be found on the Joint Committee on Taxation website at [www.jct.gov](http://www.jct.gov).

<sup>628</sup> Sec. 7701(a)(30).



treated as residents for tax purposes. In addition, noncitizens who meet a substantial presence test and are not otherwise exempt from U.S. taxation are also taxable as U.S. residents.<sup>629</sup>

For legal entities, the Code determines whether an entity is subject to U.S. taxation on its worldwide income on the basis of its place of organization. For purposes of U.S. tax law, a corporation or partnership is treated as domestic if it is organized or created under the laws of the United States or of any State, unless, in the case of a partnership, the Secretary prescribes otherwise by regulation.<sup>630</sup> All other partnerships and corporations (that is, those organized under the laws of foreign countries) are treated as foreign.<sup>631</sup> In contrast, place of organization is not determinative of residence under taxing jurisdictions that use factors such as situs, management and control to determine residence. As a result, legal entities may have more than one tax residence, or, in some case, no residence.<sup>632</sup> Only domestic corporations are subject to U.S. tax on a worldwide basis. Foreign corporations are taxed only on income that has a sufficient connection with the United States.

Tax benefits otherwise available to a domestic corporation that migrates its tax home from the United States to foreign jurisdiction may be denied to such corporation, in which case it continues to be treated as a domestic corporation for ten years following such migration.<sup>633</sup> These sanctions generally apply to a transaction in which, pursuant to a plan or a series of related transactions: (1) a domestic corporation becomes a subsidiary of a foreign-incorporated entity or otherwise transfers substantially all of its properties to such an entity in a transaction completed after March 4, 2003; (2) the former shareholders of the domestic corporation hold (by reason of the stock they had held in the domestic corporation) at least 60 percent but less than 80 percent (by vote or value) of the stock of the foreign-incorporated entity after the transaction (this stock often being referred to as “stock held by reason of”); and (3) the foreign-incorporated entity, considered together with all companies connected to it by a chain of greater than 50 percent ownership (that is, the “expanded affiliated group”), does not have substantial business activities in the entity’s country of incorporation, compared to the total worldwide business activities of the expanded affiliated group.<sup>634</sup>

---

<sup>629</sup> Sec. 7701(b).

<sup>630</sup> Sec. 7701(a)(4).

<sup>631</sup> Secs. 7701(a)(5) and 7701(a)(9). Entities organized in a possession or territory of the United States are not considered to have been organized under the laws of the United States.

<sup>632</sup> “The notion of corporate residence is an important touchstone of taxation, however, in many foreign income tax systems[,]” with the result that the bilateral treaties are often relied upon to resolve conflicting claims of taxing jurisdiction. Joseph Isenbergh, Vol. 1 *U.S. Taxation of Foreign Persons and Foreign Income*, Para. 7.1 (Fourth Ed. 2016).

<sup>633</sup> Sec. 7874.

<sup>634</sup> Section 7874(a). In addition, an excise tax may be imposed on certain stock compensation of executives of companies that undertake inversion transactions. Sec. 4985.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have promulgated detailed guidance, through both regulations and several notices, addressing these requirements under section 7874 since the section was enacted in 2004,<sup>635</sup> and have sought to expand the reach of the section or reduce the tax benefits of inversion transactions. For example, Notice 2014-52 announced Treasury's and the IRS's intention to issue regulations and took a two-pronged approach. First, it addressed the treatment of cross-border combination transactions themselves. Second, it addressed post-transaction steps that taxpayers may undertake with respect to US-owned foreign subsidiaries making it more difficult to access foreign earnings without incurring added U.S. tax. On November 19, 2015, Treasury and the IRS issued Notice 2015-79, which announced their intent to issue further regulations to limit cross-border merger transactions, expanding on the guidance issued in Notice 2014-52. In 2016, Treasury and the IRS issued proposed and temporary regulations that incorporate the rules previously announced in Notice 2014-52 and Notice 2015-79 and a new multiple domestic entity acquisition rule.<sup>636</sup>

In early 2017, Treasury issued final and temporary regulations<sup>637</sup> that adopt, with few changes, the 2016 temporary and proposed regulations.

## 2. Entity classification

Certain entities are eligible to elect their classification for Federal tax purposes under the "check-the-box" regulations adopted in 1997.<sup>638</sup> Those regulations simplified the entity classification process for both taxpayers and the IRS by making the entity classification of unincorporated entities explicitly elective in most instances.<sup>639</sup> The eligibility to elect and the

---

<sup>635</sup> Notice 2015-79, 2015 I.R.B. LEXIS 583 (Nov. 19, 2015), which announced their intent to issue further regulations to limit cross-border merger transactions, expanding on the guidance issued in Notice 2014-52. On April 4, 2016, Treasury and the IRS issued proposed and temporary regulations (T.D. 9761) that incorporate the rules previously announced in Notice 2014-52 and Notice 2015-79 and a new multiple domestic entity acquisition rule. On January 13, 2017, Treasury and the IRS issued final and temporary regulations under section 7874 (T.D. 9812), which adopt, with few changes, prior temporary and proposed regulations, which identify certain stock of an acquiring foreign corporation that is disregarded in calculating the ownership of the foreign corporation for purposes of section 7874.

<sup>636</sup> T.D. 9761, April 4, 2016. But see, *Chamber of Commerce v Internal Revenue Service*, Cause No 1:16-CV-944-LY (W.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2017), granting summary judgment to plaintiff in challenge to temporary regulations based on lack of compliance with Administrative Procedure Requirements.

<sup>637</sup> T.D. 9812, January 13, 2017.

<sup>638</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 301.7701-1, *et seq.*

<sup>639</sup> The check-the-box regulations replaced Treas. Reg. sec. 301.7701-2, as in effect prior to 1997, under which the classification of unincorporated entities for Federal tax purposes was determined on the basis of a four characteristics indicative of status as a corporation: continuity of life, centralization of management, limited liability, and free transferability of interests. An entity that possessed three or more of these characteristics was treated as a corporation; if it possessed two or fewer, then it was treated as a partnership. Thus, to achieve characterization as a partnership under this system, taxpayers needed to arrange the governing instruments of an entity in such a way as to eliminate two of these corporate characteristics. The advent and proliferation of limited liability companies ("LLCs") under State laws allowed business owners to create customized entities that possessed

breadth of an entity's choices depend upon whether it is a "per se corporation" and its number of beneficial owners. Foreign as well as domestic entities may make the election. As a result, it is possible for an entity that operates across countries to be treated as a hybrid entity. A hybrid entity is one which is treated as a flow-through or disregarded entity for U.S. tax purposes but as a corporation for foreign tax purposes. For "reverse hybrid entities," the opposite is true. The election can affect the determination of the source of the income, availability of tax credits, and other tax attributes.

### 3. Source of income rules

The rules for determining the source of certain types of income are specified in the Code and described briefly below. Various factors determine the source of income for U.S. tax purposes, including the status or nationality of the payor, the status or nationality of the recipient, the location of the recipient's activities that generate the income, and the location of the assets that generate the income. To the extent that the source of income is not specified by statute, the Treasury Secretary may promulgate regulations that explain the appropriate treatment. However, many items of income are not explicitly addressed by either the Code or Treasury regulations, sometimes resulting in nontaxation of the income. On several occasions, courts have determined the source of such items by applying the rule for the type of income to which the disputed income is most closely analogous, based on all facts and circumstances.<sup>640</sup>

#### Interest

Interest is derived from U.S. sources if it is paid by the United States or any agency or instrumentality thereof, a State or any political subdivision thereof, or the District of Columbia. Interest is also from U.S. sources if it is paid by a resident or a domestic corporation on a bond, note, or other interest-bearing obligation.<sup>641</sup> Special rules apply to treat as foreign-source certain amounts paid on deposits with foreign commercial banking branches of U.S. corporations or partnerships and certain other amounts paid by foreign branches of domestic financial institutions.<sup>642</sup> Interest paid by the U.S. branch of a foreign corporation is also treated as U.S.-source income.<sup>643</sup>

---

a critical common feature—limited liability for investors—as well as other corporate characteristics the owners found desirable. As a consequence, classification was effectively elective for well-advised taxpayers.

<sup>640</sup> See, e.g., *Hunt v. Commissioner*, 90 T.C. 1289 (1988).

<sup>641</sup> Sec. 861(a)(1); Treas. Reg. sec. 1.861-2(a)(1).

<sup>642</sup> Secs. 861(a)(1) and 862(a)(1). For purposes of certain reporting and withholding obligations the source rule in section 861(a)(1)(B) does not apply to interest paid by the foreign branch of a domestic financial institution. This results in the payment being treated as a withholdable payment. Sec. 1473(1)(C).

<sup>643</sup> Sec. 884(f)(1).

## Dividends

Dividend income is generally sourced by reference to the payor's place of incorporation.<sup>644</sup> Thus, dividends paid by a domestic corporation are generally treated as entirely U.S.-source income. Similarly, dividends paid by a foreign corporation are generally treated as entirely foreign-source income. Under a special rule, dividends from certain foreign corporations that conduct U.S. businesses are treated in part as U.S.-source income.<sup>645</sup>

## Rents and royalties

Rental income is sourced by reference to the location or place of use of the leased property.<sup>646</sup> The nationality or the country of residence of the lessor or lessee does not affect the source of rental income. Rental income from property located or used in the United States (or from any interest in such property) is U.S.-source income, regardless of whether the property is real or personal, intangible or tangible.

Royalties are sourced in the place of use of (or the place of privilege to use) the property for which the royalties are paid.<sup>647</sup> This source rule applies to royalties for the use of either tangible or intangible property, including patents, copyrights, secret processes, formulas, goodwill, trademarks, trade names, and franchises.

## Income from sales of personal property

Subject to significant exceptions, income from the sale of personal property is sourced on the basis of the residence of the seller.<sup>648</sup> For this purpose, special definitions of the terms "U.S. resident" and "nonresident" are provided. A nonresident is defined as any person who is not a U.S. resident,<sup>649</sup> while the term "U.S. resident" comprises any juridical entity which is a U.S. person, all U.S. citizens, as well as any individual who is a U.S. resident without a tax home in a foreign country or a nonresident alien with a tax home in the United States.<sup>650</sup> As a result, nonresident includes any foreign corporation.<sup>651</sup>

---

<sup>644</sup> Secs. 861(a)(2), 862(a)(2).

<sup>645</sup> Sec. 861(a)(2)(B).

<sup>646</sup> Sec. 861(a)(4).

<sup>647</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>648</sup> Sec. 865(a).

<sup>649</sup> Sec. 865(g)(1)(B).

<sup>650</sup> Sec. 865(g)(1)(A).

<sup>651</sup> Sec. 865(g).

Several special rules apply. For example, income from the sale of inventory property is generally sourced to the place of sale, which is determined by where title to the property passes.<sup>652</sup> However, if the sale is by a nonresident and is attributable to an office or other fixed place of business in the United States, the sale is treated as income from U.S. sources without regard to the place of sale, unless it is sold for use, disposition, or consumption outside the United States and a foreign office materially participates in the sale.<sup>653</sup> Income from the sale of inventory property that a taxpayer produces (in whole or in part) in the United States and sells outside the United States, or that a taxpayer produces (in whole or in part) outside the United States and sells in the United States, is treated as partly U.S.-source and partly foreign-source.<sup>654</sup>

In determining the source of gain or loss from the sale or exchange of an interest in a foreign partnership, the IRS has taken the position that to the extent that there is unrealized gain attributable to partnership assets that are effectively connected with the U.S. business, the foreign person's gain or loss from the sale or exchange of a partnership interest is effectively connected gain or loss to the extent of the partner's distributive share of such unrealized gain or loss, and not capital gain or loss. Similarly, to the extent that the partner's distributive share of unrealized gain is attributable to a permanent establishment of the partnership under an applicable treaty provision, it may be subject to U.S. tax under a treaty.<sup>655</sup>

Gain on the sale of depreciable property is divided between U.S.-source and foreign-source in the same ratio that the depreciation was previously deductible for U.S. tax purposes.<sup>656</sup> Payments received on sales of intangible property are sourced in the same manner as royalties to the extent the payments are contingent on the productivity, use, or disposition of the intangible property.<sup>657</sup>

---

<sup>652</sup> Secs. 865(b), 861(a)(6), 862(a)(6); Treas. Reg. sec. 1.861-7(c).

<sup>653</sup> Sec. 865(e)(2).

<sup>654</sup> Sec. 863(b). A taxpayer may elect one of three methods for allocating and apportioning income as U.S.- or foreign-source: (1) the 50-50 method under which 50 percent of the income from the sale of inventory property in such a situation is attributable to the production activities and 50 percent to the sales activities, with the income sourced based on the location of those activities; (2) independent factory price ("IFP") method under which, in certain circumstances, an IFP may be established by the taxpayer to determine income from production activities; (3) the books and records method under which, with advance permission, the taxpayer may use books of account to detail the allocation of receipts and expenditures between production and sales activities. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.863-3(b), (c). If production activity occurs only within the United States, or only within foreign countries, then all income is sourced to where the production activity occurs; when production activities occur in both the United States and one or more foreign countries, the income attributable to production activities must be split between U.S. and foreign sources. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.863-3(c)(1). The sales activity is generally sourced based on where title to the property passes. Treas. Reg. secs. 1.863-3(c)(2), 1.861-7(c).

<sup>655</sup> Rev. Rul. 91-32, 1991-1 C.B. 107. But see, Grecian Magnesite Mining, Industrial & Shipping Co. SA v Commissioner, 149 T.C. No. 3 (2017).

<sup>656</sup> Sec. 865(c).

<sup>657</sup> Sec. 865(d).

### Personal services income

Compensation for labor or personal services is generally sourced to the place-of-performance. Thus, compensation for labor or personal services performed in the United States generally is treated as U.S.-source income, subject to an exception for amounts that meet certain *de minimis* criteria.<sup>658</sup> Compensation for services performed both within and without the United States is allocated between U.S.-and foreign-source.<sup>659</sup>

### Insurance income

Underwriting income from issuing insurance or annuity contracts generally is treated as U.S.-source income if the contract involves property in, liability arising out of an activity in, or the lives or health of residents of, the United States.<sup>660</sup>

### Transportation income

Sources rules generally provide that income from furnishing transportation that both begins and ends in the United States is U.S.-source income,<sup>661</sup> and 50-percent of income attributable to transportation that either begins or the ends in the United States is treated as U.S.-source income. However, to the extent that the operator of a shipping or cruise line is foreign, its ownership structure and the maritime law<sup>662</sup> applicable for determining what constitutes international shipping as well as specific income tax provisions combine to create an industry-specific departure from the rules generally applicable.<sup>663</sup>

---

<sup>658</sup> Sec. 861(a)(3). Gross income of a nonresident alien individual, who is present in the United States as a member of the regular crew of a foreign vessel, from the performance of personal services in connection with the international operation of a ship is generally treated as foreign-source income.

<sup>659</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.861-4(b).

<sup>660</sup> Sec. 861(a)(7).

<sup>661</sup> Sec. 863(c).

<sup>662</sup> U.S. law on navigation is codified in U.S. Code at title 33, and is consistent with the body of international maritime law. The normative principles of international maritime law for determining the maritime zones and territorial sovereignty over seas are embodied in the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea, first opened for signature in 1982. Since 1983, the Executive Branch has agreed that the treaty is generally consistent with existing international norms of the law of the sea and that the United States would act in conformity to the principles of the treaty other than those portions regarding deep seabed exploitation, even in the absence of ratification of the treaty.

<sup>663</sup> Due to the regulatory framework for aviation, an international flight must either originate or conclude in the country of residence of the airline's owner, where income tax for the international flight is assessed. In contrast to international shipping, international aviation cannot be carried out using flags-of-convenience. Thus, although tax law treats shipping and aviation similarly, the differences between the two industries and the applicable regulatory regimes produce different tax outcomes. Full territorial sovereignty applies within 12 nautical miles of one's coast; the contiguous waters beyond 12 nautical miles but up to 24 nautical miles are subject to some regulation. Within 200 nautical miles, a country may assert an economic zone for exploitation of living marine resources and some

### Income from space or ocean activities or international communications

In the case of a foreign person, generally no income from a space or ocean activity or from international communications is treated as U.S.-source income.<sup>664</sup> With respect to the latter, an exception is provided if the foreign person maintains an office or other fixed place of business in the United States, in which case the international communications income attributable to such fixed place of business is treated as U.S.-source income.<sup>665</sup> For U.S. persons, all income from space or ocean activities and 50 percent of income from international communications is treated as U.S.-source income.

### Amounts received with respect to guarantees of indebtedness

Amounts received, directly or indirectly, from a noncorporate resident or from a domestic corporation for the provision of a guarantee of indebtedness of such person are income from U.S. sources.<sup>666</sup> This includes payments that are made indirectly for the provision of a guarantee. For example, U.S.-source income under this rule includes a guarantee fee paid by a foreign bank to a foreign corporation for the foreign corporation's guarantee of indebtedness owed to the bank by the foreign corporation's domestic subsidiary, where the cost of the guarantee fee is passed on to the domestic subsidiary through, for instance, additional interest charged on the indebtedness. In this situation, the domestic subsidiary has paid the guarantee fee as an economic matter through higher interest costs, and the additional interest payments made by the subsidiary are treated as indirect payments of the guarantee fee and, therefore, as income from U.S. sources.

Such U.S.-source income also includes amounts received from a foreign person, whether directly or indirectly, for the provision of a guarantee of indebtedness of that foreign person if the payments received are connected with income of such person that is effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business. Amounts received from a foreign person, whether directly or indirectly, for the provision of a guarantee of that person's debt, are treated as foreign-source income if they are not from sources within the United States under section 861(a)(9).

---

minerals. Beyond 200 nautical miles are the "high seas" in which no sovereign state may assert exclusive jurisdiction.

<sup>664</sup> Sec. 863(d).

<sup>665</sup> Sec. 863(e).

<sup>666</sup> Sec. 861(a)(9). This provision effects a legislative override of the opinion in *Container Corp. v. Commissioner*, 134 T.C. 122 (February 17, 2010), aff'd 2011 WL1664358, 107 A.F.T.R.2d 2011-1831 (5th Cir. May 2, 2011), in which the Tax Court held that fees paid by a domestic corporation to its foreign parent with respect to guarantees issued by the parent for the debts of the domestic corporation were more closely analogous to compensation for services than to interest, and determined that the source of the fees should be determined by reference to the residence of the foreign parent-guarantor. As a result, the income was treated as income from foreign sources.

## 4. Intercompany transfers

### Transfer pricing

A basic U.S. tax principle applicable in dividing profits from transactions between related taxpayers is that the amount of profit allocated to each related taxpayer must be measured by reference to the amount of profit that a similarly situated taxpayer would realize in similar transactions with unrelated parties. The transfer pricing rules of section 482 and the accompanying Treasury regulations are intended to preserve the U.S. tax base by ensuring that taxpayers do not shift income properly attributable to the United States to a related foreign company through pricing that does not reflect an arm's-length result.<sup>667</sup> Similarly, the domestic laws of most U.S. trading partners include rules to limit income shifting through transfer pricing. The arm's-length standard is difficult to administer in situations in which no unrelated party market prices exist for transactions between related parties. When a foreign person with U.S. activities has transactions with related U.S. taxpayers, the amount of income attributable to U.S. activities is determined in part by the same transfer pricing rules of section 482 that apply when U.S. persons with foreign activities transact with related foreign taxpayers.

Section 482 authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to allocate income, deductions, credits, or allowances among related business entities<sup>668</sup> when necessary to clearly reflect income or otherwise prevent tax avoidance, and comprehensive Treasury regulations under that section adopt the arm's-length standard as the method for determining whether allocations are appropriate.<sup>669</sup> The regulations generally attempt to identify the respective amounts of taxable income of the related parties that would have resulted if the parties had been unrelated parties dealing at arm's length. For income from intangible property, section 482 provides “in the case of any transfer (or license) of intangible property (within the meaning of section 936(h)(3)(B)), the income with respect to such transfer or license shall be commensurate with the income attributable to the intangible.” By requiring inclusion in income of amounts commensurate with the income attributable to the intangible, Congress was responding to concerns regarding the effectiveness of the arm's-length standard with respect to intangible property—including, in particular, high-profit-potential intangibles.<sup>670</sup>

---

<sup>667</sup> For a detailed description of the U.S. transfer pricing rules, see Joint Committee on Taxation, *Present Law and Background Related to Possible Income Shifting and Transfer Pricing* (JCX-37-10), July 20, 2010, pp. 18-50.

<sup>668</sup> The term “related” as used herein refers to relationships described in section 482, which refers to “two or more organizations, trades or businesses (whether or not incorporated, whether or not organized in the United States, and whether or not affiliated) owned or controlled directly or indirectly by the same interests.”

<sup>669</sup> Section 1059A buttresses section 482 by limiting the extent to which costs used to determine custom valuation can also be used to determine basis in property imported from a related party. A taxpayer that imports property from a related party may not assign a value to the property for cost purposes that exceeds its customs value.

<sup>670</sup> H.R. Rep. No. 99-426, p. 423.



## Gain recognition on outbound transfers

If a transfer of intangible property to a foreign affiliate occurs in connection with certain corporate transactions, nonrecognition rules that may otherwise apply are suspended. The transferor of intangible property must recognize gain from the transfer as though he had sold the intangible (regardless of the stage of development of the intangible property) in exchange for payments contingent on the use, productivity or disposition of the transferred property in amounts that would have been received either annually over the useful life of the property or upon disposition of the property after the transfer.<sup>671</sup> The appropriate amounts of those imputed payments are determined using transfer-pricing principles. Final regulations issued in 2016 eliminate an exception under temporary regulations that permitted nonrecognition of gain from outbound transfers of foreign goodwill and going concern value. However, the Secretary announced that reinstatement of an exception for active trade or business is under consideration for cases with little potential for abuse and administrative difficulties.<sup>672</sup>

### **C. U.S. Tax Rules Applicable to Nonresident Aliens and Foreign Corporations (Inbound)**

Nonresident aliens and foreign corporations are generally subject to U.S. tax only on their U.S.-source income. Thus, the source and type of income received by a foreign person generally determines whether there is any U.S. income tax liability and the mechanism by which it is taxed. The U.S. tax rules for U.S. activities of foreign taxpayers apply differently to two broad types of income: U.S.-source income that is “fixed or determinable annual or periodical gains, profits, and income” (“FDAP income”) or income that is “effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business within the United States” (“ECI”). FDAP income generally is subject to a 30-percent gross-basis tax withheld at its source, while ECI is generally subject to the same U.S. tax rules that apply to business income derived by U.S. persons. That is, deductions are permitted in determining taxable ECI, which is then taxed at the same rates applicable to U.S. persons. Much FDAP income and similar income is, however, exempt from tax or is subject to a reduced rate of tax under the Code<sup>673</sup> or a bilateral income tax treaty.<sup>674</sup>

---

<sup>671</sup> Sec. 367(d).

<sup>672</sup> See, T.D. 9803, 81 F.R. 91012 (December 17, 2016). Treas. Reg. sec. 1.367(d)-1(b) now provides that the rules of section 367(d) apply to transfers of intangible property as defined under Treas. Sec. 1.367(a)-1(d)(5) after September 14, 2015, and to any transfers occurring before that date resulting from entity classification elections filed on or after September 15, 2015. Noting that commenters on the regulations had cited legislative history that contemplated active business exceptions, Treasury announced the reconsideration of the rule. U.S. Treasury Department, *Second Report to the President on Identifying and Reducing Tax Regulatory Burdens, Executive Order 13789* October 2, 2017, TNT Doc 2017-72131. The relevant legislative history is found at in H.R. Rep. No. 98-432, 98<sup>th</sup> Cong., 2d Sess. 1318-1320 (March 5, 1984) and Conference Report, H.R. Rep. No. 98-861, 98<sup>th</sup> Cong. 2d Sess. 951-957 (June 23, 1984).

<sup>673</sup> *E.g.*, the portfolio interest exception in section 871(h) (discussed below).

<sup>674</sup> Because each treaty reflects considerations unique to the relationship between the two treaty countries, treaty withholding tax rates on each category of income are not uniform across treaties.

## 1. Gross-basis taxation of U.S.-source income

Non-business income received by foreign persons from U.S. sources is generally subject to tax on a gross basis at a rate of 30 percent, which is collected by withholding at the source of the payment. As explained below, the categories of income subject to the 30-percent tax and the categories for which withholding is required are generally coextensive, with the result that determining the withholding tax liability determines the substantive liability.

The income of non-resident aliens or foreign corporations that is subject to tax at a rate of 30-percent includes FDAP income that is not effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business.<sup>675</sup> The items enumerated in defining FDAP income are illustrative; the common characteristic of types of FDAP income is that taxes with respect to the income may be readily computed and collected at the source, in contrast to the administrative difficulty involved in determining the seller's basis and resulting gain from sales of property.<sup>676</sup> The words "annual or periodical" are "merely generally descriptive" of the payments that could be within the purview of the statute and do not preclude application of the withholding tax to one-time, lump sum payments to nonresident aliens.<sup>677</sup>

With respect to income from shipping, the gross basis tax potentially applicable is four percent,<sup>678</sup> unless the income is effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business, and thus subject to the graduated rates, as determined under rules specific to U.S.-source gross transportation income rather than the more broadly applicable rules defining effectively connected income in section 864(c). Even if the income is within the purview of those special rules, it may nevertheless be exempt if the income is derived from the international operation of a ship or aircraft by a foreign entity organized in a jurisdiction which provides a reciprocal exemption to U.S. entities.<sup>679</sup>

### Types of FDAP income

FDAP income encompasses a broad range of types of gross income, but has limited application to gains on sales of property, including market discount on bonds and option

---

<sup>675</sup> Secs. 871(a), 881. If the FDAP income is also ECI, it is taxed on a net basis, at graduated rates.

<sup>676</sup> *Commissioner v. Wodehouse*, 337 U.S. 369, 388-89 (1949). After reviewing legislative history of the Revenue Act of 1936, the Supreme Court noted that Congress expressly intended to limit taxes on nonresident aliens to taxes that could be readily collectible, *i.e.*, subject to withholding, in response to "a theoretical system impractical of administration in a great number of cases. H.R. Rep. No. 2475, 74th Cong., 2d Sess. 9-10 (1936)." In doing so, the Court rejected P.G. Wodehouse's arguments that an advance royalty payment was not within the purview of the statutory definition of FDAP income.

<sup>677</sup> *Commissioner v. Wodehouse*, 337 U.S. 369, 393 (1949).

<sup>678</sup> Sec. 887.

<sup>679</sup> Sec. 883(a)(1). In addition, to the extent provided in regulations, income from shipping and aviation is not subject to the four-percent gross basis tax if the income is of a type that is not subject to the reciprocal exemption for net basis taxation. See sec. 887(b)(1). Comparable rules under section 872(b)(1) apply to income of nonresident alien individuals from shipping operations.

premiums.<sup>680</sup> Capital gains received by nonresident aliens present in the United States for fewer than 183 days are generally treated as foreign source and are thus not subject to U.S. tax, unless the gains are effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business; capital gains received by nonresident aliens present in the United States for 183 days or more<sup>681</sup> that are treated as income from U.S. sources are subject to gross-basis taxation.<sup>682</sup> In contrast, U.S.-source gains from the sale or exchange of intangibles are subject to tax and withholding if they are contingent upon the productivity of the property sold and are not effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business.<sup>683</sup>

Interest on bank deposits may qualify for exemption on two grounds, depending on where the underlying principal is held on deposit. Interest paid with respect to deposits with domestic banks and savings and loan associations, and certain amounts held by insurance companies, are U.S.-source income but are not subject to the U.S. tax when paid to a foreign person, unless the interest is effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business of the recipient.<sup>684</sup> Interest on deposits with foreign branches of domestic banks and domestic savings and loan associations is not treated as U.S.-source income and is thus exempt from U.S. tax (regardless of whether the recipient is engaged in a U.S. trade or business).<sup>685</sup> Similarly, interest and original issue discount on certain short-term obligations is also exempt from U.S. tax when paid to a foreign person.<sup>686</sup> Additionally, there is generally no information reporting required with respect to payments of such amounts.<sup>687</sup>

Although FDAP income includes U.S.-source portfolio interest, such interest is specifically exempt from the 30-percent gross-basis tax. Portfolio interest is any interest

---

<sup>680</sup> Although technically insurance premiums paid to a foreign insurer or reinsurer are FDAP income, they are exempt from withholding under Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1441-2(a)(7) if the insurance contract is subject to the excise tax under section 4371. Treas. Reg. secs. 1.1441-2(b)(1)(i) and 1.1441-2(b)(2).

<sup>681</sup> For purposes of this rule, whether a person is considered a resident in the United States is determined by application of the rules under section 7701(b).

<sup>682</sup> Sec. 871(a)(2). In addition, certain capital gains from sales of U.S. real property interests are subject to tax as effectively connected income (or in some instances as dividend income) under the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act of 1980 (“FIRPTA”).

<sup>683</sup> Secs. 871(a)(1)(D), 881(a)(4).

<sup>684</sup> Secs. 871(i)(2)(A), 881(d); Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1441-1(b)(4)(ii).

<sup>685</sup> Sec. 861(a)(1)(B); Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1441-1(b)(4)(iii).

<sup>686</sup> Secs. 871(g)(1)(B), 881(a)(3); Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1441-1(b)(4)(iv).

<sup>687</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1461-1(c)(2)(ii)(A), (B). Regulations require a bank to report interest if the recipient is a nonresident alien who resides in a country with which the United States has a satisfactory exchange of information program under a bilateral agreement and the deposit is maintained at an office in the United States. Treas. Reg. secs. 1.6049-4(b)(5) and 1.6049-8. The IRS publishes lists of the countries whose residents are subject to the reporting requirements, and those countries with respect to which the reported information will be automatically exchanged. Rev. Proc. 2017-31, available at <https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-drop/rp-17-31.pdf>, supplementing Rev. Proc. 2014-64.

(including original issue discount) that is paid on an obligation that is in registered form and for which the beneficial owner has provided to the U.S. withholding agent a statement certifying that the beneficial owner is not a U.S. person.<sup>688</sup> For obligations issued before March 19, 2012, portfolio interest also includes interest paid on an obligation that is not in registered form, provided that the obligation is shown to be targeted to foreign investors under the conditions sufficient to establish deductibility of the payment of such interest.<sup>689</sup> Portfolio interest, however, does not include interest received by a 10-percent shareholder,<sup>690</sup> certain contingent interest,<sup>691</sup> interest received by a controlled foreign corporation from a related person,<sup>692</sup> or interest received by a bank on an extension of credit made pursuant to a loan agreement entered into in the ordinary course of its trade or business.<sup>693</sup>

#### Imposition of gross-basis tax and reporting by U.S. withholding agents

The 30-percent tax on FDAP income is generally collected by means of withholding.<sup>694</sup> Withholding on FDAP payments to foreign payees is required unless the withholding agent,<sup>695</sup> *i.e.*, the person making the payment to the foreign person receiving the income, can establish that the beneficial owner of the amount is eligible for an exemption from withholding or a reduced rate of withholding under an income tax treaty.<sup>696</sup> The principal statutory exemptions from the 30-percent tax apply to interest on bank deposits, and portfolio interest, described above.<sup>697</sup>

In many instances, the income subject to withholding is the only income of the foreign recipient that is subject to any U.S. tax. No U.S. Federal income tax return from the foreign

---

<sup>688</sup> Sec. 871(h)(2).

<sup>689</sup> Sec. 163(f)(2)(B). The exception to the registration requirements for foreign targeted securities was repealed in 2010, effective for obligations issued two years after enactment, thus narrowing the portfolio interest exemption for obligations issued after March 18, 2012. See Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Law of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111-147, sec. 502(b).

<sup>690</sup> Sec. 871(h)(3).

<sup>691</sup> Sec. 871(h)(4).

<sup>692</sup> Sec. 881(c)(3)(C).

<sup>693</sup> Sec. 881(c)(3)(A).

<sup>694</sup> Secs. 1441, 1442.

<sup>695</sup> Withholding agent is defined broadly to include any U.S. or foreign person that has the control, receipt, custody, disposal, or payment of an item of income of a foreign person subject to withholding. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1441-7(a).

<sup>696</sup> Secs. 871, 881, 1441, 1442; Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1441-1(b).

<sup>697</sup> A reduced rate of withholding of 14 percent applies to certain scholarships and fellowships paid to individuals temporarily present in the United States. Sec. 1441(b). In addition to statutory exemptions, the 30-percent tax with respect to interest, dividends and royalties may be reduced or eliminated by a tax treaty between the United States and the country in which the recipient of income otherwise subject to tax is resident.

recipient is generally FIRA required with respect to the income from which tax was withheld, if the recipient has no ECI income and the withholding is sufficient to satisfy the recipient's liability. Accordingly, although the 30-percent gross-basis tax is a withholding tax, it is also generally the final tax liability of the foreign recipient (unless the foreign recipient files for a refund).

A withholding agent that makes payments of U.S.-source amounts to a foreign person is required to report and pay over any amounts of U.S. tax withheld. The reports are due to be filed with the IRS by March 15 of the calendar year following the year in which the payment is made. Two types of reports are required: (1) a summary of the total U.S.-source income paid and withholding tax withheld on foreign persons for the year and (2) a report to both the IRS and the foreign person of that person's U.S.-source income that is subject to reporting.<sup>698</sup> The nonresident withholding rules apply broadly to any financial institution or other payor, including foreign financial institutions.<sup>699</sup>

To the extent that the withholding agent deducts and withholds an amount, the withheld tax is credited to the recipient of the income.<sup>700</sup> If the agent withholds more than is required, and results in an overpayment of tax, the excess may be refunded to the recipient of the income upon filing of a timely claim for refund.

#### Excise tax on foreign reinsurance premiums

An excise tax applies to premiums paid to foreign insurers and reinsurers covering U.S. risks.<sup>701</sup> The excise tax is imposed on a gross basis at the rate of one percent on reinsurance and life insurance premiums, and at the rate of four percent on property and casualty insurance premiums. The excise tax does not apply to premiums that are effectively connected with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business or that are exempted from the excise tax under an applicable income tax treaty. The excise tax paid by one party cannot be credited if, for example, the risk is reinsured with a second party in a transaction that is also subject to the excise tax.

Many U.S. tax treaties provide an exemption from the excise tax, including the treaties with Germany, Japan, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.<sup>702</sup> To prevent persons from inappropriately obtaining the benefits of exemption from the excise tax, the treaties generally include an anti-conduit rule. The most common anti-conduit rule provides that the treaty exemption applies to the excise tax only to the extent that the risks covered by the premiums are

---

<sup>698</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1461-1(b), (c).

<sup>699</sup> See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1441-7(a) (definition of withholding agent includes foreign persons).

<sup>700</sup> Sec. 1462.

<sup>701</sup> Secs. 4371-4374.

<sup>702</sup> Generally, when a foreign person qualifies for benefits under such a treaty, the United States is not permitted to collect the insurance premiums excise tax from that person.

not reinsured with a person not entitled to the benefits of the treaty (or any other treaty that provides exemption from the excise tax).<sup>703</sup>

## 2. Net-basis taxation of U.S.-source income

The United States taxes on a net basis the income of foreign persons that is “effectively connected” with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States.<sup>704</sup> Any gross income derived by the foreign person that is not effectively connected with the person’s U.S. business is not taken into account in determining the rates of U.S. tax applicable to the person’s income from the business.<sup>705</sup>

### U.S. trade or business

A foreign person is subject to U.S. tax on a net basis if the person is engaged in a U.S. trade or business. Partners in a partnership and beneficiaries of an estate or trust are treated as engaged in the conduct of a trade or business within the United States if the partnership, estate, or trust is so engaged.<sup>706</sup>

The question whether a foreign person is engaged in a U.S. trade or business is factual and has generated much case law. Basic issues include whether the activity constitutes business rather than investing, whether sufficient activities in connection with the business are conducted in the United States, and whether the relationship between the foreign person and persons performing functions in the United States in respect of the business is sufficient to attribute those functions to the foreign person.

The trade or business rules differ from one activity to another. The term “trade or business within the United States” expressly includes the performance of personal services within the United States.<sup>707</sup> If, however, a nonresident alien individual performs personal services for a foreign employer, and the individual’s total compensation for the services and period in the United States are minimal (\$3,000 or less in total compensation and 90 days or fewer of physical presence in a year), the individual is not considered to be engaged in a U.S.

---

<sup>703</sup> In Rev. Rul. 2008-15, 2008-1 C.B. 633, the IRS provided guidance to the effect that the excise tax is imposed separately on each reinsurance policy covering a U.S. risk. Thus, if a U.S. insurer or reinsurer reinsures a U.S. risk with a foreign reinsurer, and that foreign reinsurer in turn reinsures the risk with a second foreign reinsurer, the excise tax applies to both the premium to the first foreign reinsurer and the premium to the second foreign reinsurer. In addition, if the first foreign reinsurer is resident in a jurisdiction with a tax treaty containing an excise tax exemption, the revenue ruling provides that the excise tax still applies to both payments to the extent that the transaction violates an anti-conduit rule in the applicable tax treaty. Even if no violation of an anti-conduit rule occurs, under the revenue ruling, the excise tax still applies to the premiums paid to the second foreign reinsurer, unless the second foreign reinsurer is itself entitled to an excise tax exemption.

<sup>704</sup> Secs. 871(b), 882.

<sup>705</sup> Secs. 871(b)(2), 882(a)(2).

<sup>706</sup> Sec. 875.

<sup>707</sup> Sec. 864(b).

trade or business.<sup>708</sup> Detailed rules govern whether trading in stocks or securities or commodities constitutes the conduct of a U.S. trade or business.<sup>709</sup> A foreign person who trades in stock or securities or commodities in the United States through an independent agent generally is not treated as engaged in a U.S. trade or business if the foreign person does not have an office or other fixed place of business in the United States through which trades are carried out. A foreign person who trades stock or securities or commodities for the person's own account also generally is not considered to be engaged in a U.S. business so long as the foreign person is not a dealer in stock or securities or commodities.

For eligible foreign persons, U.S. bilateral income tax treaties restrict the application of net-basis U.S. taxation. Under each treaty, the United States is permitted to tax business profits only to the extent those profits are attributable to a U.S. permanent establishment of the foreign person. The threshold level of activities that constitute a permanent establishment is generally higher than the threshold level of activities that constitute a U.S. trade or business. For example, a permanent establishment typically requires the maintenance of a fixed place of business over a significant period of time.

#### Effectively connected income

A foreign person that is engaged in the conduct of a trade or business within the United States is subject to U.S. net-basis taxation on the income that is “effectively connected” with the business. Specific statutory rules govern whether income is ECI.<sup>710</sup>

In the case of U.S.-source capital gain and U.S.-source income of a type that would be subject to gross basis U.S. taxation, the factors taken into account in determining whether the income is ECI include whether the income is derived from assets used in or held for use in the conduct of the U.S. trade or business and whether the activities of the trade or business were a material factor in the realization of the amount (the “asset use” and “business activities” tests).<sup>711</sup> Under the asset use and business activities tests, due regard is given to whether the income, gain, or asset was accounted for through the U.S. trade or business. All other U.S.-source income is treated as ECI.<sup>712</sup>

---

<sup>708</sup> Sec. 864(b)(1).

<sup>709</sup> Sec. 864(b)(2).

<sup>710</sup> Sec. 864(c).

<sup>711</sup> Sec. 864(c)(2).

<sup>712</sup> Sec. 864(c)(3).

A foreign person who is engaged in a U.S. trade or business may have limited categories of foreign-source income that are considered to be ECI.<sup>713</sup> Foreign-source income not included in one of these categories (described next) generally is exempt from U.S. tax.

A foreign person's income from foreign sources generally is considered to be ECI only if the person has an office or other fixed place of business within the United States to which the income is attributable and the income is in one of the following categories: (1) rents or royalties for the use of patents, copyrights, secret processes or formulas, good will, trade-marks, trade brands, franchises, or other like intangible properties derived in the active conduct of the trade or business; (2) interest or dividends derived in the active conduct of a banking, financing, or similar business within the United States or received by a corporation the principal business of which is trading in stocks or securities for its own account; or (3) income derived from the sale or exchange (outside the United States), through the U.S. office or fixed place of business, of inventory or property held by the foreign person primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of the trade or business, unless the sale or exchange is for use, consumption, or disposition outside the United States and an office or other fixed place of business of the foreign person in a foreign country participated materially in the sale or exchange.<sup>714</sup> Foreign-source dividends, interest, and royalties are not treated as ECI if the items are paid by a foreign corporation more than 50 percent (by vote) of which is owned directly, indirectly, or constructively by the recipient of the income.<sup>715</sup>

In determining whether a foreign person has a U.S. office or other fixed place of business, the office or other fixed place of business of an agent generally is disregarded. The place of business of an agent other than an independent agent acting in the ordinary course of business is not disregarded, however, if the agent either has the authority (regularly exercised) to negotiate and conclude contracts in the name of the foreign person or has a stock of merchandise from which he regularly fills orders on behalf of the foreign person.<sup>716</sup> If a foreign person has a U.S. office or fixed place of business, income, gain, deduction, or loss is not considered attributable to the office unless the office was a material factor in the production of the income, gain, deduction, or loss and the office regularly carries on activities of the type from which the income, gain, deduction, or loss was derived.<sup>717</sup>

---

<sup>713</sup> This income is subject to net-basis U.S. taxation after allowance of a credit for any foreign income tax imposed on the income. Sec. 906.

<sup>714</sup> Sec. 864(c)(4)(B).

<sup>715</sup> Sec. 864(c)(4)(D)(i).

<sup>716</sup> Sec. 864(c)(5)(A).

<sup>717</sup> Sec. 864(c)(5)(B).



Special rules apply in determining the ECI of an insurance company. The foreign-source income of a foreign corporation that is subject to tax under the insurance company provisions of the Code is treated as ECI if the income is attributable to its United States business.<sup>718</sup>

Income, gain, deduction, or loss for a particular year generally is not treated as ECI if the foreign person is not engaged in a U.S. trade or business in that year.<sup>719</sup> If, however, income or gain taken into account for a taxable year is attributable to the sale or exchange of property, the performance of services, or any other transaction that occurred in a prior taxable year, the determination whether the income or gain is taxable on a net basis is made as if the income were taken into account in the earlier year and without regard to the requirement that the taxpayer be engaged in a trade or business within the United States during the later taxable year.<sup>720</sup> If any property ceases to be used or held for use in connection with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business and the property is disposed of within 10 years after the cessation, the determination whether any income or gain attributable to the disposition of the property is taxable on a net basis is made as if the disposition occurred immediately before the property ceased to be used or held for use in connection with the conduct of a U.S. trade or business and without regard to the requirement that the taxpayer be engaged in a U.S. business during the taxable year for which the income or gain is taken into account.<sup>721</sup>

Transportation income from U.S. sources is treated as effectively connected with a foreign person's conduct of a U.S. trade or business only if the foreign person has a fixed place of business in the United States that is involved in the earning of such income and substantially all of such income of the foreign person is attributable to regularly scheduled transportation.<sup>722</sup> If the transportation income is effectively connected with conduct of a U.S. trade or business, the transportation income, along with transportation income that is from U.S. sources because the transportation both begins and ends in the United States, may be subject to net-basis taxation. Income from the international operation of a ship or aircraft may be eligible for an exemption under section 883, provided that the foreign jurisdiction has extended reciprocity for U.S.

---

<sup>718</sup> Sec. 864(c)(4)(C).

<sup>719</sup> Sec. 864(c)(1)(B).

<sup>720</sup> Sec. 864(c)(6).

<sup>721</sup> Sec. 864(c)(7).

<sup>722</sup> Sec. 887(b)(4).

businesses;<sup>723</sup> whether the party claiming an exemption is eligible for the tax relief;<sup>724</sup> and the activities that give rise to the income qualify under relevant regulations.

### Allowance of deductions

Taxable ECI is computed by taking into account deductions associated with gross ECI. For this purpose, the apportionment and allocation of deductions is addressed in detailed regulations. The regulations applicable to deductions other than interest expense set forth general guidelines for allocating deductions among classes of income and apportioning deductions between ECI and non-ECI. In some circumstances, deductions may be allocated on the basis of units sold, gross sales or receipts, costs of goods sold, profits contributed, expenses incurred, assets used, salaries paid, space used, time spent, or gross income received. More specific guidelines are provided for the allocation and apportionment of research and experimental expenditures, legal and accounting fees, income taxes, losses on dispositions of property, and net operating losses. Detailed regulations under section 861 address the allocation and apportionment of interest deductions. In general, interest is allocated and apportioned based on assets rather than income.

### **3. Special rules**

#### FIRPTA

A foreign person's gain or loss from the disposition of a U.S. real property interest ("USRPI") is treated as ECI and, therefore, as taxable at the income tax rates applicable to U.S. persons, including the rates for net capital gain. A foreign person subject to tax on this income is required to file a U.S. tax return under the normal rules relating to receipt of ECI.<sup>725</sup> In the case of a foreign corporation, the gain from the disposition of a USRPI may also be subject to the branch profits tax at a 30-percent rate (or lower treaty rate).

The payor of income that FIRPTA treats as ECI ("FIRPTA income") is generally required to withhold U.S. tax from the payment.<sup>726</sup> The foreign person can request a refund with its U.S. tax return, if appropriate, based on that person's total ECI and deductions (if any) for the taxable year.

---

<sup>723</sup> The most recent compilation of countries that the United States recognizes as providing exemptions lists countries in three groups: Twenty-seven countries are eligible for exemption on the basis of a review of the legislation in the foreign jurisdiction; 39 nations exchanged diplomatic notes with the United States that grant exemption to some extent; and more than 50 nations are parties with the United States to bilateral income tax treaties that include a shipping article. Rev. Rul. 2008-17, 2008-1 C.B. 626, modified by Ann. 2008-57, 2008-C.B. 1192, 2008.

<sup>724</sup> Sec. 883(c) and regulations thereunder.

<sup>725</sup> Sec. 897(a).

<sup>726</sup> Sec. 1445 and Treasury regulations thereunder.

## Branch profits taxes

A domestic corporation owned by foreign persons is subject to U.S. income tax on its net income. The earnings of the domestic corporation are subject to a second tax, this time at the shareholder level, when dividends are paid. As described previously, when the shareholders are foreign, the second-level tax is imposed at a flat rate and collected by withholding. Unless the portfolio interest exemption or another exemption applies, interest payments made by a domestic corporation to foreign creditors are likewise subject to U.S. tax. To approximate these second-level withholding taxes imposed on payments made by domestic subsidiaries to their foreign parent corporations, the United States taxes a foreign corporation that is engaged in a U.S. trade or business through a U.S. branch on amounts of U.S. earnings and profits that are shifted out of, or amounts of interest that are deducted by, the U.S. branch of the foreign corporation. These branch taxes may be reduced or eliminated under an applicable income tax treaty.<sup>727</sup>

Under the branch profits tax, the United States imposes a tax of 30 percent on a foreign corporation's "dividend equivalent amount."<sup>728</sup> The dividend equivalent amount generally is the earnings and profits of a U.S. branch of a foreign corporation attributable to its ECI.<sup>729</sup> Limited categories of earnings and profits attributable to a foreign corporation's ECI are excluded in calculating the dividend equivalent amount.<sup>730</sup>

In arriving at the dividend equivalent amount, a branch's effectively connected earnings and profits are adjusted to reflect changes in a branch's U.S. net equity (that is, the excess of the branch's assets over its liabilities, taking into account only amounts treated as connected with its U.S. trade or business).<sup>731</sup> The first adjustment reduces the dividend equivalent amount to the extent the branch's earnings are reinvested in trade or business assets in the United States (or reduce U.S. trade or business liabilities). The second adjustment increases the dividend equivalent amount to the extent prior reinvested earnings are considered remitted to the home office of the foreign corporation.

Interest paid by a U.S. trade or business of a foreign corporation generally is treated as if paid by a domestic corporation and therefore is subject to U.S. 30-percent withholding tax (if the interest is paid to a foreign person and a Code or treaty exemption or reduction would not be available if the interest were actually paid by a domestic corporation).<sup>732</sup> Certain "excess interest" of a U.S. trade or business of a foreign corporation is treated as if paid by a U.S.

---

<sup>727</sup> See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.884-1(g), -5.

<sup>728</sup> Sec. 884(a).

<sup>729</sup> Sec. 884(b).

<sup>730</sup> See sec. 884(d)(2) (excluding, for example, earnings and profits attributable to gain from the sale of domestic corporation stock that constitutes a U.S. real property interest described in section 897).

<sup>731</sup> Sec. 884(b).

<sup>732</sup> Sec. 884(f)(1)(A).

corporation to a foreign parent and, therefore, is subject to U.S. 30-percent withholding tax.<sup>733</sup> For this purpose, excess interest is the excess of the interest expense of the foreign corporation apportioned to the U.S. trade or business over the amount of interest paid by the trade or business.

### Earnings stripping

Taxpayers are limited in their ability to reduce the U.S. tax on the income derived from their U.S. operations through certain earnings stripping transactions that involve interest payments. If the payor's debt-to-equity ratio exceeds 1.5 to 1 (a debt-to-equity ratio of 1.5 to 1 or less is considered a "safe harbor"), a deduction for disqualified interest paid or accrued by the payor in a taxable year is generally disallowed to the extent of the payor's excess interest expense.<sup>734</sup> Disqualified interest includes interest paid or accrued to related parties when no Federal income tax is imposed with respect to such interest;<sup>735</sup> to unrelated parties in certain instances in which a related party guarantees the debt ("guaranteed debt"); or to a REIT by a taxable REIT subsidiary of that REIT. Excess interest expense is the amount by which the payor's net interest expense (that is, the excess of interest paid or accrued over interest income) exceeds 50 percent of its adjusted taxable income (generally taxable income computed without regard to deductions for net interest expense, net operating losses, domestic production activities under section 199, depreciation, amortization, and depletion). Interest amounts disallowed under these rules can be carried forward indefinitely and are allowed as a deduction to the extent of excess limitation in a subsequent tax year. In addition, any excess limitation (that is, the excess, if any, of 50 percent of the adjusted taxable income of the payor over the payor's net interest expense) can be carried forward three years.

---

<sup>733</sup> Sec. 884(f)(1)(B).

<sup>734</sup> Sec. 163(j).

<sup>735</sup> If a tax treaty reduces the rate of tax on interest paid or accrued by the taxpayer, the interest is treated as interest on which no Federal income tax is imposed to the extent of the same proportion of such interest as the rate of tax imposed without regard to the treaty, reduced by the rate of tax imposed under the treaty, bears to the rate of tax imposed without regard to the treaty. Sec. 163(j)(5)(B).

## **D. U.S. Tax Rules Applicable to Foreign Activities of U.S. Persons (Outbound)**

### **1. In general**

In general, income earned directly by a U.S. person from the conduct of a foreign business is taxed on a current basis,<sup>736</sup> but income earned indirectly from a separate legal entity operating the foreign business is not. Instead, active foreign business income earned by a U.S. person indirectly through an interest in a foreign corporation generally is not subject to U.S. tax until the income is distributed as a dividend to the U.S. person. Certain anti-deferral regimes may cause the U.S. owner to be taxed on a current basis in the United States on certain categories of passive or highly mobile income earned by the foreign corporation regardless of whether the income has been distributed as a dividend to the U.S. owner. The main anti-deferral regimes that provide such exceptions are the controlled foreign corporation (“CFC”) rules of subpart F<sup>737</sup> and the passive foreign investment company (“PFIC”) rules.<sup>738</sup> A foreign tax credit generally is available to offset, in whole or in part, the U.S. tax owed on foreign-source income, whether the income is earned directly by the domestic corporation, repatriated as an actual dividend, or included in the domestic parent corporation’s income under one of the anti-deferral regimes.<sup>739</sup>

### **2. Anti-deferral regimes**

#### **Subpart F**

Subpart F,<sup>740</sup> applicable to CFCs and their shareholders, is the main anti-deferral regime of relevance to a U.S.-based multinational corporate group. A CFC generally is defined as any foreign corporation if U.S. persons own (directly, indirectly, or constructively) more than 50 percent of the corporation’s stock (measured by vote or value), taking into account only those U.S. persons that are within the meaning of the term “United States shareholder,” which refers only to those U.S. persons who own at least 10 percent of the stock (measured by vote only).<sup>741</sup>

#### **Subpart F income**

Under the subpart F rules, the United States generally taxes the 10-percent U.S. shareholders of a CFC on their pro rata shares of certain income of the CFC (referred to as

---

<sup>736</sup> A U.S. citizen or resident living abroad may be eligible to exclude from U.S. taxable income certain foreign earned income and foreign housing costs under section 911. For a description of this exclusion, see *Present Law and Issues in U.S. Taxation of Cross-Border Income* (JCX-42-11), September 6, 2011, p. 52.

<sup>737</sup> Secs. 951-964.

<sup>738</sup> Secs. 1291-1298.

<sup>739</sup> Secs. 901, 902, 960, 1293(f).

<sup>740</sup> Secs. 951-964.

<sup>741</sup> Secs. 951(b), 957, 958. The term “United States shareholder” is used interchangeably herein with “U.S. shareholder.”

“subpart F income”), without regard to whether the income is distributed to the shareholders.<sup>742</sup> In effect, the United States treats the 10-percent U.S. shareholders of a CFC as having received a current distribution of the corporation’s subpart F income. With exceptions described below, subpart F income generally includes passive income and other income that is readily movable from one taxing jurisdiction to another. Subpart F income consists of foreign base company income,<sup>743</sup> insurance income,<sup>744</sup> and certain income relating to international boycotts and other violations of public policy.<sup>745</sup>

Foreign base company income consists of foreign personal holding company income, which includes passive income such as dividends, interest, rents, and royalties, and a number of categories of income from business operations, including foreign base company sales income, foreign base company services income, and foreign base company oil-related income.<sup>746</sup>

Insurance income subject to current inclusion under the subpart F rules includes any income of a CFC attributable to the issuing or reinsuring of any insurance or annuity contract in connection with risks located in a country other than the CFC’s country of organization. Subpart F insurance income also includes income attributable to an insurance contract in connection with risks located within the CFC’s country of organization as the result of an arrangement under which another corporation receives a substantially equal amount of consideration for insurance of other country risks. Finally, special rules apply under subpart F with respect to related person insurance income<sup>747</sup> in order to address captive insurance companies.<sup>748</sup> Under these rules, the threshold for determining control is reduced to 25 percent, and any level of stock ownership by a U.S. person in such corporation is sufficient for the person to be treated as a U.S. shareholder.

#### Investments in U.S. property

The 10-percent U.S. shareholders of a CFC also are required to include currently in income for U.S. tax purposes their pro rata shares of the corporation’s untaxed earnings invested

---

<sup>742</sup> Sec. 951(a).

<sup>743</sup> Sec. 954.

<sup>744</sup> Sec. 953.

<sup>745</sup> Sec. 952(a)(3)-(5).

<sup>746</sup> Sec. 954.

<sup>747</sup> Sec. 953(c). Related person insurance income is defined for this purpose to mean any insurance income attributable to a policy of insurance or reinsurance with respect to which the primary insured is either a U.S. shareholder (within the meaning of the provision) in the foreign corporation receiving the income or a person related to such a shareholder.

<sup>748</sup> Joint Committee on Taxation, *General Explanation of the Tax Reform Act of 1986* (JCS-10-87), May 4, 1987, p. 968.

in certain items of U.S. property.<sup>749</sup> This U.S. property generally includes tangible property located in the United States, stock of a U.S. corporation, an obligation of a U.S. person, and certain intangible assets, such as patents and copyrights, acquired or developed by the CFC for use in the United States.<sup>750</sup> There are specific exceptions to the general definition of U.S. property, including for bank deposits, certain export property, and certain trade or business obligations.<sup>751</sup> The inclusion rule for investment of earnings in U.S. property is intended to prevent taxpayers from avoiding U.S. tax on dividend repatriations by repatriating CFC earnings through non-dividend payments, such as loans to U.S. persons.

### Subpart F exceptions

Several exceptions to the broad definition of subpart F income permit continued deferral for income from certain transactions, dividends, interest and certain rents and royalties received by a CFC from a related corporation organized and operating in the same foreign country in which the CFC is organized.<sup>752</sup> The same-country exception is not available to the extent that the payments reduce the subpart F income of the payor. A second exception from foreign base company income and insurance income is available for any item of income received by a CFC if the taxpayer establishes that the income was subject to an effective foreign income tax rate greater than 90 percent of the maximum U.S. corporate income tax rate (that is, more than 90 percent of 35 percent, or 31.5 percent).<sup>753</sup>

A provision colloquially referred to as the “CFC look-through” rule excludes from foreign personal holding company income dividends, interest, rents, and royalties received or accrued by one CFC from a related CFC (with relation based on control) to the extent attributable or properly allocable to non-subpart-F income of the payor.<sup>754</sup> The look-through rule applies to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning before January 1, 2020, and to taxable years of U.S. shareholders with or within which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.<sup>755</sup>

---

<sup>749</sup> Secs. 951(a)(1)(B), 956.

<sup>750</sup> Sec. 956(c)(1).

<sup>751</sup> Sec. 956(c)(2).

<sup>752</sup> Sec. 954(c)(3).

<sup>753</sup> Sec. 954(b)(4).

<sup>754</sup> Sec. 954(c)(6).

<sup>755</sup> See section 144 of the Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes Act of 2015 (Division Q of Pub. L. No. 114-113), H.R. 2029 [“the PATH Act of 2015”], which extended section 954(c)(6) for five years. Congress has previously extended the application of section 954(c)(6) several times, most recently in the Tax Increase Prevention Act of 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-295; Pub. L. No. 107-147, sec. 614, 2002; Pub. L. No. 106-170, sec. 503, 1999; Pub. L. No. 105-277, 1998.

There is also an exclusion from subpart F income for certain income of a CFC that is derived in the active conduct of banking or financing business (“active financing income”), which applies to all taxable years of the foreign corporation beginning after December 31, 2014, and for taxable years of the shareholders that end during or within such taxable years of the corporation.<sup>756</sup> With respect to income derived in the active conduct of a banking, financing, or similar business, a CFC is required to be predominantly engaged in such business and to conduct substantial activity with respect to such business in order to qualify for the active financing exceptions. In addition, certain nexus requirements apply, which provide that income derived by a CFC or a qualified business unit (“QBU”) of a CFC from transactions with customers is eligible for the exceptions if, among other things, substantially all of the activities in connection with such transactions are conducted directly by the CFC or QBU in its home country, and such income is treated as earned by the CFC or QBU in its home country for purposes of such country’s tax laws. Moreover, the exceptions apply to income derived from certain cross border transactions, provided that certain requirements are met.

In the case of a securities dealer, an exception from foreign personal holding company income applies to any interest or dividend (or certain equivalent amounts) from any transaction, including a hedging transaction or a transaction consisting of a deposit of collateral or margin, entered into in the ordinary course of the dealer’s trade or business as a dealer in securities within the meaning of section 475.<sup>757</sup> In the case of a QBU of the dealer, the income is required to be attributable to activities of the QBU in the country of incorporation, or to a QBU in the country in which the QBU both maintains its principal office and conducts substantial business activity. A coordination rule provides that, for securities dealers, this exception generally takes precedence over the exception for active financing income.

Income is treated as active financing income only if, among other requirements, it is derived by a CFC or by a QBU of that CFC. Certain activities conducted by persons related to the CFC or its QBU are treated as conducted directly by the CFC or QBU.<sup>758</sup> An activity qualifies under this rule if the activity is performed by employees of the related person and if the related person is an eligible CFC, the home country of which is the same as the home country of the related CFC or QBU; the activity is performed in the home country of the related person; and the related person receives arm’s-length compensation that is treated as earned in the home country. Income from an activity qualifying under this rule is excluded from subpart F income so long as the other active financing requirements are satisfied.

Certain income of a qualifying branch of a qualifying insurance company with respect to risks located within the home country of the branch or within the CFC’s country of creation or organization are also excepted from foreign personal holding company income, provided that certain requirements are met. Further, additional exceptions from insurance income and from

---

<sup>756</sup> Sec. 954(h). See section 128 of the PATH Act of 2015, which made the active financing exception permanent.

<sup>757</sup> Sec. 954(c)(2)(C).

<sup>758</sup> Sec. 954(h)(3)(E).



foreign personal holding company income apply for certain income of certain CFCs or branches with respect to risks located in a country other than the United States, provided that the requirements for these exceptions, including reserve requirements, are met.<sup>759</sup>

#### Exclusion of previously taxed earnings and profits

A 10-percent U.S. shareholder of a CFC may exclude from its income actual distributions of earnings and profits from the CFC that were previously included in the 10-percent U.S. shareholder's income under subpart F.<sup>760</sup> Any income inclusion (under section 956) resulting from investments in U.S. property may also be excluded from the 10-percent U.S. shareholder's income when such earnings are ultimately distributed.<sup>761</sup> Ordering rules provide that distributions from a CFC are treated as coming first out of earnings and profits of the CFC that have been previously taxed under subpart F, then out of other earnings and profits.<sup>762</sup>

#### Basis adjustments

In general, a 10-percent U.S. shareholder of a CFC receives a basis increase with respect to its stock in the CFC equal to the amount of the CFC's earnings that are included in the 10-percent U.S. shareholder's income under subpart F.<sup>763</sup> Similarly, a 10-percent U.S. shareholder of a CFC generally reduces its basis in the CFC's stock in an amount equal to any distributions that the 10-percent U.S. shareholder receives from the CFC that are excluded from its income as previously taxed under subpart F.<sup>764</sup>

#### **Passive foreign investment companies**

The Tax Reform Act of 1986<sup>765</sup> established the PFIC anti-deferral regime. A PFIC is generally defined as any foreign corporation if 75 percent or more of its gross income for the taxable year consists of passive income, or 50 percent or more of its assets consists of assets that

---

<sup>759</sup> Subject to approval by the IRS, a taxpayer may establish that the reserve of a life insurance company for life insurance and annuity contracts is the amount taken into account in determining the foreign statement reserve for the contract (reduced by catastrophe, equalization, or deficiency reserve or any similar reserve). IRS approval is to be based on whether the method, the interest rate, the mortality and morbidity assumptions, and any other factors taken into account in determining foreign statement reserves (taken together or separately) provide an appropriate means of measuring income for Federal income tax purposes.

<sup>760</sup> Sec. 959(a)(1).

<sup>761</sup> Sec. 959(a)(2).

<sup>762</sup> Sec. 959(c).

<sup>763</sup> Sec. 961(a).

<sup>764</sup> Sec. 961(b).

<sup>765</sup> Pub. L. No. 99-514.

produce, or are held for the production of, passive income.<sup>766</sup> Alternative sets of income inclusion rules apply to U.S. persons that are shareholders in a PFIC, regardless of their percentage ownership in the company. One set of rules applies to PFICs that are qualified electing funds, under which electing U.S. shareholders currently include in gross income their respective shares of the company's earnings, with a separate election to defer payment of tax, subject to an interest charge, on income not currently received.<sup>767</sup> A second set of rules applies to PFICs that are not qualified electing funds, under which U.S. shareholders pay tax on certain income or gain realized through the company, plus an interest charge that is attributable to the value of deferral.<sup>768</sup> A third set of rules applies to PFIC stock that is marketable, under which electing U.S. shareholders currently take into account as income (or loss) the difference between the fair market value of the stock as of the close of the taxable year and their adjusted basis in such stock (subject to certain limitations), often referred to as "marking to market."<sup>769</sup>

Under the PFIC regime, passive income is any income which is of a kind that would be foreign personal holding company income, including dividends, interest, royalties, rents, and certain gains on the sale or exchange of property, commodities, or foreign currency. However, among other exceptions, passive income does not include any income derived in the active conduct of an insurance business by a corporation that is predominantly engaged in an insurance business and that would be subject to tax under subchapter L if it were a domestic corporation.<sup>770</sup> In applying the insurance exception, the IRS analyzes whether risks assumed under contracts issued by a foreign company organized as an insurer are truly insurance risks, whether the risks are limited under the terms of the contracts, and the status of the company as an insurance company.<sup>771</sup>

### **Other anti-deferral rules**

The subpart F and PFIC rules are not the only anti-deferral regimes. Other rules that impose current U.S. taxation on income earned through corporations include the accumulated earnings tax rules<sup>772</sup> and the personal holding company rules.

Rules for coordination among the anti-deferral regimes are provided to prevent U.S. persons from being subject to U.S. tax on the same item of income under multiple regimes. For

---

<sup>766</sup> Sec. 1297.

<sup>767</sup> Secs. 1293-1295.

<sup>768</sup> Sec. 1291.

<sup>769</sup> Sec. 1296.

<sup>770</sup> Sec. 1297(b)(2)(B).

<sup>771</sup> Notice 2003-34, 2003-C.B. 1 990, June 9, 2003. See also, Prop. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1297-4, 26 CFR Part 1, REG-108214-15, April 24, 2015.

<sup>772</sup> Secs. 531-537.

example, a corporation generally is not treated as a PFIC with respect to a particular shareholder if the corporation is also a CFC and the shareholder is a 10-percent U.S. shareholder. Thus, subpart F is allowed to trump the PFIC rules.

### 3. Foreign tax credit

Subject to certain limitations, U.S. citizens, resident individuals, and domestic corporations are allowed to claim credit for foreign income taxes they pay. A domestic corporation that owns at least 10 percent of the voting stock of a foreign corporation is allowed a “deemed-paid” credit for foreign income taxes paid by the foreign corporation that the domestic corporation is deemed to have paid when the related income is distributed as a dividend or is included in the domestic corporation’s income under the anti-deferral rules.<sup>773</sup>

The foreign tax credit generally is limited to a taxpayer’s U.S. tax liability on its foreign-source taxable income (as determined under U.S. tax accounting principles). This limit is intended to ensure that the credit serves its purpose of mitigating double taxation of foreign-source income without offsetting U.S. tax on U.S.-source income.<sup>774</sup> The limit is computed by multiplying a taxpayer’s total U.S. tax liability for the year by the ratio of the taxpayer’s foreign-source taxable income for the year to the taxpayer’s total taxable income for the year. If the total amount of foreign income taxes paid and deemed paid for the year exceeds the taxpayer’s foreign tax credit limitation for the year, the taxpayer may carry back the excess foreign taxes to the previous year or carry forward the excess taxes to one of the succeeding 10 years.<sup>775</sup>

The computation of the foreign tax credit limitation requires a taxpayer to determine the amount of its taxable income from foreign sources in each limitation category (described below) by allocating and apportioning deductions between U.S.-source gross income, on the one hand, and foreign-source gross income in each limitation category, on the other. In general, deductions are allocated and apportioned to the gross income to which the deductions factually relate.<sup>776</sup> However, subject to certain exceptions, deductions for interest expense and research and experimental expenses are apportioned based on taxpayer ratios.<sup>777</sup> In the case of interest expense, this ratio is the ratio of the corporation’s foreign or domestic (as applicable) assets to its worldwide assets. In the case of research and experimental expenses, the apportionment ratio is based on either sales or gross income. All members of an affiliated group of corporations

---

<sup>773</sup> Secs. 901, 902, 960, 1291(g).

<sup>774</sup> Secs. 901, 904.

<sup>775</sup> Sec. 904(c).

<sup>776</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.861-8(b), Temp. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.861-8T(c).

<sup>777</sup> Temp. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.861-9T, Treas. Reg. sec. 1.861-17.

generally are treated as a single corporation for purposes of determining the apportionment ratios.<sup>778</sup>

The term “affiliated group” is determined generally by reference to the rules for determining whether corporations are eligible to file consolidated returns.<sup>779</sup> These rules exclude foreign corporations from an affiliated group.<sup>780</sup> Interest expense allocation rules permitting a U.S. affiliated group to apportion the interest expense of the members of the U.S. affiliated group on a worldwide-group basis were modified in 2004, and initially effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2008.<sup>781</sup> The effective date of the modified rules has been delayed to January 1, 2021.<sup>782</sup> A result of this rule is that interest expense of foreign members of a U.S. affiliated group is taken into account in determining whether a portion of the interest expense of the domestic members of the group must be allocated to foreign-source income. An allocation to foreign-source income generally is required only if, in broad terms, the domestic members of the group are more highly leveraged than is the entire worldwide group. The new rules are generally expected to reduce the amount of the U.S. group’s interest expense that is allocated to foreign-source income.

The foreign tax credit limitation is applied separately to passive category income and to general category income.<sup>783</sup> Passive category income includes passive income, such as portfolio interest and dividend income, and certain specified types of income. All other income is in the general category. Passive income is treated as general category income if it is earned by a qualifying financial services entity. Passive income is also treated as general category income if it is highly taxed (that is, if the foreign tax rate is determined to exceed the highest rate of tax specified in Code section 1 or 11, as applicable). Dividends (and subpart F inclusions), interest, rents, and royalties received by a 10-percent U.S. shareholder from a CFC are assigned to a separate limitation category by reference to the category of income out of which the dividends or

---

<sup>778</sup> Sec. 864(e)(1), (6); Temp. Treas. Reg. sec. 1.861-14T(e)(2).

<sup>779</sup> Secs. 864(e)(5), 1504.

<sup>780</sup> Sec. 1504(b)(3).

<sup>781</sup> Sec. 864(f); “American Jobs Creation Act of 2004” (“AJCA”), Pub. L. 108-357, sec. 401(a).

<sup>782</sup> Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, Pub. L. No. 111-147, sec. 551(a).

<sup>783</sup> Sec. 904(d). AJCA generally reduced the number of income categories from nine to two, effective for tax years beginning in 2006. Before AJCA, the foreign tax credit limitation was applied separately to the following categories of income: (1) passive income, (2) high withholding tax interest, (3) financial services income, (4) shipping income, (5) certain dividends received from noncontrolled section 902 foreign corporations (also known as “10/50 companies”), (6) certain dividends from a domestic international sales corporation or former domestic international sales corporation, (7) taxable income attributable to certain foreign trade income, (8) certain distributions from a foreign sales corporation or former foreign sales corporation, and (9) any other income not described in items (1) through (8) (so-called “general basket” income). A number of other provisions of the Code, including several enacted in 2010 as part of Pub. L. No. 111-226, create additional separate categories in specific circumstances or limit the availability of the foreign tax credit in other ways. See, e.g., secs. 865(h), 901(j), 904(d)(6), 904(h)(10).

other payments were made.<sup>784</sup> Dividends received by a 10-percent corporate shareholder of a foreign corporation that is not a CFC are also categorized on a look-through basis.<sup>785</sup>

Special rules apply to the allocation of income and losses from foreign and U.S. sources within each category of income.<sup>786</sup> Foreign losses from one category will first be used to offset income from foreign sources of other categories. If there remains an overall foreign loss, it will be deducted against income from U.S. sources. The same principle applies to losses from U.S. sources. In subsequent years, the losses that were deducted against another category or source of income will be recaptured. That is, an equal amount of income from the same category or source that generated a loss in the prior year will be recharacterized as income from the other category or source against which the loss was deducted. Up to 50 percent of income from one source in any subsequent year will be recharacterized as income from the other source, whereas foreign-source income in a particular category can be fully recharacterized as income in another category until the losses from prior years are fully recaptured.<sup>787</sup>

In addition to the foreign tax credit limitation just described, a taxpayer's ability to claim a foreign tax credit may be further limited by a matching rule that prevents the separation of creditable foreign taxes from the associated foreign income. Under this rule, a foreign tax generally is not taken into account for U.S. tax purposes, and thus no foreign tax credit is available with respect to that foreign tax, until the taxable year in which the related income is taken into account for U.S. tax purposes.<sup>788</sup>

#### **4. Special rules**

##### Dual consolidated loss rules

Under the rules applicable to corporations filing consolidated returns, a dual consolidated loss (“DCL”) is any net operating loss of a domestic corporation if the corporation is subject to an income tax of a foreign country without regard to whether such income is from sources in or outside of such foreign country, or if the corporation is subject to such a tax on a residence basis (a “dual resident corporation”).<sup>789</sup> A DCL generally cannot be used to reduce the taxable income of any member of the corporation's affiliated group. Losses of a separate unit of a domestic corporation (a foreign branch or an interest in a hybrid entity owned by the corporation) are subject to this limitation in the same manner as if the unit were a wholly owned subsidiary of

---

<sup>784</sup> Sec. 904(d)(3). The subpart F rules applicable to CFCs and their 10-percent U.S. shareholders are described below.

<sup>785</sup> Sec. 904(d)(4).

<sup>786</sup> Secs. 904(f), (g).

<sup>787</sup> Secs. 904(f)(1), (g)(1).

<sup>788</sup> Sec. 909.

<sup>789</sup> Sec. 1503(d).

such corporation. An exemption is available under Treasury regulations in the case of DCLs for which a domestic use election (that is, an election to use the loss only for domestic, and not foreign, tax purposes) has been made.<sup>790</sup> Recapture is required, however, upon the occurrence of certain triggering events, including the conversion of a separate unit to a foreign corporation and the transfer of 50 percent or more of the assets of a separate unit within a twelve-month period.<sup>791</sup>

#### Temporary dividends-received deduction for repatriated foreign earnings

AJCA section 421 added to the Code section 965, a temporary provision intended to encourage U.S. multinational companies to repatriate foreign earnings. Under section 965, for one taxable year certain dividends received by a U.S. corporation from its CFCs were eligible for an 85-percent dividends-received deduction. At the taxpayer's election, this deduction was available for dividends received either during the taxpayer's first taxable year beginning on or after October 22, 2004, or during the taxpayer's last taxable year beginning before such date.

The temporary deduction was subject to a number of general limitations. First, it applied only to cash repatriations generally in excess of the taxpayer's average repatriation level calculated for a three-year base period preceding the year of the deduction. Second, the amount of dividends eligible for the deduction was generally limited to the amount of earnings shown as permanently invested outside the United States on the taxpayer's recent audited financial statements. Third, to qualify for the deduction, dividends were required to be invested in the United States according to a domestic reinvestment plan approved by the taxpayer's senior management and board of directors.<sup>792</sup>

No foreign tax credit (or deduction) was allowed for foreign taxes attributable to the deductible portion of any dividend.<sup>793</sup> For this purpose, the taxpayer was permitted to specifically identify which dividends were treated as carrying the deduction and which dividends were not. In other words, the taxpayer was allowed to choose which of its dividends were treated as meeting the base-period repatriation level (and thus carry foreign tax credits, to the extent otherwise allowable), and which of its dividends were treated as part of the excess eligible for the deduction (and thus subject to proportional disallowance of any associated foreign tax

---

<sup>790</sup> Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1503(d)-6(d).

<sup>791</sup> See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.1503(d)-6(e)(1).

<sup>792</sup> Section 965(b)(4). The plan was required to provide for the reinvestment of the repatriated dividends in the United States, including as a source for the funding of worker hiring and training, infrastructure, research and development, capital investments, and the financial stabilization of the corporation for the purposes of job retention or creation.

<sup>793</sup> Sec. 965(d)(1).

credits).<sup>794</sup> Deductions were disallowed for expenses that were directly allocable to the deductible portion of any dividend.<sup>795</sup>

### Domestic international sales corporations

A domestic international sales corporation (“DISC”) is a domestic corporation that satisfies the following conditions: 95 percent of its gross receipts must be qualified export receipts; 95 percent of the sum of the adjusted bases of all its assets must be attributable to the sum of the adjusted bases of qualified export assets; the corporation must have no more than one class of stock; the par or stated value of the outstanding stock must be at least \$2,500 on each day of the taxable year; and an election must be in effect to be taxed as a DISC.<sup>796</sup> In general, a DISC is not subject to corporate-level tax and offers limited deferral of tax liability to its shareholders.<sup>797</sup> DISC income attributable to a maximum of \$10 million annually of qualified export receipts is generally exempt from income tax at both the corporate and shareholder level. Shareholders must pay interest to account for the benefit of deferring the tax liability on undistributed DISC income related to this \$10 million maximum annual amount.<sup>798</sup> Such entities are also referred to as interest charge DISCs, or IC-DISCs. Shareholders of a DISC are deemed to receive a dividend out of current earnings and profits from qualified export receipts in excess of \$10 million.<sup>799</sup> Gain on the sale of DISC stock is treated as a dividend to the extent of accumulated DISC income.<sup>800</sup> The shareholders of a corporation which is not a DISC, but was a DISC in a previous taxable year, and which has previously taxed income or accumulated DISC income, are also required to pay interest on the deferral benefit, and gain on the sale or exchange of stock in such corporation is treated as a dividend.

---

<sup>794</sup> Accordingly, taxpayers generally were expected to pay regular dividends out of high-taxed CFC earnings (thereby generating deemed-paid credits available to offset foreign-source income) and section 965 dividends out of low-taxed CFC earnings (thereby availing themselves of the 85-percent deduction).

<sup>795</sup> Sec. 965(d)(2).

<sup>796</sup> Secs. 992(a) and (b). If a corporation fails to satisfy either or both of the 95-percent tests, it is deemed to satisfy such tests if it makes a pro rata distribution of its gross receipts which are not qualified export receipts and the fair market value of its assets which are not qualified export assets. Sec. 992(c).

<sup>797</sup> Sec. 991. Prior to the 1984 Revenue Act (Pub. L. 98-369), DISCs were eligible for more generous tax benefits that were eliminated in favor of the since-repealed foreign sales corporation regime (“FSC”). An overview of the history of the DISCs and FSCs regimes is provided in Joseph Isenbergh, Vol. 3 *U.S. Taxation of Foreign Persons and Foreign Income*, Para. 81. (Fourth Ed. 2016).

<sup>798</sup> The rate is the average of one-year constant maturity Treasury yields. The deferral benefit is the excess of the amount of tax for which the shareholder would be liable if deferred DISC income were included as ordinary income over the actual tax liability of such shareholder. Sec. 995(f).

<sup>799</sup> The amount of the deemed distribution is the sum of several items, including qualified export receipts in excess of \$10 million. See sec. 955(b).

<sup>800</sup> Sec. 995(c).

## IV. INTERNATIONAL TAX REFORM

### A. Establishment of Participation Exemption System for Taxation of Foreign Income

#### 1. Deduction for foreign-source portion of dividends received by domestic corporations from specified 10-percent owned foreign corporations

##### Description of Proposal

##### In general

The proposal provides for an exemption for certain foreign income. This exemption is provided for by means of a 100-percent deduction for the foreign-source portion of dividends received from specified 10-percent owned foreign corporations by domestic corporations that are United States shareholders of those foreign corporations within the meaning of section 951(b)<sup>801</sup> (referred to here as “DRD”).

A specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation is any foreign corporation (other than a PFIC that is not also a CFC) with respect to which any domestic corporation is a U.S. shareholder.<sup>802</sup>

##### Foreign-source portion of a dividend

The DRD is available only for the foreign-source portion of dividends received by a domestic corporation from specified 10-percent owned foreign corporations. The foreign-source portion of any dividend is the amount that bears the same ratio to the dividend as the undistributed foreign earnings bears to the total undistributed earnings. Undistributed earnings are the amount of the earnings and profits of a specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation<sup>803</sup> as of the close of the taxable year of the specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation in which the dividend is distributed and not reduced by dividends<sup>804</sup> distributed during that taxable year. Undistributed foreign earnings are the portion of the undistributed earnings attributable to neither income described in section 245(a)(5)(A) nor section 245(a)(5)(B), without regard to section 245(a)(12).

---

<sup>801</sup> Under section 951(b), a domestic corporation is a United States shareholder of a foreign corporation if it owns, within the meaning of section 958(a), or is considered as owning by applying the rules of section 958(b), 10 percent or more of the voting stock of the foreign corporation.

<sup>802</sup> Secs. 1297, 1298.

<sup>803</sup> Computed in accordance with secs. 964(a) and 986.

<sup>804</sup> Pursuant to section 959(d), a distribution of previously taxed income does not constitute a dividend even if it reduces earnings and profits.



### **Hybrid dividends**

The DRD is not available for any dividend received by a U.S. shareholder from a controlled foreign corporation if the dividend is a hybrid dividend. A hybrid dividend is an amount received from a controlled foreign corporation for which a deduction would be allowed under this proposal and for which the specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation received a deduction (or other tax benefit) from taxes imposed by a foreign country.

If a controlled foreign corporation with respect to which a domestic corporation is a U.S. shareholder receives a hybrid dividend from any other controlled foreign corporation with respect to which the domestic corporation is also a U.S. shareholder, then the hybrid dividend is treated for purposes of section 951(a)(1)(A) as subpart F income of the recipient controlled foreign corporation for the taxable year of the controlled foreign corporation in which the dividends was received and the U.S. shareholder includes in gross income an amount equal to the shareholder's pro rata share of the subpart F income, determined in the same manner as section 951(a)(2).

### **Foreign tax credit disallowance**

No foreign tax credit or deduction is allowed for any taxes paid or accrued with respect to a dividend that qualifies for the DRD.

For purposes of computing the section 904(a) foreign tax credit limitation, a domestic corporation that is a U.S. shareholder of a specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation must compute its foreign-source taxable income (and entire taxable income) by disregarding the foreign-source portion of any dividend received from that foreign corporation for which the DRD is taken, as well as and any deductions properly allocable or apportioned to that foreign-source portion or the stock with respect to which it is paid.

### **Holding period requirement**

A domestic corporation is not permitted a DRD in respect of any dividend on any share of stock that is held by the domestic corporation for 365 days or less during the 731-day period beginning on the date that is 365 days before the date on which the share becomes ex-dividend with respect to the dividend. For this purpose, the holding period requirement is treated as met only if the specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation is a specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation at all times during the period and the taxpayer is a U.S. shareholder with respect to such specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation at all times during the period.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017 and for taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

## **2. Special rules relating to sales or transfers involving specified 10-percent owned foreign corporations**

### **Description of Proposal**

#### **Sales by United States persons of stock**

In the case of the sale or exchange by a domestic corporation of stock in a foreign corporation held for one year or more, the proposal provides that any amount received by the domestic corporation which is treated as a dividend for purposes of section 1248, is treated as a dividend for purposes of applying the proposal.

#### **Reduction in basis of certain foreign stock**

Solely for the purpose of determining a loss, a domestic corporate shareholder's adjusted basis in the stock of a specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation (as defined in this proposal) is reduced by an amount equal to the portion of any dividend received with respect to such stock from such foreign corporation that was not taxed by reason of a dividends received deduction allowable under section 245A in any taxable year of such domestic corporation. This rule applies in coordination with section 1059, such that any reduction in basis required pursuant to this proposal will be disregarded, to the extent the basis in the specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation's stock has already been reduced pursuant to section 1059.

#### **Sale by a CFC of a lower-tier CFC**

If for any taxable year of a CFC beginning after December 31, 2017, an amount is treated as a dividend under section 964(e)(1) because of a sale or exchange by the CFC of stock in another foreign corporation held for a year or more, then: (i) the foreign-source portion of the dividend is treated as subpart F income of the selling CFC for purposes of section 951(a)(1)(A), (ii) a United States shareholder with respect to the selling CFC includes in gross income for the taxable year of the shareholder with or within the taxable year of the CFC ends, an amount equal to the shareholder's pro rata share (determined in the same manner as under section 951(a)(2)) of the amount treated as subpart F income under (i), and (iii) the deduction under section 245A(a) is allowable to the United States shareholder with respect to the subpart F income included in gross income under (ii) in the same manner as if the subpart F income were a dividend received by the shareholder from the selling CFC.

In the case of a sale or exchange by a CFC of stock in another corporation in a taxable year of the selling CFC beginning after December 31, 2017, to which this proposal applies if gain were recognized, the earnings and profits of the selling controlled foreign corporation is not reduced by any loss from the sale or exchange.

#### **Inclusion of transferred loss amount in certain assets transfers**

Under the proposal, if a domestic corporation transfers substantially all of the assets of a foreign branch (within the meaning of section 367(a)(3)(C)) to a specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation with respect to which it is a U.S. shareholder after the transfer, the domestic

corporation includes in gross income an amount equal to the transferred loss amount, subject to certain limitations.

The transferred loss amount is the excess (if any) of: (1) losses incurred by the foreign branch after December 31, 2017, and before the transfer, for which a deduction was allowed to the domestic corporation, over (2) the sum of certain taxable income earned by the foreign branch and gain recognized by reason of an overall foreign loss recapture arising out of disposition of assets on account of the underlying transfer. For the purposes of (2), only taxable income of the foreign branch in taxable years after the loss is incurred through the close of the taxable year of the transfer, is included. The transferred loss amount is reduced by the amount of gain recognized by the taxpayer (other than gain recognized by reason of an overall foreign loss recapture) on account of the transfer.

The amount of loss included in the gross income of the taxpayer under the proposed rule above for any taxable year cannot exceed the amount allowed as a deduction under new section 245A for the taxable year (taking into account dividends received from all specified 10-percent owned foreign corporations with respect to which the taxpayer is a U.S. shareholder). Any amount not included in gross income for a taxable year because of this proposed rule is included in gross income in the succeeding taxable year.

Amounts included in gross income by reason of the proposal are treated as derived from sources within the United States. Consistent with regulations or guidance that the Secretary of the Treasury may prescribe, proper adjustments are made in the adjusted basis of the taxpayer's stock in the specified 10-percent owned foreign corporation to which the transfer is made, and in the transferee's adjusted basis in the property transferred, to reflect amounts included in gross income under this proposal.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal relating to reduction of basis in certain foreign stock for the purposes of determining a loss is effective for dividends received in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

The proposal relating to transfer of loss amounts from foreign branches to certain foreign corporations is effective for transfers after December 31, 2017.

## **3. Treatment of deferred foreign income upon transition to participation exemption system of taxation**

### **Description of Proposal**

#### **In general**

The proposal generally requires that, for the last taxable year beginning before January 1, 2018, any U.S. shareholder of a specified foreign corporation must include in income its pro rata share of the undistributed, non-previously-taxed post-1986 foreign earnings of the corporation ("mandatory inclusion"). For purposes of this proposal, a specified foreign corporation is any foreign corporation that has at least one U.S. shareholder. It does not include PFICs that are not

also CFCs. A portion of that pro rata share of foreign earnings is deductible; the amount of the deductible portion depends upon whether the deferred earnings are held in cash or other assets. The deduction results in a reduced rate of tax with respect to income from the required inclusion of pre-effective date earnings. A corresponding portion of the credit for foreign taxes is disallowed, thus limiting the credit to the taxable portion of the included income. The separate foreign tax credit limitation rules of present law section 904 apply, with coordinating rules. The increased tax liability generally may be paid over an eight-year period.

## **Subpart F**

The mechanism for the mandatory inclusion of pre-effective-date foreign earnings is subpart F. The proposal provides that in the last taxable year of a specified foreign corporation that begins before January 1, 2018, which is that foreign corporation's last taxable year before the transition to the new corporate tax regime elsewhere in the bill goes into effect, the subpart F income of the foreign corporation is increased by no less than the accumulated deferred foreign income of the corporation, determined as of November 9, 2017, or other applicable measurement date as appropriate ("measurement date"). The transition rule applies to all U.S. shareholders<sup>805</sup> of a specified foreign corporation, which includes any foreign corporation in which a U.S. person owns 10 percent of the voting stock. Consistent with the general operation of subpart F, each U.S. shareholder of a specified foreign corporation must include in income the shareholder's pro rata share of the foreign corporation's subpart F income attributable to its mandatory inclusion.<sup>806</sup>

## **Accumulated deferred foreign income**

A specified foreign corporation's accumulated deferred foreign income on the measurement date is based on all post-1986 foreign earnings and profits that are not previously taxed and not (1) attributable to income that is effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States and subject to U.S. income tax or (2) subpart F income (determined without regard to the mandatory inclusion) of a CFC that is included in the gross income of a U.S. shareholder of the CFC. The potential pool of includible earnings includes all undistributed foreign earnings accumulated in taxable years beginning after 1986, computed in accordance with sections 964(a) and 986, taking into account only periods when the foreign corporation was a specified corporation. The pool of post-1986 foreign earnings and profits is not reduced by distributions during the taxable year to which section 965 applies.

The pool of post-1986 earnings and profits taken into consideration in computing the mandatory inclusion required of a U.S. shareholder under this transition rule generally may be reduced by foreign earnings and profits deficits that are properly allocated to that person by

---

<sup>805</sup> Sec. 951(b), which defines United States shareholder as any U.S. person that owns 10 percent or more of the voting classes of stock of a foreign corporation.

<sup>806</sup> For purposes of taking into account its subpart F income under this rule, a noncontrolled 10/50 corporation is treated as a CFC.

reason of that person's interest in one or more specified foreign corporations with a deficit in post-1986 foreign earnings and profits as of the measurement date.

The aggregate foreign E & P deficit is generally allocable to a specified foreign corporation in the same ratio as the U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of post-1986 deferred income in that corporation bears to the U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of accumulated post-1986 deferred foreign income from all specified foreign corporations with respect to which the shareholder is a U.S. shareholder.

### **Deduction from mandatory inclusion**

U.S. shareholders with accumulated deferred foreign income may deduct a portion of the mandatory inclusion in an amount that depends upon the proportion of aggregate earnings and profits attributable to cash assets rather than noncash assets. A U.S. shareholder may deduct so much of the aggregate earnings and profits attributable to cash assets as is necessary to result in a tax rate of 10 percent for such inclusion. With respect to the remainder of the deferred income in the mandatory inclusion, the U.S. shareholder may deduct an amount sufficient to result in a tax rate of 5 percent with respect to such income.

The aggregate earnings and profits attributable to cash assets for a U.S. shareholder is the greater of the pro rata share of the cash position of all specified foreign corporations as of the last day of the taxable year of the mandatory inclusion, or the average of the cash position determined on the last day of each of the two taxable years ending immediately before the measurement date. Rules are provided to avoid double counting of cash assets.

### **Foreign tax credit**

The portion of foreign income tax that is deemed paid or accrued with respect to the taxable portion of the mandatory inclusion is not creditable or deductible against the Federal income tax attributable to the inclusion. The disallowed portion of foreign tax credits is 71.4 percent of foreign taxes paid attributable to the portion of the section 965 inclusion attributable to the aggregate cash position plus, 85.7 percent of foreign taxes paid attributable to the remaining portion of the section 965 inclusion.<sup>807</sup> The proposal coordinates the disallowance of foreign tax credits with the requirement<sup>808</sup> that a domestic corporate shareholder is deemed to receive a dividend in an amount equal to foreign taxes it is deemed to have paid and for which it claimed a credit.

---

<sup>807</sup> Other foreign tax credits used by a taxpayer against tax liability resulting from the deemed inclusion apply in full.

<sup>808</sup> Sec. 78.

### **Limitations on assessment extended**

The proposal also provides an exception to the otherwise applicable limitations period for assessment of tax to ensure that the period for assessment of underpayments in tax related to the determination treatment of the mandatory inclusion (including related deductions and credits) does not expire prior to six years from the date on which the tax return initially reflecting the mandatory inclusion was filed.

### **Installment payments**

A U.S. shareholder may elect to pay the net tax liability resulting from the mandatory inclusion of pre-effective-date undistributed CFC earnings in eight installments. If installment payment is elected, the payments for each of the first five years equals 8 percent of the net tax liability. The amount of the sixth installment is 15 percent of the net tax liability, increasing to 20 percent for the seventh installment and the remaining balance of 25 percent in the eighth year.

The net tax liability that may be paid in installments is the excess of the U.S. shareholder's net income tax for the taxable year in which the pre-effective-date undistributed CFC earnings are included in income over the taxpayer's net income tax for that year determined without regard to the inclusion. Net income tax means net income tax as defined for purposes of the general business credit, but reduced by the amount of that credit.

An election to pay tax in installments must be made by the due date for the tax return for the taxable year in which the pre-effective-date undistributed CFC earnings are included in income. The Treasury Secretary has authority to prescribe the manner of making the election. The first installment must be paid on the due date (determined without regard to extensions) for the tax return for the taxable year of the income inclusion. Succeeding installments must be paid annually no later than the due dates (without extensions) for the income tax return of each succeeding year. If a deficiency is later determined with respect to the net tax liability, the additional tax due may be prorated among all installment payments in most circumstances. The portions of the deficiency prorated to an installment that was due before the deficiency was assessed must be paid upon notice and demand. The portion prorated to any remaining installment is payable with the timely payment of that installment payment, unless the deficiency is attributable to negligence, intentional disregard of rules or regulations, or fraud with intent to evade tax, in which case the entire deficiency is payable upon notice and demand.

The timely payment of an installment does not incur interest. If a deficiency is determined that is attributable to an understatement of the net tax liability due under this proposal, the deficiency is payable with underpayment interest for the period beginning on the date on which the net tax liability would have been due, without regard to an election to pay in installments, and ending with the payment of the deficiency. Furthermore, any amount of deficiency prorated to a remaining installment also bears interest on the deficiency, but not on the original installment amount.

The proposal also includes an acceleration rule. If (1) there is a failure to pay timely any required installment, (2) there is a liquidation or sale of substantially all of the U.S. shareholder's assets (including in a bankruptcy case), (3) the U.S. shareholder ceases business, or (4) another

similar circumstance arises, the unpaid portion of all remaining installments is due on the date of the event (or, in a bankruptcy proceeding or similar case, the day before the petition is filed).

### **Special rule for S corporations**

A special rule permits deferral of the transition net tax liability for shareholders of a U.S. shareholder that is an S corporation.<sup>809</sup> The S corporation is required to report on its income tax return the amount includible in gross income by reason of this provision, as well as the amount of deduction that would be allowable, and provide a copy of such information to its shareholders. Any shareholder of the S corporation may elect to defer the net tax liability until the shareholder's taxable year in which a triggering event occurs. The election to defer the tax is due not later than the due date for the return of the S corporation for its last taxable year that begins before January 1, 2018.

Three types of events may trigger an end to deferral of the net tax liability. The first type of triggering event is a change in the status of the corporation as an S corporation. The second category includes liquidation, sale of substantially all corporate assets, termination of the company or end of business, or similar event, including reorganization in bankruptcy. The third type of triggering event is a transfer of shares of stock in the S corporation by the electing taxpayer, whether by sale, death or otherwise, unless the transferee of the stock agrees with the Secretary to be liable for net tax liability in the same manner as the transferor. Partial transfers trigger the end of deferral only with respect to the portion of tax properly allocable to the portion of stock sold.

If a shareholder of an S corporation has elected deferral under the special rule for S corporation shareholders and a triggering event occurs, the S corporation and the electing shareholder are jointly and severally liable for any net tax liability and related interest or penalties. The period within which the IRS may collect such liability does not begin before the date of an event that triggers the end of the deferral. If an election to defer payment of the net tax liability is in effect for a shareholder, that shareholder must report the amount of the deferred net tax liability on each income tax return due during the period that the election is in effect. Failure to include that information with each income tax return will result in a penalty equal to five-percent of the amount that should have been reported.

After a triggering event occurs, a shareholder in the S corporation may elect to pay the net tax liability in eight installments, subject to rules similar to those generally applicable absent deferral. Whether or not a shareholder may elect to pay in installments depends upon the type of event that triggered the end of deferral. If the triggering event is liquidation, sale of substantially all corporate assets, termination of the company or end of business, or similar event, the installment payment election is not available. Instead, the entire net tax liability is due upon notice and demand. The installment election is due with the timely return for the year in which

---

<sup>809</sup> Section 1361 defines an S corporation as a domestic small business corporation that has an election in effect for status as an S corporation, with fewer than 100 shareholders, none of whom are nonresident aliens, and all of whom are individuals, estates, trusts or certain exempt organizations.

the triggering event occurs. The first installment payment is required by the due date of the same return, determined without regard to extensions of time to file.

### **Recapture from expatriated entities**

The proposal denies any deduction claimed with respect to the mandatory subpart F inclusion and imposes a tax rate of 35-percent on the entire inclusion if a U.S. shareholder becomes an expatriated entity within the meaning of section 7874(a)(2) at any point within the ten-year period following enactment of this proposal. An entity that becomes a surrogate foreign corporation that is treated as a domestic corporation under section 7874(b) is not within the scope of this recapture proposal. Although the amount due is computed by reference to the year in which the deemed subpart F income was originally reported, the additional tax arises and is assessed for the taxable year in which the U.S. shareholder becomes an expatriated entity. No foreign tax credits are permitted with respect to the additional tax due as a result of the recapture rule. The Secretary is authorized to prescribe rules necessary to carry out the proposal.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for the last taxable year of foreign corporations beginning before January 1, 2018, and all subsequent taxable years of a foreign corporation and for the taxable years of a U.S. shareholder with or within which such taxable years end.



## **B. Rules Related to Passive and Mobile Income**

### **1. Current year inclusion of global intangible low-taxed income by United States shareholders**

#### **Description of Proposal**

Under the proposal, a U.S. shareholder of any CFC must include in gross income for a taxable year its global intangible low-taxed income (“GILTI”) in a manner generally similar to inclusions of subpart F income. GILTI means, with respect to any U.S. shareholder for the shareholder’s taxable year, the excess (if any) of the shareholder’s net CFC tested income over the shareholder’s net deemed tangible income return. The shareholder’s net deemed tangible income return is an amount equal to 10 percent of the aggregate of the shareholder’s pro rata share of the qualified business asset investment (“QBAI”) of each CFC with respect to which it is a U.S. shareholder.

#### **Net CFC tested income**

Net CFC tested income means, with respect to any U.S. shareholder, the excess of the aggregate of its pro rata share of the tested income of each CFC with respect to which it is a U.S. shareholder over the aggregate of its pro rata share of the tested loss of each CFC with respect to which it is a U.S. shareholder. Pro rata shares are determined under the rules of section 951(a)(2).

The tested income of a CFC means the excess (if any) of the gross income of the corporation determined without regard to certain exceptions to tested income: (1) the corporation’s ECI under section 952(b); (2) any gross income taken into account in determining the corporation’s subpart F income; (3) any gross income excluded from foreign base company income or insurance income by reason of the high-tax exception under section 954(b)(4); (4) any dividend received from a related person (as defined in section 954(d)(3)); and (5) any foreign oil and gas extraction income and foreign oil related income, over deductions (including taxes) properly allocable to such gross income under rules similar to the rules of section 954(b)(5).

The tested loss of a CFC means the excess (if any) of deductions (including taxes) properly allocable to the corporation’s gross income determined without regard to the tested income exceptions over the amount of such gross income.

#### **Qualified business asset investment**

QBAI means, with respect to any CFC for a taxable year, the average of the aggregate of its adjusted bases, determined as of the close of each quarter of the taxable year, in specified tangible property used in its trade or business and of a type with respect to which a deduction is generally allowable under section 167. The adjusted basis in any property must be determined using the alternative depreciation system under current section 168(g), notwithstanding any provision of law (or any other section of this bill) which is enacted after the date of enactment of this proposal.

Specified tangible property means any property used in the production of tested income or tested loss. If such property was used in the production of tested income and income that is not tested income, the property is treated as specified tangible property in the same proportion that the tested income produced with respect to the property bears to the total amount of gross income produced with respect to the property less deductions, including taxes, properly allocable to such gross income.

For purposes of determining QBAI, the Secretary is authorized to issue anti-avoidance regulations or other guidance as the Secretary determines appropriate, including regulations or other guidance that provide for the treatment of property if the property is transferred or held temporarily, or if avoidance was a factor in the transfer or holding of the property.

### **Coordination with subpart F**

In general, GILTI amount included in gross income is treated in the same manner as an amount included under section 951(a)(1)(A) for purposes of applying sections 168(h)(2)(B), 535(b)(10), 904(h)(1), 959, 961, 962, 993(a)(1)(E), 996(f)(1), 1248(b)(1), 1248(d)(1), 6501(e)(1)(C), 6654(d)(2)(D), and 6655(e)(4). However, the Secretary may provide rules for coordinating the GILTI inclusion with provisions of law in which the determination of subpart F income is required to be made at the CFC level.

The proposal requires that the amount of GILTI included by a U.S. shareholder be allocated across each CFC with respect to which it is a U.S. shareholder. The portion of GILTI treated as being with respect to a CFC equals zero for a CFC with no tested income and, for a CFC with tested income, the portion of GILTI which bears the same ratio to the total amount of GILTI as the U.S. shareholder's pro rata amount of tested income of the CFC bears to the aggregate amount of the U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of the tested income of each CFC with respect to which it is a U.S. shareholder.

For purposes of the GILTI inclusion, a person is treated as a U.S. shareholder of a CFC for any taxable year only if such person owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) stock in the corporation on the last day, in such year, on which the corporation is a controlled foreign corporation. A corporation is generally treated as a CFC for any taxable year if the corporation is a CFC at any time during the taxable year.

### **Deemed-paid credit for taxes properly attributable to tested income**

For any amount of GILTI included in the gross income of a domestic corporation, the corporation is deemed to have paid foreign income taxes equal to 80 percent of the product of the corporation's inclusion percentage multiplied by the aggregate tested foreign income taxes paid or accrued, with respect to tested income, by each CFC with respect to which the domestic corporation is a U.S. shareholder.

The inclusion percentage means, with respect to any domestic corporation, the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of such corporation's GILTI amount divided by the aggregate amount of its pro rata share of the tested income of each CFC with respect to which it is a U.S. shareholder.

Tested foreign income taxes means, with respect to any domestic corporation that is a U.S. shareholder of a CFC, the foreign income taxes paid or accrued by the CFC that are properly attributable to the CFC's tested income.

The proposal creates a separate foreign tax credit basket for GILTI, with no carryforward or carryback available for excess credits. For purposes of determining the foreign tax credit limitation, GILTI is not general category income, and income that is both GILTI and passive category income is considered passive category income. The taxes deemed to have been paid are treated as an increase in GILTI for purposes of section 78, determined by taking into account 100 percent of the aggregate tested foreign income taxes.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and for taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

## **2. Deduction for foreign-derived intangible income**

### **Description of Proposal**

In the case of a domestic corporation for its taxable year, the proposal allows a deduction equal to 37.5 percent of the lesser of (1) the sum of its foreign-derived intangible income plus the amount of GILTI that is included in its gross income, or (2) its taxable income, determined without regard to this proposal.<sup>810</sup> The foreign-derived intangible income of any domestic corporation is the amount which bears the same ratio to the corporation's deemed intangible income as its foreign-derived deduction eligible income bears to its deduction eligible income. The Secretary is authorized to prescribe regulations or other guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out this proposal.

### **Deduction eligible income**

Deduction eligible income means, with respect to any domestic corporation, the gross income of the corporation determined without regard to: (1) the subpart F income of the corporation under section 951; (2) the GILTI of the corporation; (3) any dividend received from a CFC with respect to which the corporation is a U.S. shareholder; and (4) any domestic oil and gas income of the corporation; and (5) any foreign branch income (as defined in section 904(d)(2)(J)) of the corporation, over the deductions (including taxes) properly allocable to such gross income.

### **Deemed intangible income**

The domestic corporation's deemed intangible income means the excess (if any) of its deduction eligible income over its deemed tangible income return. The deemed tangible income

---

<sup>810</sup> Global intangible low-income taxed income is defined in new sec. 951A.

return means, with respect to any corporation, an amount equal to 10 percent of the corporation's qualified business asset investment ("QBAI").

For purposes of computing its foreign-derived intangible income, a domestic corporation's QBAI is the average of the aggregate of its adjusted bases, determined as of the close of each quarter of the taxable year, in specified tangible property used in its trade or business and of a type with respect to which a deduction is allowable under section 167. The adjusted basis in any property must be determined using the alternative depreciation system under section 168(g), notwithstanding any provision of law (or any other section of this bill) which is enacted after the date of enactment of this proposal.

Specified tangible property means any tangible property used in the production of deduction eligible income. If such property was used in the production of deduction eligible income and income that is not deduction eligible income, the property is treated as specified tangible property in the same proportion that the deduction eligible income produced with respect to the property, bears to the total amount gross income produced with respect to the property less deductions (including taxes) properly allocable to such gross income.

### **Foreign-derived deduction eligible income**

Foreign-derived deduction eligible income means, with respect to a taxpayer for its taxable year, any deduction eligible income of the taxpayer that is derived in connection with (1) property that is sold by the taxpayer to any person who is not a United States person and that the taxpayer establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary is for a foreign use or (2) services provided by the taxpayer that the taxpayer establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary are provided to any person, or with respect to property, not located within the United States. Foreign use means any use, consumption, or disposition that is not within the United States. Special rules for determining foreign use apply to transactions that involve property or services provided to domestic intermediaries or related parties.

For purposes of the proposal, the terms "sold," "sells", and "sale" include any lease, exchange, or other disposition.

#### Property or services provided to domestic intermediaries

If a taxpayer sells property to another person (other than a related party) for further manufacture or modification within the United States, the property is not treated as sold for a foreign use even if such other person subsequently uses such property for foreign use. Income derived in connection with services provided to another person (other than a related party) located within the United States is not treated as foreign-derived deduction eligible income.

#### Special rules with respect to related party transactions

If property is sold to a related foreign party, the sale is not treated as for a foreign use unless the property is sold by the related foreign party to another person who is unrelated and is not a U.S. person and the taxpayer establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary that such property is for a foreign use. Income derived in connection with services provided to a related party who is not located in the United States is not treated as foreign-derived deduction eligible

income unless the taxpayer establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary that such service is not substantially similar to services provided by the related party to persons located within the United States.

For purposes of applying these rules, a related party means any member of an affiliated group as defined in section 1504(a) determined by substituting “more than 50 percent” for “at least 80 percent” each place it appears and without regard to sections 1504(b)(2) and 1504(b)(3). Any person (other than a corporation) is treated as a member of the affiliated group if the person is controlled by members of the group (including any entity treated as a member of the group by reason of this sentence) or controls any member, with control being determined under the rules of section 954(d)(3).

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

### **3. Special rules for transfers of intangible property from controlled foreign corporations to United States shareholders**

#### **Description of Proposal**

For certain distributions of intangible property held by a CFC on the date of enactment of this proposal, the fair market value of the property on the date of the distribution is treated as not exceeding the adjusted basis of the property immediately before the distribution. If the distribution is not a dividend, a U.S. shareholder’s adjusted basis in the stock of the CFC with respect to which the distribution is made is increased by the amount (if any) of the distribution that would, but for this proposal, be includible in gross income. The adjusted basis of the property in the hands of the U.S. shareholder immediately after the distribution is the adjusted basis immediately before the distribution, reduced by the amount of the increase (if any) described previously.

For purposes of the proposal, intangible property means intangible property as described in section 936(h)(3)(B) and computer software as described in section 197(e)(3)(B).

The proposal applies to distributions that are (1) received by a domestic corporation from a CFC with respect to which it is a U.S. shareholder and (2) made by the CFC before the last day of the third taxable year of the CFC beginning after December 31, 2017.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and for taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

## **C. Other Modifications of Subpart F Provisions**

### **1. Elimination of inclusion of foreign base company oil related income**

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal eliminates foreign base company oil related income as a category of foreign base company income.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and for taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

### **2. Inflation adjustment of *de minimis* exception for foreign base company income**

#### **Description of Proposal**

In the case of any taxable year beginning after 2017, the proposal indexes for inflation the \$1,000,000 *de minimis* amount for foreign base company income, with all increases rounded to the nearest multiple of \$50,000.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and for taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

### **3. Repeal of inclusion based on withdrawal of previously excluded subpart F income from qualified investment**

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals section 955. As a result, a U.S. shareholder in a CFC that invested its previously excluded subpart F income in qualified foreign base company shipping operations is no longer required to include in income a pro rata share of the previously excluded subpart F income when the CFC decreases such investments.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of U.S. shareholders within which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

#### **4. Modification of stock attribution rules for determining status as a controlled foreign corporation**

##### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal amends the ownership attribution rules of section 958(b) so that certain stock of a foreign corporation owned by a foreign person is attributed to a related U.S. person for purposes of determining whether the related U.S. person is a U.S. shareholder of the foreign corporation and, therefore, whether the foreign corporation is a CFC. In other words, the proposal provides “downward attribution” from a foreign person to a related U.S. person in circumstances in which present law does not so provide. The pro rata share of a CFC’s subpart F income that a United States shareholder is required to include in gross income, however, continues to be determined based on direct or indirect ownership of the CFC, without application of the new downward attribution rule.

##### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for the last taxable year of foreign corporations beginning before January 1, 2018 and all subsequent taxable years of a foreign corporation and for the taxable years of a U.S. shareholder with or with which such taxable years end.

#### **5. Modification of definition of United States shareholder**

##### **Description of Proposal**

This proposal expands the definition of U.S. shareholder under subpart F to include any U.S. person who owns 10 percent or more of the total value of shares of all classes of stock of a foreign corporation.

##### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for the last taxable year of foreign corporations beginning before January 1, 2018, and for taxable years of U.S. shareholders with or within which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

#### **6. Elimination of requirement that corporation must be controlled for 30 days before subpart F inclusions apply**

##### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal eliminates the requirement that a corporation must be controlled for an uninterrupted period of 30 days before subpart F inclusions apply.

##### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and for taxable years of U.S. shareholders with or within which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

## **7. Look-thru rule for related controlled foreign corporations made permanent**

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal makes permanent the exclusion from foreign personal holding company income for certain dividends, interest (including factoring income that is treated as equivalent to interest under section 954(c)(1)(E)), rents, and royalties received or accrued by one CFC from a related CFC.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2019, and for taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

## **8. Corporations eligible for deductions for dividends exempted from subpart F inclusions for increased investments in United States property**

### **Description of Proposal**

The requirement in subpart F that U.S. shareholders recognize income when earnings are repatriated in the form of increases in investment by a CFC in U.S. property is amended to provide an exception for domestic corporations that are U.S. shareholders in the CFC either directly or through a domestic partnership.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years of controlled foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and for taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of the foreign corporations end.



## **D. Prevention of Base Erosion**

### **1. Denial of deduction for interest expense of United States shareholders which are members of worldwide affiliated groups with excess domestic indebtedness**

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal addresses base erosion that results from excessive and disproportionate borrowing in the United States by limiting the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by U.S. corporations that are members of a worldwide affiliated group. For any domestic corporation that is a member of a worldwide affiliated group, the proposal reduces the deduction for interest paid or accrued by the corporation by the product of the net interest expense of the domestic corporation multiplied by the debt-to-equity differential percentage of the worldwide affiliated group. Net interest expense means the excess (if any) of: (1) interest paid or accrued by the taxpayer during the taxable year, over (2) the amount of interest includible in the gross income of the taxpayer for the taxable year.<sup>811</sup>

A worldwide affiliated group is one or more chains of corporations, connected through stock ownership with a common parent that would qualify as an affiliated group under section 1504, with two differences. First, the ownership threshold of section 1504(a)(2) is applied using 50 percent rather than 80 percent. Second, the restriction on inclusion of a foreign corporation under section 1504(b)(3) is disregarded for purposes of identifying the worldwide affiliated group.

The debt-to-equity differential percentage means, with respect to any worldwide affiliated group, the excess domestic indebtedness of the group divided by the total indebtedness of the domestic corporations that are members of the group. All U.S. members of the worldwide affiliated group are treated as one member when determining whether the group has excess domestic indebtedness as a result of a debt-to-equity differential. Excess domestic indebtedness is the amount by which the total indebtedness of the U.S. members exceeds 110 percent of the total indebtedness those members would hold if their total indebtedness to total equity ratio were proportionate to the ratio of total indebtedness to total equity in the worldwide group. Total equity means, with respect to one or more corporations, the excess (if any) of: (1) the money and all other assets of such corporations, over (2) the total indebtedness of such corporations. Intragroup debt and equity interests are disregarded for purposes of this computation.

The amount of any interest not allowed as a deduction for any taxable year by reason of this proposal or new section 163(j) (depending on whichever imposes the lower limitation with respect to such taxable year) can be carried forward indefinitely.

The Secretary is provided regulatory authority to provide rules for: (1) the prevention of the avoidance of this proposal, (2) the coordination of this proposal with section 884, (3) the

---

<sup>811</sup> The Secretary is provided is regulatory authority to provide for adjustments in determining the amount of net interest expense.

treatment of partnership indebtedness, allocation of partnership debt, interest, or distributive shares, and (4) the coordination of this proposal with section 163(j).

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **2. Limitations on income shifting through intangible property transfers**

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal addresses recurring definitional and methodological issues that have arisen in controversies<sup>812</sup> in transfers of intangible property for purposes of sections 367(d) and 482, both of which use the statutory definition of intangible property in section 936(h)(3)(B). The proposal revises that definition and confirms the authority to require certain valuation methods. It does not modify the basic approach of the existing transfer pricing rules with regard to income from intangible property.

Under the proposal, workforce in place, goodwill (both foreign and domestic), and going concern value are intangible property within the meaning of section 936(h)(3)(B), as is the residual category of “any similar item” the value of which is not attributable to tangible property or the services of an individual. The flush language at the end of that subparagraph is removed, to make clear that the source or amount of value is not relevant to whether property that is one of the specified types of intangible property is within the scope of the definition.

The proposal also clarifies the authority of the Commissioner to specify the method to be used to determine the value of intangible property, both with respect to outbound restructurings of U.S. operations and to intercompany pricing allocations.<sup>813</sup> First, in the case of transfers of multiple intangible properties in one or more related transactions, valuation of such intangible property on an aggregate basis is explicitly permitted if the Commissioner determines that an aggregate basis achieves a more reliable result than an asset-by-asset approach. The proposal is consistent with the position that the additional value that results from the interrelation of intangible assets can be properly attributed to the underlying intangible assets in the aggregate, where doing so yields a more reliable result. This approach is also consistent with Tax Court decisions in cases outside of the section 482 context, where collections of multiple, related

---

<sup>812</sup> *Veritas v. Commissioner*, 133 T.C. No. 14 (December 10, 2009), *non-acq.*, IRB 2010-49 (December 6, 2010).

<sup>813</sup> Secs. 367(d) and 482.

intangible assets were viewed by the Tax Court in the aggregate.<sup>814</sup> Finally, it is also consistent with the cost-sharing regulations.<sup>815</sup>

The proposal also codifies the realistic alternative principle with respect to intangible property. The realistic alternative principle is predicated on the notion that a taxpayer will only enter into a particular transaction if none of its realistic alternatives is economically preferable to the transaction under consideration. As a result, the existing regulations provide the IRS with the ability to determine an arm's-length price by reference to a transaction (such as the owner of intangible property using it to make a product itself) that is different from the transaction that was actually completed (such as the owner of that same intangible property licensing the manufacturing rights and then buying the product from the licensee).

### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to transfers in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017. No inference is intended with respect to application of section 936(h)(3)(B) or the authority of the Secretary to provide by regulation for such application on or before the date of enactment.

## **3. Certain related party amounts paid or accrued in hybrid transactions or with hybrid entities**

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal denies a deduction for any disqualified related party amount paid or accrued pursuant to a hybrid transaction or by, or to, a hybrid entity. A disqualified related party amount is any interest or royalty paid or accrued to a related party to the extent that: (1) there is no corresponding inclusion to the related party under the tax law of the country of which such related party is a resident for tax purposes, or (2) such related party is allowed a deduction with respect to such amount under the tax law of such country. A disqualified related party amount does not include any payment to the extent such payment is included in the gross income of a U.S. shareholder under section 951(a). A related party for these purposes is determined under the rules of section 954(d)(3), except that such section applies with respect to the payor as opposed to the CFC on otherwise referred to in such section.

---

<sup>814</sup> See, e.g., *Kraft Foods Co. v. Commissioner*, 21 T.C. 513 (1954) (thirty-one related patents must be valued as a group and the useful life for depreciation should be based on the average of the patents' useful lives); *Standard Conveyor Co. v. Commissioner*, 25 B.T.A. 281, p. 283 (1932) (“[I]t is evident that it is impossible to value these seven patents separately. Their value, as in the case of many groups of patents representing improvements on the prior art, appears largely to consist of their combination.”); *Massey-Ferguson, Inc. v. Commissioner*, 59 T.C. 220 (1972) (taxpayer who abandoned a distribution network of contracts with separate distributorships was entitled to an abandonment loss for the entire network in the taxable year during which the last of the contracts was terminated because that was the year in which the entire intangible value was lost).

<sup>815</sup> See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.482-7(g)(2)(iv) (if multiple transactions in connection with a cost-sharing arrangement involve platform, operating and other contributions of resources, capabilities or rights that are reasonably anticipated to be interrelated, then determination of the arm's-length charge for platform contribution transactions and other transactions on an aggregate basis may provide the most reliable measure of an arm's-length result).

A hybrid transaction is any transaction, series of transactions, agreement, or instrument one or more payments with respect to which are treated as interest or royalties for Federal income tax purposes and which are not so treated for purposes of the tax law of the foreign country of which the recipient of such payment is resident for tax purposes or is subject to tax. A hybrid entity is any entity which is either: (1) treated as fiscally transparent for Federal income tax purposes but not so treated for purposes of the tax law of the foreign country of which the entity is resident for tax purposes or is subject to tax, or (2) treated as fiscally transparent for purposes of the tax law of the foreign country of which the entity is resident for tax purposes or is subject to tax but not so treated for Federal income tax purposes.

The proposal grants the Secretary authority to issue regulations or other guidance as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of the proposal, including regulations or other guidance providing rules for: (1) denying deductions for conduit arrangements that involve a hybrid transaction or a hybrid entity, (2) the application of this proposal to foreign branches, (3) applying this proposal to certain structured transactions, (4) denying all or a portion of a deduction claimed for an interest or a royalty payment that, as a result of the hybrid transaction or entity, is included in the recipient's income under a preferential tax regime of the country of residence of the recipient and has the effect of reducing the country's generally applicable statutory tax rate by at least 25 percent, (5) denying all of a deduction claimed for an interest or a royalty payment if such amount is subject to a participation exemption system or other system which provides for the exclusion of a substantial portion of such amount, (6) rules for determining the tax residence of a foreign entity, and (7) exceptions to the general rule set forth in the proposal.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

#### **4. Termination of special rules for domestic international sales corporations**

##### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the special Code rules for DISCs and IC-DISCs.

In particular, the proposal terminates any corporate election to be treated as a DISC that is in effect for the corporation's last taxable year beginning in 2018. The termination is effective for the corporation's immediately succeeding taxable year (and all years thereafter). The proposal also prohibits any new corporate election to be treated as a DISC for any taxable year beginning after December 31, 2018.

As a result of the proposal's termination of existing corporate DISC elections and its prohibition of new DISC elections, the special rules that apply to DISCs, IC-DISCs and their shareholders will no longer have effect. In particular, corporations will no longer be permitted the exemption from corporate level tax allowed under the DISC rules, and individual shareholders of corporations for which DISC elections are terminated will be subject to shareholder-level taxation in respect of the earnings of the corporations in which they are shareholders under all the normal rules for shareholder-level taxation of corporate earnings.

The proposal includes a transition rule for shareholders of corporations the DISC elections of which are terminated. Under this transition rule, a shareholder of a corporation whose DISC election is terminated is deemed to have received, in the first taxable year for which the termination is effective, a distribution to which the section 995(b)(2) deemed distribution rules apply. The proposal provides that this deemed distribution – and any actual distribution after termination of the DISC election to the extent paid out of the corporation’s accumulated DISC income – is not a qualifying dividend under section 1(h)(11)(B). Consequently, an individual DISC shareholder is not eligible for the preferential tax rate allowed under section 1(h)(11) with respect to such distributions.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2018.

### **5. Surrogate foreign corporations not eligible for reduced rate on dividends**

#### **Description of Proposal**

Any individual shareholder who receives a dividend from a corporation which is a surrogate foreign corporation as defined in section 7874(a)(2)(B), other than a foreign corporation which is treated as a domestic corporation under section 7874(b), is not entitled to the lower rates on qualified dividends provided for in section 1(h).

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for dividends paid in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **E. Modifications Related to Foreign Tax Credit System**

### **1. Repeal of section 902 indirect foreign tax credits; determination of section 960 credit on current year basis**

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal repeals the deemed-paid credit with respect to dividends received by a domestic corporation which owns 10 percent or more of the voting stock of a foreign corporation.

A deemed-paid credit is provided with respect to any income inclusion under subpart F. The deemed-paid credit is limited to the amount of foreign income taxes properly attributable to the subpart F inclusion. Foreign income taxes under the proposal include income, war profits, or excess profits taxes paid or accrued by the CFC to any foreign country or possession of the United States. The proposal eliminates the need for computing and tracking cumulative tax pools.

Additionally, the proposal provides rules applicable to foreign taxes attributable to distributions from previously taxed earnings and profits, including distributions made through tiered-CFCs.

The Secretary is granted authority under the proposal to provide regulations and other guidance as may be necessary and appropriate to carry out the purposes of this proposal. It is anticipated that the Secretary would provide regulations with rules for allocating taxes similar to rules in place for purposes of determining the allocation of taxes to specific foreign tax credit baskets.<sup>816</sup> Under such rules, taxes are not attributable to an item of subpart F income if the base upon which the tax was imposed does not include the item of subpart F income. For example, if foreign law exempts a certain type of income from its tax base, no deemed-paid credit results from the inclusion of such income as subpart F. Tax imposed on income that is not included in subpart F income, is not considered attributable to subpart F income.

In addition to the rules described in this section, the proposal makes several conforming amendments to various other sections of the Code reflecting the repeal of section 902 and the modification of section 960. These conforming amendments include amending the section 78 gross-up provision to apply solely to taxes deemed paid under the amended section 960.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years of foreign corporation beginning after December 31, 2017, and for taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

---

<sup>816</sup> See Treas. Reg. sec. 1.904-6(a).

## **2. Separate foreign tax credit limitation basket for foreign branch income**

### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal requires foreign branch income to be allocated to a specific foreign tax credit basket. Foreign branch income is the business profits of a U.S. person which are attributable to one or more QBUs in one or more foreign countries.

Under this proposal, business profits of a QBU shall be determined under rules established by the Secretary. Business profits of a QBU shall not, however, include any income which is passive category income.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **3. Acceleration of election to allocate interest, etc., on a worldwide basis**

### **Description of Proposal**

This proposal accelerates the effective date of the worldwide interest allocation rules to apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, rather than to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2020.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **4. Source of income from sales of inventory determined solely on basis of production activities**

### **Description of Proposal**

Under this proposal, gains, profits, and income from the sale or exchange of inventory property produced partly in, and partly outside, the United States is allocated and apportioned on the basis of the location of production with respect to the property. For example, income derived from the sale of inventory property to a foreign jurisdiction is sourced wholly within the United States if the property was produced entirely in the United States, even if title passage occurred elsewhere. Likewise, income derived from inventory property sold in the United States, but produced entirely in another country, is sourced in that country even if title passage occurs in the United States. If the inventory property is produced partly in, and partly outside, the United States, however, the income derived from its sale is sourced partly in the United States.

### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **F. Inbound Provisions**

### **1. Base erosion and anti-abuse tax**

#### **Description of Proposal**

##### **Tax on base erosion payments**

Under the proposal, an applicable taxpayer is required to pay a tax equal to the base erosion minimum tax amount for the taxable year. The base erosion minimum tax amount means, with respect to an applicable taxpayer for any taxable year, the excess of 10-percent of the modified taxable income of the taxpayer for the taxable year over an amount equal to the regular tax liability (defined in section 26(b)) of the taxpayer for the taxable year reduced (but not below zero) by the excess (if any) of credits allowed under Chapter 1 over the credit allowed under section 38 (general business credits) for the taxable year allocable to the research credit under section 41(a).

Modified taxable income means the taxable income of the taxpayer computed under Chapter 1 for the taxable year, determined without regard to any base erosion tax benefit with respect to any base erosion payment, or the base erosion percentage of any net operating loss deduction allowed under section 172 for the taxable year.

A base erosion payment generally means any amount paid or accrued by a taxpayer to a foreign person that is a related party of the taxpayer and with respect to which a deduction is allowable, including any amount paid or accrued by the taxpayer to the related party in connection with the acquisition by the taxpayer from the related party of property of a character subject to the allowance of depreciation (or amortization in lieu of depreciation). A base erosion payment also includes any amount that constitutes reductions in gross receipts of the taxpayer that is paid to or accrued by the taxpayer with respect to: (1) a surrogate foreign corporation which is a related party of the taxpayer, and (2) a foreign person that is a member of the same expanded affiliated group as the surrogate foreign corporation. A surrogate foreign corporation has the meaning given in section 7874(a)(2), but does not include a foreign corporation treated as a domestic corporation under section 7874(b).

A base erosion tax benefit means any deduction allowed with respect to a base erosion payment for the taxable year.

Any base erosion tax benefit attributable to any base erosion payment on which tax is imposed by sections 871 or 881 and with respect to which tax has been deducted and withheld under sections 1441 or 1442, is not taken into account in computing modified taxable income as defined above. If the rate of tax required to be deducted and withheld under sections 1441 or 1442 with respect to any base erosion payment is reduced, the above exclusion only applies in proportion to such reduction.

The base erosion percentage means for any taxable year, the percentage determined by dividing the aggregate amount of base erosion tax benefits of the taxpayer for the taxable year by the aggregate amount of the deductions allowable to the taxpayer under Chapter 1 for the taxable year, taking into account base erosion tax benefit for which a deduction is allowed under Chapter



1 and by not taking into account any deduction allowed under sections 172, 245A or 250 for the taxable year.

An applicable taxpayer means, with respect to any taxable year, a taxpayer: (A) which is a corporation other than a regulated investment company, a real estate investment trust, or an S corporation; (B) which has average annual gross receipts of at least \$500 million for the three-taxable-year period ending with the preceding taxable year; and (C) which has a base erosion percentage of four percent or higher for the taxable year.

In the case of a foreign person the gross receipts of which are taken into account for purposes of this proposal, only gross receipts which are taken into account in determining income effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business within the United States is taken into account for these purposes. If a foreign person's gross receipts are aggregated with a U.S. person's gross receipts for reasons described below (on aggregation rules), the preceding sentence does not apply to the gross receipts of any U.S. person which are aggregated with the taxpayer's gross receipts.

All persons treated as a single employer under section 52(a) are treated as one person for purposes of this proposal, except that in applying section 1563 for purposes of section 52, the exception for foreign corporations under section 1563(b)(2)(C) is disregarded.

For purposes of this proposal, foreign person has the meaning given in section 6038A(c)(3).

Related party means: (i) any 25-percent owner of the taxpayer, (ii) any person who is related to the taxpayer or any 25-percent owner of the taxpayer, within the meaning of sections 267(b) or 707(b)(1), and (iii) any other person related to the taxpayer within the meaning of section 482. For these purposes, section 318 regarding constructive ownership of stock applies to these related party rules except that that "10 percent" is substituted for "50 percent" in section 318(a)(2)(C), and for these purposes sections 318(a)(3)(A), (B) and (C) do not cause a U.S. person to own stock owned by a person who is not a U.S. person.

The proposal introduces additional reporting requirements under section 6038A. The Secretary of the Treasury may prescribe regulations with regard to information relating to: (A) the name, principal place of business, and country or countries in which each person is organized or resident which: (i) is a related party to the reporting corporation, and (ii) had a transaction with the reporting corporation during its taxable year, (B) the manner of relation between the reporting corporation and the person referred to in (A), and (C) transactions between the reporting corporation and each related foreign person.

In addition, for purposes of information reporting under sections 6038A and 6038C, if the reporting corporation or the foreign corporation to which section 6038C applies is an applicable taxpayer under this proposal, the information that may be required includes: (A) base erosion payments paid or accrued during the taxable year by the taxpayer to a foreign person which is a related party of the taxpayer, (B) such information as the Secretary of the Treasury finds necessary to determine the base erosion minimum tax amount of the taxpayer for the taxable year, and (C) such other information as the Secretary of the Treasury determines is necessary.

The penalties provided for under sections 6038A(D)(1) and (2) are both increased to \$25,000.

**Effective Date**

The proposal applies to base erosion payments paid or accrued in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **G. Other Provisions**

### **1. Taxation of passenger cruise gross income of foreign corporations and nonresident alien individuals**

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal creates a category of income defined as passenger cruise gross income, provides specific rules for determining the extent to which such income is effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States, and removes such income from eligibility for the reciprocal exemptions of sections 873 and 883. As a result, effectively connected passenger cruise income is subject to net basis taxation. A conforming amendment to the definition of effectively connected income for purposes of the gross basis tax on international shipping income is made.

Passenger cruise gross income is all income from the operation of a commercial vessel on a covered voyage. A covered voyage is generally defined in as a voyage that would be subject to the passenger tax. An antiabuse provision is included such that if passengers embark a ship in the United States and more than 10 percent of the passengers disembark in the United States, the operation of the ship at all times between such events is treated as a covered voyage. A cruise in which all persons who embark in the United States later disembark in a foreign port, with no intervening stops, is a covered voyage.

In determining whether income is from the operation of a passenger cruise, one includes all income from actions incidental to the operation, as well as any amounts received with respect to any on- or off-board activities, services or sales with respect to passengers, whether or not the activities, sales or services are provided onboard the vessel. This includes any income from any agreement with any person with respect to the provision of the activities, services, or sales.

To determine what portion of passenger cruise gross income is effectively connected, the proposal requires a computation of the length (measured in calendar days) of the covered voyage and the portion of the voyage that occurs in U.S. territorial waters, defined as 12 nautical miles from low tide on the U.S. coastline or within the international boundary between the United States and a contiguous country. The time that the vessel is considered to be in U.S. territorial waters is compared to the total time of the voyage to arrive at the U.S. territorial waters percentage of the gross income. For purposes of this computation, any vessel in a U.S. port or within U.S. waters for any portion of a calendar day is considered to be in U.S. waters for an entire calendar day. Days during which a ship is out of service in a U.S. port for major repairs are not counted. In addition, under no circumstances is a single calendar day to be counted twice. Thus, a ship that leaves one U.S. port, exits U.S. waters and later the same calendar day again enters U.S. waters is in U.S. waters only one day.

The proposal explicitly requires that any income considered to be effectively connected under general rules without regard to the U.S. territorial percentage continues to be treated as such, even if the U.S. territorial percentage of passenger gross income is a lesser amount.

## Effective Date

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

## **2. Modification of insurance exception to the passive foreign investment company rules**

### Description of Proposal

The proposal modifies the requirements for a corporation the income of which is not included in passive income for purposes of the PFIC rules. The proposal replaces the test based on whether a corporation is predominantly engaged in an insurance business with a test based on the corporation's insurance liabilities. The requirement that the foreign corporation would be subject to tax under subchapter L if it were a domestic corporation is retained.

Under the proposal, passive income for purposes of the PFIC rules does not include income derived in the active conduct of an insurance business by a corporation (1) that would be subject to tax under subchapter L if it were a domestic corporation; and (2) the applicable insurance liabilities of which constitute more than 25 percent of its total assets as reported on the company's applicable financial statement for the last year ending with or within the taxable year.

For the purpose of the proposal's exception from passive income, applicable insurance liabilities means, with respect to any property and casualty or life insurance business (1) loss and loss adjustment expenses, (2) reserves (other than deficiency, contingency, or unearned premium reserves) for life and health insurance risks and life and health insurance claims with respect to contracts providing coverage for mortality or morbidity risks. This includes loss reserves for property and casualty, life, and health insurance contracts and annuity contracts. Unearned premium reserves with respect to any type of risk are not treated as applicable insurance liabilities for purposes of the proposal. For purposes of the proposal, the amount of any applicable insurance liability may not exceed the lesser of such amount (1) as reported to the applicable insurance regulatory body in the applicable financial statement (or, if less, the amount required by applicable law or regulation), or (2) as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary.

An applicable financial statement is a statement for financial reporting purposes that (1) is made on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles, (2) is made on the basis of international financial reporting standards, but only if there is no statement made on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles, or (3) except as otherwise provided by the Secretary in regulations, is the annual statement required to be filed with the applicable insurance regulatory body, but only if there is no statement made on either of the foregoing bases. Unless otherwise provided in regulations, it is intended that generally accepted accounting principles means U.S. GAAP.

The applicable insurance regulatory body means, with respect to any insurance business, the entity established by law to license, authorize, or regulate such insurance business and to which the applicable financial statement is provided. For example, in the United States, the applicable insurance regulatory body is the State insurance regulator to which the corporation provides its annual statement.

If a corporation fails to qualify solely because its applicable insurance liabilities constitute 25 percent or less of its total assets, a United States person who owns stock of the corporation may elect in such manner as the Secretary prescribes to treat the stock as stock of a qualifying insurance corporation if (1) the corporation's applicable insurance liabilities constitute at least 10 percent of its total assets, and (2) based on the applicable facts and circumstances, the corporation is predominantly engaged in an insurance business, and its failure to qualify under the 25 percent threshold is due solely to specified circumstances involving such insurance business. Specified circumstances include, for example, the fact that the company is in runoff, that is, it is not taking on new insurance business (and consequently has little or no premium income), and is using its remaining assets to pay off claims with respect to pre-existing insurance risks on its books.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.

### **3. Repeal of fair market value of interest expense apportionment**

#### **Description of Proposal**

The proposal prohibits members of a U.S. affiliated group from allocating interest expense on the basis of the fair market value of assets for purposes of section 864(e). Instead, the members must allocate interest expense based on the adjusted tax basis of assets.

#### **Effective Date**

The proposal is effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017.